

The K -theory presheaf of spectra

J.F. Jardine

September 3, 2008

Introduction

This paper has evolved from notes for a lecture entitled “Étale K -theory: a modern view”, which was given at the BIRS workshop “New Topological Contexts for Galois Theory and Algebraic Geometry” in March, 2008.

The lecture started with a description of the algebraic K -theory presheaf of spectra \mathbf{K} , based on techniques which have been developed in the last ten years or so. This description is the focus of the first few sections of this paper. Some applications are described later. These include:

- 1) the construction of a Galois cohomological descent spectral for the étale K -theory of a scheme S , where the Galois group is the Grothendieck fundamental group of S (Section 4),
- 2) an explicit description of Voevodsky’s \mathbb{P}^1 -spectrum structure on algebraic K -theory, as the level 0 part of a map $\mathbb{P}^1 \wedge \mathbf{K} \rightarrow \mathbf{K}$ which is defined by multiplication by the element $b = [\mathcal{O}(-1)] - [\mathcal{O}] \in K_0(\mathbb{P}^1)$ (Section 5).

This is a very limited list of applications. Various constructions of the K -theory presheaf have been in wide use since the middle 1980s, and this presheaf has been the foundation for all constructions of topologized versions of algebraic K -theory since that time, from Thomason’s early work with Bott periodic K -theory and its coincidence with étale K -theory, to the more recent motivic constructions of Morel and Voevodsky. Étale K -theory is an example of one of these topologized K -theory constructions, and its reformulation in these terms led to the now standard description of the Lichtenbaum-Quillen conjecture as a descent problem.

Through all of this time there has been a defect: the constructions of the algebraic K -theory presheaf that have been in use up to now have been either

- a) ad hoc, and usually too coarse to catch the group $K_0(X)$ for singular schemes X , eg. close relatives of the functor defined by $R \mapsto \mathbb{Z} \times BGl(R)^+$ for affine schemes $\mathrm{Sp}(R)$, or
- b) correct in the sense that K_0 shows up as a presheaf of stable homotopy groups, but almost notationally impenetrable — see the fun with pseudo-functors in [9], for example.

The first three sections of this paper are intended to repair this difficulty. The basic constructions that are used in this paper are well known, but they are organized here in a way which has not appeared before.

Everything turns on the following observation: with a little care (in particular, by taking zero objects as base points), one can use Waldhausen's construction of the K -theory spectrum [25] to functorially associate a symmetric spectrum $K(\mathbf{M})$ to an exact category \mathbf{M} . This symmetric spectrum construction takes exact pairings to smash product pairings functorially. Thus, tensor product pairings determines smash product pairings of presheaves of K -theory spectra, which universally induce derived smash product pairings for all topologized versions of algebraic K -theory.

The final trick in this mix of ideas is to use big site vector bundles instead of ordinary vector bundles to construct the K -theory presheaf (see also [2] and [16]). Big site vector bundles restrict functorially (instead of pseudo-functorially) on the big site, and this has the effect of giving a solution to the standard coherence problem that has traditionally plagued the construction of K -theory presheaves.

I presented much of the material of this paper, as I worked it out, during an algebraic K -theory course given at the University of Western Ontario in the Winter of 2008. I would like to thank the members of that class for their forbearance during an interesting time. I would also like to thank the organizers of the BIRS workshop for their invitation to speak at the meeting.

Contents

1	The algebraic K-theory spectrum	3
2	Products	10
3	The K-theory presheaf of spectra	12
4	Étale K-theory	15
5	Nisnevich K-theory	19

1 The algebraic K -theory spectrum

Let \mathbf{M} be an exact category, and recall (see also [9]) that Waldhausen's object $s_{\bullet}(\mathbf{M})$ is the simplicial set whose n -simplices are exact functors

$$P : \text{Ar}(\mathbf{n}) \rightarrow \mathbf{M}.$$

Here, $\text{Ar}(\mathbf{n})$ is the category with objects (arrows) (i, j) with $0 \leq i \leq j \leq n$ in the finite ordinal

$$\mathbf{n} = \{0, 1, \dots, n\}.$$

The morphisms $(i, j) \rightarrow (i', j')$ of $\text{Ar}(\mathbf{n})$ are commutative squares

$$\begin{array}{ccc} i & \longrightarrow & i' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ j & \longrightarrow & j' \end{array}$$

in the poset \mathbf{n} . The functor P is said to be *exact* if

- a) $P(i, i)$ is a zero object for all i , and
- b) for $i \leq j \leq k$ the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow P(i, j) \rightarrow P(i, k) \rightarrow P(j, k) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact.

Any ordinal number morphism $\theta : \mathbf{m} \rightarrow \mathbf{n}$ induces a functor $\theta : \text{Ar}(\mathbf{m}) \rightarrow \text{Ar}(\mathbf{n})$, and the composite $P \cdot \theta$ is exact if P is exact — this gives the simplicial structure of $s_{\bullet}(\mathbf{M})$.

The simplicial set $s_{\bullet}(\mathbf{M})$ forms the objects of a simplicial exact category $S_{\bullet}(\mathbf{M})$, whose morphisms are natural transformations of exact functors in \mathbf{M} and with exact sequences defined pointwise.

The object $S_{\bullet}^k(\mathbf{M})$ is a k -fold simplicial exact category with objects $s_{\bullet}^k(\mathbf{M})$. Inductively, the assignments

$$s_{\bullet}^{k+1}(\mathbf{M}) = s_{\bullet}(S_{\bullet}^k(\mathbf{M})),$$

and

$$S_{\bullet}^{k+1}(\mathbf{M}) = S_{\bullet}(S_{\bullet}^k(\mathbf{M})).$$

define the corresponding $(k + 1)$ -fold simplicial set and category, respectively.

There is a simplicial groupoid $\text{Iso}(S_{\bullet}(\mathbf{M})) \subset S_{\bullet}(\mathbf{M})$, with n -simplices

$$\text{Iso}(S_{\bullet}(\mathbf{M})_n) = \text{Iso}(S_n(\mathbf{M}))$$

defined by the groupoid of isomorphisms in the category $S_n(\mathbf{M})$. The following is a standard fact:

Lemma 1. *There is a natural weak equivalence*

$$s_{\bullet}(\mathbf{M}) \simeq B \operatorname{Iso}(S_{\bullet}(\mathbf{M})).$$

Proof. The bisimplicial set $B \operatorname{Iso}(S_{\bullet}(\mathbf{M}))$ has vertical simplicial sets

$$s_{\bullet}(\operatorname{Iso}_n(\mathbf{M})),$$

where $\operatorname{Iso}_n(\mathbf{M})$ is the exact category of strings of isomorphisms in \mathbf{M} and their natural transformations. There is an exact equivalence

$$\mathbf{M} \rightarrow \operatorname{Iso}_n(\mathbf{M})$$

which is defined by associating to an object $a \in \mathbf{M}$ the string of identity arrows on a of length n . \square

Let $\operatorname{Mon}_n(\mathbf{M})$ denote the exact category of strings of admissible monomorphisms

$$P_1 \rightarrow P_2 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_n.$$

Here is another elementary observation:

Lemma 2. *Suppose that \mathbf{M} is an exact category. Then there is an exact equivalence*

$$S_n(\mathbf{M}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \operatorname{Mon}_n(\mathbf{M})$$

which is defined by restricting an exact functor $P : \operatorname{Ar}(\mathbf{n}) \rightarrow \mathbf{M}$ to the string

$$P(0, 1) \rightarrow P(0, 2) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P(0, n).$$

Lemma 1 is the start of a story that involves the edgewise subdivision X^e of a simplicial set X , and which culminates in the following:

Theorem 3. *There are natural weak equivalences*

$$s_{\bullet}(\mathbf{M}) \xleftarrow{\simeq} s_{\bullet}(\mathbf{M})^e \xrightarrow{\simeq} BQM,$$

where the category QM is Quillen's Q -construction for the exact category \mathbf{M} .

