

# ON THE FUNCTORIALITY OF THE SLICE FILTRATION

PABLO PELAEZ

ABSTRACT. Let  $k$  be a field with resolution of singularities, and  $X$  a separated  $k$ -scheme of finite type with structure map  $g$ . We show that the slice filtration commutes with pullback along  $g$ . Restricting the field further to the case of characteristic zero, we are able to compute in  $\mathcal{SH}_X$  the slices of homotopy invariant  $K$ -theory extending the result of Levine [Lev08], and also the zero slice of the sphere spectrum extending the result of Levine [Lev08] and Voevodsky [Voe04]. We also show that the zero slice of the sphere spectrum is a strict cofibrant ring spectrum  $\mathbf{HZ}_X^{sf}$  which is stable under pullback and that all the slices have a canonical structure of strict modules over  $\mathbf{HZ}_X^{sf}$ . If we consider rational coefficients and assume that  $X$  is geometrically unibranch then relying on the work of Cisinski and Déglise [CD09], we get that the zero slice of the sphere spectrum is given by Voevodsky's rational motivic cohomology spectrum  $\mathbf{HZ}_X \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  and that the slices have transfers. This proves several conjectures of Voevodsky [Voe02, conjectures 1, 7, 10, 11].

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14, 55.

*Key words and phrases.*  $K$ -theory, Mixed Motives, Motivic Atiyah-Hirzebruch Spectral Sequence, Slice Filtration.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $X$  be a Noetherian separated scheme of finite Krull dimension, and  $\mathcal{M}_X$  be the category of pointed simplicial presheaves in the smooth Nisnevich site  $Sm_X$  over  $X$  equipped with the motivic model structure introduced in [PPR07, theorem A.17]. We define  $T_X$  in  $\mathcal{M}_X$  as the pointed simplicial presheaf represented by  $S^1 \wedge \mathbb{G}_m$ , where  $\mathbb{G}_m$  is the multiplicative group  $\mathbb{A}_X^1 - \{0\}$  pointed by 1, and  $S^1$  denotes the simplicial circle. Let  $Spt(\mathcal{M}_X)$  denote Jardine's category of symmetric  $T_X$ -spectra on  $\mathcal{M}_X$  equipped with the motivic model structure defined in [PPR07, theorem A.38] and  $\mathcal{SH}_X$  denote its homotopy category, which is triangulated.

For every integer  $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we consider the following family of symmetric  $T_X$ -spectra

$$C_{eff}^q(X) = \{F_n(S^r \wedge \mathbb{G}_m^s \wedge U_+) \mid n, r, s \geq 0; s - n \geq q; U \in Sm_X\}$$

where  $F_n$  is the left adjoint to the  $n$ -evaluation functor

$$ev_n : Spt(\mathcal{M}_X) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_X$$

Voevodsky [Voe02] defines the slice filtration as the following family of triangulated subcategories of  $\mathcal{SH}_X$

$$\dots \subseteq \Sigma_T^{q+1} \mathcal{SH}_X^{eff} \subseteq \Sigma_T^q \mathcal{SH}_X^{eff} \subseteq \Sigma_T^{q-1} \mathcal{SH}_X^{eff} \subseteq \dots$$

where  $\Sigma_T^q \mathcal{SH}_X^{eff}$  is the smallest full triangulated subcategory of  $\mathcal{SH}_X$  which contains  $C_{eff}^q(X)$  and is closed under arbitrary coproducts.

It follows from the work of Neeman [Nee96], [Nee01] that the inclusion

$$i_q : \Sigma_T^q \mathcal{SH}_X^{eff} \rightarrow \mathcal{SH}_X$$

has a right adjoint  $r_q : \mathcal{SH}_X \rightarrow \Sigma_T^q \mathcal{SH}_X^{eff}$ , and that the following functors

$$f_q : \mathcal{SH}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{SH}_X$$

$$s_q : \mathcal{SH}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{SH}_X$$

are exact, where  $f_q$  is defined as the composition  $i_q \circ r_q$ , and  $s_q$  is characterized by the fact that for every  $E \in \mathcal{SH}_X$ , we have the following distinguished triangle in  $\mathcal{SH}_X$

$$f_{q+1}E \xrightarrow{\rho_q^E} f_qE \xrightarrow{\pi_q^E} s_qE \longrightarrow \Sigma_T^{1,0} f_{q+1}E$$

We will refer to  $f_qE$  as the  $(q-1)$ -connective cover of  $E$ , and to  $s_qE$  as the  $q$ -slice of  $E$ . It follows directly from the definition that the  $q$ -slice of  $E$  satisfies the following property:

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_X}(K, s_qE) = 0$$

for every symmetric  $T_X$ -spectrum  $K$  in  $\Sigma_T^{q+1} \mathcal{SH}_X^{eff}$ .

## 2. A GENERAL CRITERION

In this section  $g : X \rightarrow Y$  will be a map of schemes, where  $X$  and  $Y$  are Noetherian, separated and of finite Krull dimension. Our goal is