Proof. The weak equivalence

$$s_{\bullet}(\mathbf{M})^e \xrightarrow{\simeq} s_{\bullet}(\mathbf{M})$$

is a formal consequence of the edgewise subdivision construction, while the fact that the map

$$s_{\bullet}(\mathbf{M})^e \rightarrow BQM$$

is a weak equivalence (once it is defined) follows from Lemma 1. See [25]. \square

The 1-simplices of $s_\bullet(\mathbf{M})$ are pictures

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P(0,0) & \longrightarrow & P(0,1) \\ & & \downarrow \\ & & P(1,1) \end{array}$$

where $P(0,0)$ and $P(1,1)$ are zero objects.

Make a distinguished choice of zero object 0 in \mathbf{M} (vertex of $s_\bullet(\mathbf{M})$), and associate to an object $P \in \mathbf{M}$ the picture

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & P \\ & & \downarrow \\ & & 0 \end{array} \quad (1)$$

In this way we get a simplicial set map

$$h : \Delta^1 \times \text{Ob}(\mathbf{M}) \rightarrow s_\bullet(\mathbf{M}).$$

This construction induces a morphism of multisimplicial sets

$$h : \Delta^1 \times s_\bullet^k(\mathbf{M}) \rightarrow s_\bullet^{k+1}(\mathbf{M}),$$

and can be iterated to give morphisms

$$h : (\Delta^1)^{\times r} \times s_\bullet^k(\mathbf{M}) \rightarrow s_\bullet^{r+k}(\mathbf{M}),$$

and induced (diagonal) simplicial set maps

$$h : (\Delta^1)^{\times r} \times d(s_\bullet^k(\mathbf{M})) \rightarrow d(s_\bullet^{r+k}(\mathbf{M})).$$

Explicitly, this last version of the map h is defined on n -simplices by taking the $(r+1)$ -tuple

$$(\mathbf{n} \xrightarrow{\tau_1} \mathbf{1}, \dots, \mathbf{n} \xrightarrow{\tau_r} \mathbf{1}, P)$$

(where $P : \text{Ar}(\mathbf{n})^{\times k} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}$ is exact in each variable) to the composite functor

$$\text{Ar}(\mathbf{n})^{\times r} \times \text{Ar}(\mathbf{n})^{\times k} \xrightarrow{\tau_{1*} \times \dots \times \tau_{r*} \times \mathbf{1}} \text{Ar}(\mathbf{1})^{\times r} \times \text{Ar}(\mathbf{n})^{\times k} \xrightarrow{P_*} \mathbf{M}.$$

Here, P_* is the uniquely determined functor such that

- a) $P_*((0,1), \dots, (0,1), \epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_k) = P(\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_k)$, and
- b) $P_*(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r, \epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_k) = 0$ if some γ_i is not the arrow $(0,1)$ of $\mathbf{1}$.

Observe that P_* is the effect of r applications of the construction (1) on the functor P .

Write $\mathbf{0}$ for the exact subcategory of \mathbf{M} consisting of all zero objects of \mathbf{M} . Then $\mathbf{0}$ is exactly equivalent to a one-morphism category, so that $s_\bullet(\mathbf{0})$ is a

contractible subcomplex of $s_\bullet(\mathbf{M})$. The map $h : \Delta^1 \times \text{Ob}(\mathbf{M}) \rightarrow s_\bullet(\mathbf{M})$ induces a pointed simplicial set map

$$\sigma : S^1 \wedge (\text{Ob}(\mathbf{M})/\text{Ob}(\mathbf{0})) \rightarrow s_\bullet(\mathbf{M})/s_\bullet(\mathbf{0}).$$

More generally, the various maps $h : \Delta^1 \times s_\bullet^k(\mathbf{M}) \rightarrow s_\bullet^{k+1}(\mathbf{M})$ induce pointed maps

$$\sigma : S^1 \wedge d(s_\bullet^k(\mathbf{M})/s_\bullet^k(\mathbf{0})) \rightarrow d(s_\bullet^{k+1}(\mathbf{M})/s_\bullet^{k+1}(\mathbf{0})). \quad (2)$$

The *algebraic K-theory spectrum* $K(\mathbf{M})$ consists of the sequence of pointed simplicial sets

$$K(\mathbf{M})^k = d(s_\bullet^k(\mathbf{M})/s_\bullet^k(\mathbf{0}))$$

along with the bonding maps σ of (2).

This construction of the algebraic K -theory spectrum $K(\mathbf{M})$ is natural in pairs $(\mathbf{M}, 0)$ consisting of an exact category \mathbf{M} with a distinguished choice of zero object 0 . Say that such pairs are pointed exact categories.

The pointed exact categories form a category in an obvious way, and the algebraic K -theory spectrum construction defines a functor on this category.

Remark 4. The insistence on a distinguished choice of zero object in an exact category \mathbf{M} for the construction of the spectrum $K(\mathbf{M})$ is a departure from the literature. A specific choice of zero object is required to specify the bonding maps σ , so that the description of the spectrum $K(\mathbf{M})$ is precise.

Lemma 5. *The K -theory spectrum $K(\mathbf{M})$ is a connective spectrum.*

Proof. All of the objects $s_\bullet^k(\mathbf{M})$ are connected, since the spaces $s_\bullet(\mathbf{N})$ associated to arbitrary exact categories \mathbf{N} are connected. \square

The symmetric group Σ_k acts on the space $d(s_\bullet^k(\mathbf{M}))$ by permuting factors in the products which define simplices. More explicitly, there is a left action

$$\Sigma_k \times d(s_\bullet^k(\mathbf{M})) \rightarrow d(s_\bullet^k(\mathbf{M}))$$

which takes an n -simplex P as above to the composite

$$\text{Ar}(\mathbf{n})^{\times k} \xrightarrow{\sigma^*} \text{Ar}(\mathbf{n})^{\times k} \xrightarrow{P} \mathbf{M}. \quad (3)$$

Here, σ^* denotes the right action of symmetric group element σ on the product $\text{Ar}(\mathbf{n})^{\times k}$ — this right action is defined by precomposition. The left action σ_* is defined by $\sigma_* = (\sigma^{-1})^*$.

The map

$$h : (\Delta^1)^{\times r} \times d(s_\bullet^k(\mathbf{M})) \rightarrow d(s_\bullet^{r+k}(\mathbf{M}))$$

is $(\Sigma_r \times \Sigma_k)$ -equivariant (for the left action of Σ_r on the product $(\Delta^1)^{\times r}$), and the same follows for the iterated bonding map

$$S^r \wedge K(\mathbf{M})^k \rightarrow K(\mathbf{M})^{r+k}$$

We have therefore specified the structure of a symmetric spectrum on the K -theory spectrum $K(\mathbf{M})$. This structure is natural in pointed exact categories.

The following result says that the spectrum $K(\mathbf{M})$ is an Ω -spectrum above level 0:

Theorem 6. *Suppose that the morphism $j : K(\mathbf{M}) \rightarrow K(\mathbf{M})_f$ is a strictly fibrant model for the spectrum $K(\mathbf{M})$. Then the composite map*

$$K(\mathbf{M})^n \rightarrow K(\mathbf{M})_f^n \xrightarrow{\sigma_*} \Omega K(\mathbf{M})_f^{n+1}$$

is a weak equivalence if $n \geq 1$.

In any model structure, the assertion that $j : X \rightarrow Z$ is a fibrant model for X means that j is a weak equivalence and Z is fibrant. The fibrant model in the statement of Theorem 6 is chosen in the strict model structure for the category of spectra of Bousfield and Friedlander [1], [4], in which weak equivalences and fibrations are defined levelwise.

There are two main ingredients in the proof of Theorem 6. The first of these is a general construction for simplicial sets.

Let X be a simplicial set. There is a functorially defined simplicial set EX whose n -simplices are the $(n+1)$ -simplices $\Delta^{n+1} \rightarrow X$ of X ; if $\theta : \mathbf{m} \rightarrow \mathbf{n}$ is an ordinal number map, then $\theta^* EX_n \rightarrow EX_m$ is defined by precomposition with $\tilde{\theta} : \Delta^{m+1} \rightarrow \Delta^{n+1}$, where $\tilde{\theta}$ is induced by the ordinal number morphism

$$\mathbf{m} + \mathbf{1} \cong \mathbf{0} * \mathbf{m} \xrightarrow{1*\theta} \mathbf{0} * \mathbf{n} \cong \mathbf{n} + \mathbf{1}.$$

and $\mathbf{0} * \mathbf{n} \cong \mathbf{n} + \mathbf{1}$ denotes ordinal number join.

There is a natural map $d_0 : EX \rightarrow X$ defined by $\sigma \mapsto d_0(\sigma)$. The degeneracy s_0 defines a map $s_0 : X_0 \rightarrow EX$ (X_0 is a discrete simplicial set on the vertices of X here), and there is a natural map $EX \rightarrow X_0$ which takes an n -simplex $\sigma : \Delta^{n+1} \rightarrow X$ of EX to the vertex $\sigma(0)$.

There is a natural homotopy $h : EX \times \Delta^1 \rightarrow EX$ from the map $\sigma \mapsto s_0(\sigma(0))$ to the identity on EX . The most compact way to describe the homotopy h is to say that it consists of functions

$$h_\tau : X_{n+1} \rightarrow X_{n+1},$$

one for each functor $\tau : \mathbf{n} \rightarrow \mathbf{1}$, or string of morphisms

$$0 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \overset{i}{1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow 1$$

in the poset $\mathbf{1}$. We set

$$h_\tau(\sigma) = s_0^i d_1^i(\sigma)$$

for $\sigma \in X_{n+1}$.

Alternatively, X is a colimit of simplices $\Delta^n \rightarrow X$,

$$E\Delta^n = \bigsqcup_{v \in \mathbf{n}} B(v/\mathbf{n}),$$

and the homotopy $h : E\Delta^n \times \Delta^1 \rightarrow E\Delta^n$ is defined by the contracting homotopies on the spaces $B(v/\mathbf{n})$.

Remark 7. Observe that if $\omega : \Delta^1 \rightarrow X$ is a 1-simplex of X , then ω is a 0-simplex of EX , and the composite

$$\Delta^1 \xrightarrow{(\omega \times 1)} EX \times \Delta^1 \xrightarrow{h} EX \xrightarrow{d_0} X$$

is the 1-simplex ω .

There is a corresponding functor $Y \mapsto EY$ for simplicial objects Y in any category. In the more general setting, we lose some (but not all) of the constructions above. For example, if D is a simplicial category, then the simplicial set-based constructions above can be used to show that the bisimplicial set BED is naturally weakly equivalent to the nerve BD_0 of the category D_0 in simplicial degree 0 for the object D .

The proof of Theorem 6 also uses the additivity theorem for K -theory. Recall that there is an exact category $\text{Ex}(\mathbf{M})$ whose objects are the short exact sequences

$$E : 0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow 0$$

of \mathbf{M} . Then the additivity theorem [17], [25] asserts that the exact functor $E \mapsto (M', M'')$ induces a weak equivalence

$$s_\bullet(\text{Ex}(\mathbf{M})) \xrightarrow{\simeq} s_\bullet(\mathbf{M}) \times s_\bullet(\mathbf{M}).$$

This result implies that the induced maps

$$s_\bullet^k(\text{Ex}(\mathbf{M})) \rightarrow s_\bullet^k(\mathbf{M}) \times s_\bullet^k(\mathbf{M}).$$

are weak equivalences for all $k \geq 1$.

Proof of Theorem 6. Write $i_0(P)$ for the 1-simplex in $s_\bullet(\mathbf{M})$ which is defined by the diagram (1). Then $i_0(P)$ is a vertex of $Es_\bullet(\mathbf{M})$, and the assignment $P \mapsto i_0(P)$ defines a morphism

$$i_0 : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow ES_\bullet(\mathbf{M})$$

of simplicial exact categories. It is a standard use of the additivity theorem to show the induced maps

$$s_\bullet^k(\mathbf{M}) \xrightarrow{i_{0*}} s_\bullet^k(S_{n+1}(\mathbf{M})) \xrightarrow{d_{0*}} s_\bullet^k(S_n(\mathbf{M}))$$

are homotopy fibre sequences for all $n \geq 0$. It follows (by collapsing contractible subspaces) that all induced sequences

$$s_\bullet^k(\mathbf{M})/s_\bullet^k(\mathbf{0}) \rightarrow s_\bullet^k(S_{n+1}(\mathbf{M}))/s_\bullet^k(S_{n+1}(\mathbf{0})) \rightarrow s_\bullet^k(S_n(\mathbf{M}))/s_\bullet^k(S_n(\mathbf{0})).$$

are also homotopy fibre sequences. All of the spaces appearing in these maps are connected, so that a theorem of Bousfield and Friedlander [1], [4, IV.4.9] implies that the maps

$$d(s_\bullet^k(\mathbf{M})/s_\bullet^k(\mathbf{0})) \xrightarrow{i_{0*}} d(s_\bullet^k(ES_\bullet(\mathbf{M}))/s_\bullet^k(ES_\bullet(\mathbf{0}))) \xrightarrow{d_{0*}} d(s_\bullet^{k+1}(\mathbf{M})/s_\bullet^{k+1}(\mathbf{0}))$$

form a homotopy fibre sequence.

There is a natural isomorphism

$$s_{\bullet}^k(ES_{\bullet}(\mathbf{M})) \cong Es_{\bullet}(S_{\bullet}^k(\mathbf{M})).$$

The spaces $s_{\bullet}(S_{\bullet}^k(\mathbf{M}))$ and $s_{\bullet}(S_{\bullet}^k(\mathbf{0}))$ have the same vertices, and it follows that the map

$$s_{\bullet}^k(ES_{\bullet}(\mathbf{0})) \rightarrow s_{\bullet}^k(ES_{\bullet}(\mathbf{M}))$$

is a weak equivalence. The space

$$d(s_{\bullet}^k(ES_{\bullet}(\mathbf{M}))/s_{\bullet}^k(ES_{\bullet}(\mathbf{0})))$$

is therefore contractible.

The composite

$$Es_{\bullet}(S_{\bullet}^k(\mathbf{M})) \times \Delta^1 \xrightarrow{h} Es_{\bullet}(S_{\bullet}^k(\mathbf{M})) \xrightarrow{d_0} s_{\bullet}(S_{\bullet}^k(\mathbf{M}))$$

induces a map \tilde{h} which makes the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} s_{\bullet}^k(\mathbf{M})/s_{\bullet}^k(\mathbf{0}) & \xrightarrow{i_{0*}} & s_{\bullet}^k(ES_{\bullet}(\mathbf{M}))/s_{\bullet}^k(ES_{\bullet}(\mathbf{0})) & \xrightarrow{d_{0*}} & s_{\bullet}^{k+1}(\mathbf{M})/s_{\bullet}^{k+1}(\mathbf{0}) & (4) \\ h_* \downarrow & & \simeq \downarrow \tilde{h} & & \downarrow 1 \\ \Omega(s_{\bullet}^{k+1}(\mathbf{M})/s_{\bullet}^{k+1}(\mathbf{0})) & \longrightarrow & P(s_{\bullet}^{k+1}(\mathbf{M})/s_{\bullet}^{k+1}(\mathbf{0})) & \longrightarrow & s_{\bullet}^{k+1}(\mathbf{M})/s_{\bullet}^{k+1}(\mathbf{0}) \end{array}$$

of multisimplicial set maps commute, and the map h_* is the adjoint of the bonding map

$$\sigma : S^1 \wedge (s_{\bullet}^k(\mathbf{M})/s_{\bullet}^k(\mathbf{0})) \rightarrow s_{\bullet}^{k+1}(\mathbf{M})/s_{\bullet}^k(\mathbf{0}),$$

by Remark 7.

Apply the diagonalization functor d and instances of the canonical map

$$d(\mathbf{hom}_*(K, Y)) \rightarrow \mathbf{hom}_*(K, d(Y))$$

(pointed function complexes) for pointed simplicial sets K and pointed multi-simplicial sets Y to the diagram (4) to finish the proof. \square

Corollary 8. *Suppose that $j : K(\mathbf{M}) \rightarrow K(\mathbf{M})_f$ is a strictly fibrant model in spectra, or an injective fibrant model in symmetric spectra. Then the canonical map*

$$K(\mathbf{M}) \rightarrow \Omega K(\mathbf{M})_f[1]$$

is a stably fibrant model in spectra, respectively symmetric spectra.

It follows that there is a natural weak equivalence

$$QK(\mathbf{M})^0 \simeq \Omega K(\mathbf{M})^1,$$

where $QK(\mathbf{M})$ is the standard stably fibrant model for $K(\mathbf{M})$ in spectra, with level spaces defined (in a derived sense) by

$$QK(\mathbf{M})^n = \varinjlim_k \Omega^k K(\mathbf{M})^{n+k}.$$

2 Products

The full subcategory $\mathbf{0} \subset \mathbf{M}$ of the zero objects of an exact category \mathbf{M} is a trivial groupoid, and is closed under isomorphisms in the sense that if there is an isomorphism $P \xrightarrow{\cong} Q$ with $Q \in \mathbf{0}$, then $P \in \mathbf{0}$.

Suppose that $0 \in \mathbf{M}$ is a fixed choice of zero object, and let $\mathbf{M}_0 \subset \mathbf{M}$ be the full subcategory on the set

$$(\text{Ob}(\mathbf{M}) - \text{Ob}(\mathbf{0})) \cup \{0\}.$$

Then there is a functor $r : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_0$ which is the identity on the non-zero objects of \mathbf{M} and such that $r(P) = 0$ for all $P \in \mathbf{0}$. Write $i : \mathbf{M}_0 \subset \mathbf{M}$ for the inclusion of the full subcategory \mathbf{M}_0 . Then $r \cdot i = 1$ and there is a natural isomorphism $i \cdot r \cong 1$ which restricts to the identity isomorphism on \mathbf{M}_0 , so that the category \mathbf{M}_0 (which is exact) is a strong deformation retract of \mathbf{M} .

The construction $\mathbf{M} \mapsto \mathbf{M}_0$ is natural in pointed exact categories. If $f : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$ is an exact functor which preserves the respective zero objects in the sense that $f(0) = 0$, then one can show that the functor $f_* = r f i : \mathbf{M}_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{N}_0$ makes the diagram of exact functors

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{M} & \xrightarrow{r} & \mathbf{M}_0 \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow f_* \\ \mathbf{N} & \xrightarrow{r} & \mathbf{N}_0 \end{array}$$

commute.

The maps $r : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_0$ induce a stable equivalence

$$K(\mathbf{M}) \xrightarrow{\cong} K(\mathbf{M}_0)$$

which is natural in pointed exact categories, so the replacement of \mathbf{M} by \mathbf{M}_0 is harmless functorially. Observe further that the spectrum $K(\mathbf{M}_0)$ is a bit easier to describe: we have

$$K(\mathbf{M}_0)^n = d(s_{\bullet}^n(\mathbf{M}_0)),$$

since the groupoid of zero objects in \mathbf{M}_0 is a point.

Suppose that \mathbf{M}_1 , \mathbf{M}_2 and \mathbf{N} are exact categories. Recall that a *bisect functor* (or *bisect pairing*) is functor

$$\otimes : \mathbf{M}_1 \times \mathbf{M}_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$$

which is exact in each variable in the sense that all functors

$$\otimes(P, _) : \mathbf{M}_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{N} \text{ and } \otimes(_, Q) : \mathbf{M}_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$$

are exact. The standard examples include tensor products of vector bundles or coherent sheaves.

Every biexact pairing $\otimes : \mathbf{M}_1 \times \mathbf{M}_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$ induces a simplicial set map

$$\otimes : d(s_{\bullet}^r(\mathbf{M}_1)) \times d(s_{\bullet}^s(\mathbf{M}_2)) \rightarrow d(s_{\bullet}^{r+s}(\mathbf{N})).$$

Explicitly, given exact functors $P : \text{Ar}(\mathbf{n})^{\times r} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_1$ and $Q : \text{Ar}(\mathbf{n})^{\times s} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_2$, the n -simplex $\otimes(P, Q)$ is the composite

$$\text{Ar}(\mathbf{n})^{\times r} \times \text{Ar}(\mathbf{n})^{\times s} \xrightarrow{P \times Q} \mathbf{M}_1 \times \mathbf{M}_2 \xrightarrow{\otimes} \mathbf{N}.$$

The map

$$\otimes : d(s_{\bullet}^r(\mathbf{M}_1)) \times d(s_{\bullet}^s(\mathbf{M}_2)) \rightarrow d(s_{\bullet}^{r+s}(\mathbf{N})).$$

is $(\Sigma_r \times \Sigma_s)$ -equivariant, and $P \otimes Q$ is a zero object if either P or Q is a zero object. It follows that the pairing \otimes induces a pointed $(\Sigma_r \times \Sigma_s)$ -equivariant map

$$\otimes : K(\mathbf{M}_1)^r \wedge K(\mathbf{M}_2)^s \rightarrow K(\mathbf{N})^{r+s}. \quad (5)$$

Suppose now that N has only one zero object. Then the diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\Delta^1)^{\times k} \times s_{\bullet}^r(\mathbf{M}_1) \times s_{\bullet}^s(\mathbf{M}_2) & \xrightarrow{h \times 1} & s_{\bullet}^{k+r}(\mathbf{M}_1) \times s_{\bullet}^s(\mathbf{M}_2) \\ \downarrow 1 \times \otimes & & \downarrow \otimes \\ (\Delta^1)^{\times k} \times s_{\bullet}^{r+s}(\mathbf{N}) & \xrightarrow{h} & s_{\bullet}^{k+r+s}(\mathbf{N}) \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (\Delta^1)^{\times k} \times s_{\bullet}^r(\mathbf{M}_1) \times s_{\bullet}^s(\mathbf{M}_2) & \xrightarrow{h \times 1} & s_{\bullet}^{k+r}(\mathbf{M}_1) \times s_{\bullet}^s(\mathbf{M}_2) & \xrightarrow{\otimes} & s_{\bullet}^{k+r+s}(\mathbf{N}) \\ \downarrow t \times 1 & & & & \downarrow c(k,r) \oplus 1 \\ s_{\bullet}^r(\mathbf{M}_1) \times (\Delta^1)^{\times k} \times s_{\bullet}^s(\mathbf{M}_2) & \xrightarrow{1 \times h} & s^r(\mathbf{M}_1) \times s_{\bullet}^{k+s}(\mathbf{M}_2) & \xrightarrow{\otimes} & s_{\bullet}^{r+k+s}(\mathbf{N}) \end{array}$$

commute. Here,

$$t : (\Delta^1)^{\times k} \times s_{\bullet}^r(\mathbf{M}_1) \rightarrow s_{\bullet}^r(\mathbf{M}_1) \times (\Delta^1)^{\times k}$$

interchanges factors, and the element $c(k, r) \in \Sigma_{k+r}$ shuffles the first k entries of the set $\{1, \dots, k+r\}$ past the last r entries.

It follows that the diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S^k \wedge K(\mathbf{M}_1)^r \wedge K(\mathbf{M}_2)^s & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{K \wedge 1}} & K(\mathbf{M}_1)^{k+r} \wedge K(\mathbf{M}_2)^s \\ \downarrow 1 \wedge \otimes & & \downarrow \otimes \\ S^k \wedge K(\mathbf{N})^{r+s} & \xrightarrow{\sigma_K} & K(\mathbf{N})^{k+r+s} \end{array} \quad (6)$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
S^k \wedge K(\mathbf{M}_1)^r \wedge K(\mathbf{M}_2)^s & \xrightarrow{\sigma_K \wedge 1} & K(\mathbf{M}_1)^{k+r} \wedge K(\mathbf{M}_2)^s & \xrightarrow{\otimes} & K(\mathbf{N})^{k+r+s} \\
\downarrow t \wedge 1 & & & & \downarrow c(k,r) \oplus 1 \\
K(\mathbf{M}_1)^r \wedge S^k \wedge K(\mathbf{M}_2)^s & \xrightarrow{1 \wedge \sigma_K} & K(\mathbf{M}_1)^r \wedge K(\mathbf{M}_2)^{k+s} & \xrightarrow{\otimes} & K(\mathbf{N})^{r+k+s}
\end{array} \tag{7}$$

commute.

The data for a smash product pairing

$$\otimes : K(\mathbf{M}_1) \wedge_{\Sigma} K(\mathbf{M}_2) \rightarrow K(\mathbf{N})$$

consists of a family of maps (5) satisfying the commutativity conditions (6) and (7) — see [10, p.518], for example. We have therefore proved the following:

Proposition 9. *Suppose that*

$$\otimes : \mathbf{M}_1 \times \mathbf{M}_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$$

is a biexact pairing, and that \mathbf{N} has a unique zero object. Then the induced maps

$$\otimes : K(\mathbf{M}_1)^r \wedge K(\mathbf{M}_2)^s \rightarrow K(\mathbf{N})^{r+s}$$

define a morphism

$$\otimes : K(\mathbf{M}_1) \wedge_{\Sigma} K(\mathbf{M}_2) \rightarrow K(\mathbf{N})$$

of symmetric spectra.

3 The K -theory presheaf of spectra

Suppose that S is a scheme and let $(Sch|_S)_{Zar}$ be the “big” site of S -schemes $T \rightarrow S$, with the Zariski topology¹.

Write $\mathcal{O}(Y)$ for the ring of functions of a scheme Y . \mathcal{O}_S is the Zariski sheaf of rings on $Sch|_S$ which is defined by associating the ring $\mathcal{O}(Y)$ to each S -scheme $Y \rightarrow S$.

Write $\mathbf{Mod}(S)$ for the category of sheaves of \mathcal{O}_S -modules on $Sch|_S$. Then the assignment

$$T \rightarrow S \mapsto \mathbf{Mod}(T)$$

defines a presheaf of categories \mathbf{Mod} on $Sch|_S$. The category of (big site) vector bundles $\mathbf{Vb}(S)$ is the full subcategory of $\mathbf{Mod}(S)$ on those \mathcal{O}_S -modules which are locally free of finite rank for the Zariski topology. The restriction functor

$$\mathbf{Mod}(S) \rightarrow \mathbf{Mod}(T)$$

¹One imposes a cardinality bound on the S -schemes T so that the site $(Sch|_S)_{Zar}$ is big enough but still small.

(composition with $Sch|_T \rightarrow Sch|_S$) preserves vector bundles for any S -scheme $T \rightarrow S$, and so the vector bundle categories $\mathbf{Vb}(T)$ form a presheaf of categories \mathbf{Vb} which is a subobject of \mathbf{Mod} .

The presheaf of categories \mathbf{Vb} is a presheaf of exact categories, and one can make a global choice of base point $0 \in \mathbf{Vb}(S)$ to make this object a presheaf of pointed exact categories. The algebraic K -theory presheaf of spectra \mathbf{K} on the big site $Sch|_S$ is defined by

$$T \rightarrow S \mapsto \mathbf{K}(T) = K(\mathbf{Vb}(T)).$$

This is a presheaf of symmetric spectra, which inherits the good properties of the K -theory spectrum $K(\mathbf{M})$ for an exact category \mathbf{M} which are displayed in the first two sections of this paper.

We need to be certain that the presheaf of spectra \mathbf{K} describes algebraic K -theory in the traditional sense. Write $\mathcal{P}(S)$ for the ordinary category of (little site) vector bundles on $Zar|_S$. Then restriction along the inclusion functor

$$i : Zar|_S \subset Sch|_S$$

defines an exact functor

$$i_* : \mathbf{Vb}(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(S).$$

Proposition 10. *The functor i_* induces a stable equivalence*

$$i_* : K(\mathbf{Vb}(S)) \rightarrow K(\mathcal{P}(S)).$$

Proof. In view of Lemma 1 and Lemma 2, it is enough to show that restriction induces an equivalence of groupoids

$$\text{Iso}(Mon_n \mathbf{Vb}(S)) \rightarrow \text{Iso}(Mon_n \mathcal{P}(S)).$$

In this case, $Mon_n(\)$ denotes strings of locally split monomorphisms (flags)

$$P_1 \rightarrow P_2 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_n$$

in either category.

There is a sheaf of groupoids Par_n on the big Zariski site $(Sch|_S)_{Zar}$, whose objects are the ascending chains of finite set inclusions

$$F_1 \subset F_2 \subset \cdots \subset F_n,$$

and whose morphisms are isomorphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}_S(F_1) & \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_S(F_n) \\ \cong \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong \\ \mathcal{O}_S(F'_1) & \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_S(F'_n) \end{array}$$

of chains of free \mathcal{O}_S -modules. One uses classical techniques to show that the groupoid $\text{Iso}(Mon_n \mathbf{Vb}(S))$ is global sections for the stack completion of Par_n

(for the Zariski topology) on the big site, and that $\text{Iso}(\text{Mon}_n\mathcal{P}(S))$ is global sections of the stack completion for Par_n on the small site. Finally, restriction to the small site preserves stack completion, because it preserves fibrant models of simplicial presheaves for the respective injective model structures. \square

Remark 11. Some of the terms in the proof of Proposition 10 may require explanation.

The injective model structure on the simplicial presheaves $s\text{Pre}(\mathcal{C})$ on a (small) Grothendieck site \mathcal{C} has the local weak equivalences (or stalkwise weak equivalences, in the presence of a calculus of stalks) for weak equivalences and the monomorphisms for cofibrations [7]. This structure induces a model structure for presheaves of groupoids on \mathcal{C} : a map $f : G \rightarrow H$ of presheaves of groupoids is a weak equivalence (respectively fibration) if the induced map $BG \rightarrow BH$ is a local weak equivalence (respectively injective fibration) of simplicial presheaves [13], [5], [12]. Stacks are presheaves of groupoids G which satisfy descent for this model structure: explicitly, G satisfies descent if any fibrant model $G \rightarrow H$ induces equivalences of groupoids $G(U) \rightarrow H(U)$ in all sections. In this context, stack completion is the fibrant model construction.

The inclusion $i : \text{Zar}|_S \subset \text{Sch}|_S$ is a site morphism. Restriction along i , or the direct image functor i_* , has a left adjoint (left Kan extension) which is defined by filtered colimits, hence preserves cofibrations and local weak equivalences. It follows that i_* preserves injective fibrations, and therefore preserves stacks. The functor i_* also preserves local weak equivalences (this is unusual for a direct image), so that i_* preserves fibrant models, hence preserves stack completions.

The “standard” construction of the K -theory presheaf of spectra [9] starts with the pseudo-functor $T \mapsto \mathcal{P}(T)$ taking values in ordinary vector bundles. Observe that the functor

$$T \mapsto \mathbf{Vb}(T)$$

with induced maps $\mathbf{Vb}(T) \rightarrow \mathbf{Vb}(T')$ defined by restriction along scheme morphisms $f : T' \rightarrow T$ is naturally pseudo-isomorphic to the pseudo-functor defined by the standard inverse image functors $f^* : \mathbf{Vb}(T) \rightarrow \mathbf{Vb}(T')$. The base change morphism

$$f^*i_*(P) \rightarrow i_*f^*(P)$$

is an isomorphism for all big site vector bundles P on T , and it satisfies the usual cocycle conditions. It follows that the direct image functors

$$i_* : \mathbf{Vb}(T) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(T)$$

define a pseudo-natural transformation. Proposition 10 implies that the K -theory presheaf

$$\mathbf{K}(T) = K(\mathbf{Vb}(T))$$

is sectionwise weakly equivalent to the K -theory presheaf of spectra which is associated to the pseudo-functor $T \mapsto \mathcal{P}(T)$.

The tensor product $M \otimes N$ of \mathcal{O}_S -modules is constructed by choosing a tensor product (functor) $M(Y) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}(Y)} N(Y)$ of $\mathcal{O}(Y)$ -modules for each S -scheme Y , and then taking the sheaf associated to the presheaf

$$Y \mapsto M(Y) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}(Y)} N(Y).$$

Both the tensor product and associated sheaf constructions commute with restriction along the functors $Sch|_T \rightarrow Sch|_S$ for all S -schemes $T \rightarrow S$. If P and Q are vector bundles, then the tensor product $P \otimes Q$ is a vector bundle. It follows that tensor product induces a biexact pairing

$$\mathbf{Vb} \times \mathbf{Vb} \xrightarrow{\otimes} \mathbf{Vb} \xrightarrow{r} \mathbf{Vb}_0$$

of presheaves of exact categories on $Sch|_S$, where $0 \in \mathbf{Vb}(S)$ is a global choice of zero object and $r : \mathbf{Vb} \rightarrow \mathbf{Vb}_0$ is the sectionwise exact equivalence which arises from the construction given at the beginning of Section 2. It follows from Proposition 9 that tensor product of vector bundles induces a smash product pairing

$$\mathbf{K} \wedge_{\Sigma} \mathbf{K} = K(\mathbf{Vb}) \wedge_{\Sigma} K(\mathbf{Vb}) \xrightarrow{\otimes} K(\mathbf{Vb}_0) \xleftarrow{\simeq} K(\mathbf{Vb}) = \mathbf{K} \quad (8)$$

of presheaves of symmetric spectra on the site $Sch|_S$.

4 Étale K -theory

With the K -theory presheaf of spectra on the S -scheme category $Sch|_S$ in place, there are many possibilities.

In particular, the mod n K -theory presheaf of (symmetric) spectra \mathbf{K}/n is constructed by forming the cofibre sequence

$$\mathbf{K} \xrightarrow{\times n} \mathbf{K} \rightarrow \mathbf{K}/n$$

in the presheaf category. Alternatively, \mathbf{K}/n can be constructed by smashing the K -theory presheaf of symmetric spectra \mathbf{K} with the Moore spectrum S/n , and there is a natural stable equivalence

$$\mathbf{K}/n \simeq \mathbf{K} \wedge_{\Sigma} S/n$$

in the category of presheaves of symmetric spectra.

In stable homotopy groups,

$$\pi_* \mathbf{K}/n(T) = K_*(T, \mathbb{Z}/n)$$

in all sections, where the thing on the right is the traditional mod n K -theory of the scheme T .

One can topologize \mathbf{K}/n , or any other presheaf of (symmetric) spectra [8], [11], by taking a stably fibrant model with respect to each of the topologies on the big site $Sch|_S$.

There is a local stable model structure for the étale topology for $Sch|_S$ on the category of presheaves of spectra, for which a map $f : E \rightarrow F$ is a weak equivalence if it is a stable equivalence in all stalks, and is a cofibration if $E^0 \rightarrow F^0$ and all maps

$$S^1 \wedge F^n \cup_{S^1 \wedge E^n} E^{n+1} \rightarrow F^{n+1}$$

are cofibrations (aka. inclusions) of pointed simplicial presheaves.

The étale-local stable model structure on the category of presheaves of symmetric spectra on $Sch|_S$ (this is the étale-local version of the Hovey-Shipley-Smith theory for symmetric spectra [6]) is a little more interesting to describe. The fibrations for the theory are those maps $X \rightarrow Y$ for which the underlying maps of presheaves of spectra are fibrations for the étale-local model structure just described. One can form stably fibrant models $j : X \rightarrow X_f$ for this theory, and then a map $X \rightarrow Y$ of presheaves of symmetric spectra is an étale-local weak equivalence if it induces a sectionwise stable equivalence $X_f \rightarrow Y_f$ of the presheaves of spectra underlying the respective stably fibrant models.

The étale-local stable model structures for presheaves of spectra and presheaves of symmetric spectra are Quillen equivalent.

Suppose that n is relatively prime to the residue characteristics of the scheme S (we make such a choice, because étale cohomology is a torsion theory, which does not play well with residue characteristics). Then the étale K -groups $K_s^{et}(S, \mathbb{Z}/n)$ are defined by

$$K_s^{et}(S, \mathbb{Z}/n) = \pi_s F\mathbf{K}/n(S),$$

where $\mathbf{K}/n \rightarrow F\mathbf{K}/n$ is a stably fibrant model of \mathbf{K}/n for the étale topology, in either presheaves of spectra or presheaves of symmetric spectra. Corollary 8 implies that the respective stably fibrant models in presheaves of spectra and symmetric spectra have the same stable homotopy groups. In particular, the étale K -groups are the stable homotopy groups of a symmetric spectrum.

Restriction along the functor $Sch|_T \rightarrow Sch|_S$ associated to an S -scheme $T \rightarrow S$ is exact and preserves fibrations, hence preserves fibrant models. Thus there are isomorphisms

$$K_s^{et}(T, \mathbb{Z}/n) = \pi_s F\mathbf{K}/n(T),$$

where the stable homotopy groups on the right are computed from T -sections of $F\mathbf{K}/n$ on $Sch|_S$, for all S -schemes T .

One has to be a bit careful with this, because Moore spectra are not always ring spectra, but to the extent that K/n is a presheaf of ring spectra (with smash product pairing induced by \otimes), its fibrant model in symmetric spectra is too. In any case, tensor product induces a smash product pairing

$$\mathbf{K} \wedge_{\Sigma} \mathbf{K}/n \rightarrow \mathbf{K}/n$$

of presheaves of spectra.

The main reason to be interested in the étale K -theory spectrum is that it satisfies descent: if $U \rightarrow * = S$ is a (representable) hypercover, then there is a weak equivalence

$$FK/n(S) = \mathbf{hom}(*, FK/n) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbf{hom}(U, FK/n)$$

and there is a Bousfield-Kan spectral sequence for the function complex, with

$$E_2^{s,t} = H^s K_t^{et}(U, \mathbb{Z}/n) \Rightarrow K_{t-s}^{et}(S, \mathbb{Z}/n). \quad (9)$$

This is the finite descent spectral sequence for étale K -theory, for the hypercover U .

Here's an example: suppose that S is a connected Noetherian scheme, and let $\pi : Y \rightarrow S$ be a Galois cover with (finite) Galois group G . Among other things, this means that Y is connected, π is a finite étale (surjective) homomorphism, and there is a free G -action on Y such that $Y/G \cong S$ as étale sheaves over S . It follows that there is a group isomorphism $\text{Aut}_S(Y) \cong G$ and that $\pi : Y \rightarrow S$ represents a G -torsor over S . [These things are ubiquitous: every finite étale cover $Z \rightarrow X$ with Z connected can be refined by a Galois cover.]

The corresponding Čech resolution $C(Y) \rightarrow S$ is a hypercover of S , and since $\pi : Y \rightarrow S$ is a G -torsor there is an isomorphism

$$C(Y) \cong EG \times_G Y$$

over S . In this case the finite descent spectral sequence (9) takes the form

$$E_2^{s,t} = H^s(G, K_t^{et}(Y, \mathbb{Z}/n)) \Rightarrow K_{t-s}^{et}(S, \mathbb{Z}/n).$$

Fix a geometric point $x : Sp(\Omega) \rightarrow S$. The Galois extensions $\pi : Y \rightarrow S$ line up into a pro-object indexed by the diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & Y \\ & \nearrow t & \downarrow \pi \\ Sp(\Omega) & \xrightarrow{x} & S \end{array}$$

Any morphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & Sp(\Omega) & \\ & \swarrow t \quad \searrow t' & \\ Y & \xrightarrow{\theta} & Y' \\ & \searrow p \quad \swarrow p' & \\ & S & \end{array}$$

in the pro-object determines a surjective homomorphism $\theta_* : G \rightarrow H$ relating the corresponding Galois groups, and an induced map

$$EG \times_G V \rightarrow EH \times_H V',$$

which determines a morphism of finite descent spectral sequences. Taking the filtered colimit of these spectral sequences over this pro-object gives a spectral sequence with

$$E_2^{s,t} = H^s(\pi_1(S, x), R^t p_* K/n) \Rightarrow K_{t-s}^{et}(S, \mathbb{Z}/n), \quad (10)$$

where $\pi_1(S, x)$ is the Grothendieck fundamental group of S which is determined by the geometric point x — it is the pro-object of Galois groups which is determined by the pro-object of Galois covers of S .

Here, $R^t p_* K/n$ is the presheaf of stable homotopy groups $\pi_t p_* FK/n$ for the (direct image) presheaf of spectra $p_* FK/n$ which is defined by restriction along the site morphism

$$p : fet|_S \subset et|_S$$

corresponding to the inclusion of the finite étale covers into the collection of all étale covers.

The spectral sequence (10) is actually a Leray spectral sequence. The direct image functor is not exact in general, and does not necessarily preserve local weak equivalences.

If $S = Sp(k)$ is the spectrum of a field, then p_* is exact, and we can work out what happens in stalks. The fundamental group is the absolute Galois group of k , and the spectral sequence above is the Galois cohomological descent spectral sequence

$$E_2^{s,t} = H^s(G, \tilde{\pi}_t(\mathbf{K}/n)) \Rightarrow K_{t-s}^{et}(k, \mathbb{Z}/n). \quad (11)$$

where $\tilde{\pi}_*(\mathbf{K}/n)$ are the étale sheaves of stable homotopy groups of the presheaf of spectra \mathbf{K}/n . On account of the rigidity results of Suslin and Gabber, we know that these sheaves have the form

$$\tilde{\pi}_t(\mathbf{K}/n) \cong \begin{cases} \mu_n^{\otimes k} & \text{if } t = 2k, \text{ and} \\ 0 & \text{if } t \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

This spectral sequence (11) generalizes to an étale cohomological descent spectral sequence

$$E_2^{s,t} = H_{et}^s(S, \tilde{\pi}_t(\mathbf{K}/n)) \Rightarrow K_{t-s}^{et}(S, \mathbb{Z}/n). \quad (13)$$

There are various ways to construct the thing: use rigid hypercovers or a Postnikov resolution.

The étale-local fibrant model construction

$$j : \mathbf{K}/n \rightarrow FK/n$$

specializes to a map of spectra $\mathbf{K}/n(S) \rightarrow FK/n(S)$, and therefore induces a comparison of stable homotopy groups

$$K_s(S, \mathbb{Z}/n) \rightarrow K_s^{et}(S, \mathbb{Z}/n).$$

The Lichtenbaum-Quillen conjecture says that this comparison is an isomorphism in all but finitely many degrees in the presence of a global bound on the étale cohomological dimension of S with respect to n -torsion sheaves.

In particular, if k is a field of (virtual) Galois cohomological dimension d with respect to n -torsion sheaves, where $(n, \text{char}(k)) = 1$, then this conjecture says that the morphism

$$K_s(k, \mathbb{Z}/n) \rightarrow K_s^{et}(k, \mathbb{Z}/n).$$

should be an isomorphism if $s \geq d - 1$.

The Lichtenbaum-Quillen statement for fields implies the general form of the Lichtenbaum-Quillen conjecture, by a Nisnevich descent argument [9] which was originally discovered by Thomason. The statement for fields is known to be a consequence of the Bloch-Kato conjecture that mod n Galois cohomology of a field is generated multiplicatively by elements in dimension 1: Suslin and Voevodsky show that the Bloch-Kato conjecture implies a conjecture of Beilinson and Lichtenbaum [19], [3], and then the argument is completed with a comparison of motivic descent spectral sequences [14].

The case $n = 2$ of the Bloch-Kato conjecture is the Milnor conjecture, which was proved by Voevodsky [23], and a proof of the corresponding case of the Lichtenbaum-Quillen conjecture appears in [18]. A proof of the full Bloch-Kato conjecture is outlined, subject to some missing details, in [24].

Formally invert multiplication by the Bott element β to produce the presheaf of spectra $\mathbf{K}/n(1/\beta)$. This is now easy to do — use the tensor product pairing (8). Thomason's descent theorem [20], [9] says that the étale fibration model

$$\mathbf{K}/n(1/\beta) \rightarrow F(\mathbf{K}/n(1/\beta))$$

induces a stable equivalence

$$\mathbf{K}/n(1/\beta)(S) \rightarrow F(\mathbf{K}/n(1/\beta))(S)$$

for schemes S satisfying the assumptions for the Lichtenbaum-Quillen conjecture.

If k has a primitive n^{th} root of unity ζ_n , then the Bott element β is a preimage of $\zeta_n \in \text{Tor}(\mathbb{Z}/n, K_1(k))$ for the map

$$K_2(k, \mathbb{Z}/n) \rightarrow \text{Tor}(\mathbb{Z}/n, K_1(k)),$$

where $K_1(k) = k^*$ is the group of units in k . Otherwise, one inverts some power of $\beta \in k(\zeta_n)$.

5 Nisnevich K -theory

There is nothing particularly sacred about either the topology on $Sch|_S$ or the site of S -schemes itself, and there are topological variants of the K -theory

presheaf (or any other presheaf of spectra), and corresponding descent questions and/or theorems associated with all such choices.

Suppose that

$$j : \mathbf{K} \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_f =: \mathbf{K}_{Nis}$$

is a stably fibrant model for the Nisnevich topology on the site $Sm|_S$ of smooth S -schemes. The stable homotopy groups $\pi_*\mathbf{K}_{Nis}(S)$ are the Nisnevich K -groups of S .

If the scheme S is separated, regular and Noetherian, then the induced map $j : \mathbf{K}(S) \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_{Nis}(S)$ in global sections is a stable equivalence, by the Nisnevich descent theorem, as it appears in [15, 3.1.18]. Thus, Nisnevich K -theory coincides with ordinary algebraic K -theory in this case.

More generally, and in particular if S is not necessarily regular, the comparison map

$$\mathbf{K} \rightarrow \mathbf{K}^{TT}$$

with the Thomason-Trobaugh K -theory presheaf [21] (they would write $K(S)^B$ for $\mathbf{K}^{TT}(S)$) induces a Nisnevich local weak equivalence

$$Q(\mathbf{K})^0 \rightarrow Q(\mathbf{K}^{TT})^0$$

of associated presheaves of infinite loop spaces. In effect, the maps

$$K_n(T) = \pi_n\mathbf{K}(T) \rightarrow \pi_n\mathbf{K}^{TT}(T)$$

are isomorphisms for $n \geq 0$ if T has an ample family of line bundles, and in particular when T is affine [21, 3.9,3.10,7.5a]. It follows that the induced map

$$\mathbf{K}_f^0 \rightarrow (\mathbf{K}^{TT})_f^0$$

of level 0 objects of Nisnevich stably fibrant models is a sectionwise weak equivalence. The Thomason-Trobaugh presheaf \mathbf{K}^{TT} satisfies Nisnevich descent [21, 10.8], so in particular a stably fibrant model for \mathbf{K}^{TT} induces a sectionwise weak equivalence

$$Q(\mathbf{K}^{TT})^0 \rightarrow (\mathbf{K}^{TT})_f^0$$

of pointed simplicial presheaves. It follows that there is an isomorphism

$$\pi_s\mathbf{K}_{Nis}(S) \cong \pi_s\mathbf{K}^{TT}(S) =: K_s^{TT}(S) \tag{14}$$

between the Nisnevich and Thomason-Trobaugh K -groups of S for $s \geq 0$. This result appears in [15, 4.3.9], with the same argument.

Remark 12. It follows that the Nisnevich-local stably fibrant model $j : \mathbf{K} \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_f$ induces isomorphisms

$$K_n(S) \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi_n\mathbf{K}_{Nis}(S)$$

for $n \geq 0$ if S has an ample family of line bundles. I have not seen a proof of this assertion which is independent of the methods of [21].

The tensor product pairing (8) induces a map

$$\otimes : \mathbf{K} \wedge_{\Sigma} \mathbf{K} \rightarrow \mathbf{K}$$

in the stable category of presheaves of symmetric spectra. There is a trivial stable fibration $\pi : \mathbf{K}_c \rightarrow \mathbf{K}$ such that \mathbf{K}_c is cofibrant for the stable model structure on presheaves of symmetric spectra. Then after taking stably fibrant models, there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbf{K}_c \wedge_{\Sigma} \mathbf{K}_c & \xrightarrow{\pi \wedge \pi} & \mathbf{K} \wedge_{\Sigma} \mathbf{K} & \xrightarrow{\otimes} & \mathbf{K} \\ j \wedge j \downarrow & & & & \downarrow j \\ \mathbf{K}_{cf} \wedge_{\Sigma} \mathbf{K}_{cf} & \dashrightarrow & & & \mathbf{K}_f \end{array}$$

in which the dotted arrow represents the derived pairing. In effect, the map $j \wedge j$ is a stably trivial cofibration since \mathbf{K}_c is cofibrant, by a formal argument [10, 4.19] (see also [6]), while \mathbf{K}_f is stably fibrant.

Write \mathbb{P}^1 for the projective line over S , pointed by the point at infinity. The element $b = [\mathcal{O}(-1)] - [\mathcal{O}] \in K_0(\mathbb{P}^1)$ defines a morphism $b : \Sigma^{\infty} \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbf{K}$ of presheaves of symmetric spectra. The composite

$$\mathbb{P}^1 \wedge \mathbf{K} \cong \Sigma^{\infty} \mathbb{P}^1 \wedge_{\Sigma} \mathbf{K} \xrightarrow{b \wedge 1} \mathbf{K} \wedge_{\Sigma} \mathbf{K} \xrightarrow{\otimes} \mathbf{K}$$

defines a pairing $\mathbb{P}^1 \wedge \mathbf{K} \rightarrow \mathbf{K}$ on the presheaf of symmetric spectra \mathbf{K} . There is a compatible pairing

$$\mathbb{P}^1 \wedge \mathbf{K}_f \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_f \tag{15}$$

on the Nisnevich K -theory presheaf which is induced by multiplication by the image of $b \in \pi_0 \mathbf{K}_f(\mathbb{P}^1)$.

The projective bundle theorem for Nisnevich K -theory (which is a consequence of the ordinary K -theory result) implies that the adjoint map

$$\mathbf{K}_f \rightarrow \mathbf{hom}_*(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathbf{K}_f) =: \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^1} \mathbf{K}_f \tag{16}$$

(multiplication by b) is a sectionwise stable (hence level) equivalence. See also [16, 1.2.6].

The motivic \mathbb{P}^1 -spectrum structure on algebraic K -theory which appears in [16] amounts to the level 0 part

$$\mathbb{P}^1 \wedge \mathbf{K}_f^0 \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_f^0$$

of the pairing (15) when the scheme S is regular. In that case, there are motivic weak equivalences

$$Q\mathbf{K}^0 \simeq \mathbf{K}_f^0 \simeq \mathbb{Z} \times BGl \simeq \mathbb{Z} \times G(\infty, \infty), \tag{17}$$

where BGl is the usual colimit of the classifying simplicial presheaves BGl_n [15, 4.3.13], [10, 1.7]. The final equivalence in (17) incorporates a motivic weak equivalence

$$BGl \simeq G(\infty, \infty),$$

where $G(\infty, \infty)$ is a filtered colimit of Grassmanians $G(n, \infty) \simeq BGL_n$ (motivic weak equivalence) of n -planes in infinite dimensional space [15, 4.3.14].

The level 0 part of the equivalence (16) was originally found by Voevodsky [22]; his proof uses the equivalences in (17).

The algebraic K -theory presheaf of spectra \mathbf{K} on the site $Sm|_S$ satisfies motivic descent when S is regular. In that case, the algebraic K -groups $K_n(S)$, $n \geq 0$, are represented by maps in the pointed motivic category to derived iterated loop spaces $\Omega^n X$, where X is any of the objects displayed in (17).

References

- [1] A. K. Bousfield and E. M. Friedlander. Homotopy theory of Γ -spaces, spectra, and bisimplicial sets. In *Geometric applications of homotopy theory (Proc. Conf., Evanston, Ill., 1977)*, II, volume 658 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 80–130. Springer, Berlin, 1978.
- [2] Eric M. Friedlander and Andrei Suslin. The spectral sequence relating algebraic K -theory to motivic cohomology. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 35(6):773–875, 2002.
- [3] Thomas Geisser and Marc Levine. The Bloch-Kato conjecture and a theorem of Suslin-Voevodsky. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 530:55–103, 2001.
- [4] P. G. Goerss and J. F. Jardine. *Simplicial homotopy theory*, volume 174 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1999.
- [5] Sharon Hollander. A homotopy theory for stacks. *Israel J. Math.*, 163:93–124, 2008.
- [6] Mark Hovey, Brooke Shipley, and Jeff Smith. Symmetric spectra. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 13(1):149–208, 2000.
- [7] J. F. Jardine. Simplicial presheaves. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 47(1):35–87, 1987.
- [8] J. F. Jardine. Stable homotopy theory of simplicial presheaves. *Canad. J. Math.*, 39(3):733–747, 1987.
- [9] J. F. Jardine. *Generalized étale cohomology theories*, volume 146 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1997.
- [10] J. F. Jardine. Motivic symmetric spectra. *Doc. Math.*, 5:445–553 (electronic), 2000.
- [11] J. F. Jardine. Presheaves of symmetric spectra. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 150(2):137–154, 2000.
- [12] J. F. Jardine. Stacks and the homotopy theory of simplicial sheaves. *Homology Homotopy Appl.*, 3(2):361–384 (electronic), 2001. Equivariant stable homotopy theory and related areas (Stanford, CA, 2000).
- [13] André Joyal and Myles Tierney. Strong stacks and classifying spaces. In *Category theory (Como, 1990)*, volume 1488 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 213–236. Springer, Berlin, 1991.
- [14] Marc Levine. K -theory and motivic cohomology of schemes. Preprint, 2002.
- [15] Fabien Morel and Vladimir Voevodsky. \mathbf{A}^1 -homotopy theory of schemes. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, 90:45–143 (2001), 1999.
- [16] I. Panin, K. Pimenov, and O. Roendigs. On Voevodsky’s algebraic K -theory spectrum BGL . Preprint, 2007.
- [17] Daniel Quillen. Higher algebraic K -theory. I. In *Algebraic K-theory, I: Higher K-theories (Proc. Conf., Battelle Memorial Inst., Seattle, Wash., 1972)*, pages 85–147. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 341. Springer, Berlin, 1973.
- [18] Andreas Rosenschon and Paul Arne Østvær. The homotopy limit problem for two-primary algebraic K -theory. *Topology*, 44(6):1159–1179, 2005.

- [19] Andrei Suslin and Vladimir Voevodsky. Bloch-Kato conjecture and motivic cohomology with finite coefficients. In *The arithmetic and geometry of algebraic cycles (Banff, AB, 1998)*, volume 548 of *NATO Sci. Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci.*, pages 117–189. Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2000.
- [20] R. W. Thomason. Algebraic K -theory and étale cohomology. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 18(3):437–552, 1985.
- [21] R. W. Thomason and Thomas Trobaugh. Higher algebraic K -theory of schemes and of derived categories. In *The Grothendieck Festschrift, Vol. III*, volume 88 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 247–435. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1990.
- [22] Vladimir Voevodsky. \mathbf{A}^1 -homotopy theory. In *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. I (Berlin, 1998)*, number Extra Vol. I, pages 579–604 (electronic), 1998.
- [23] Vladimir Voevodsky. Motivic cohomology with $\mathbf{Z}/2$ -coefficients. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.*, (98):59–104, 2003.
- [24] Vladimir Voevodsky. On motivic cohomology with \mathbf{Z}/ℓ -coefficients. Preprint, 2003.
- [25] Friedhelm Waldhausen. Algebraic K -theory of spaces. In *Algebraic and geometric topology (New Brunswick, N.J., 1983)*, volume 1126 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 318–419. Springer, Berlin, 1985.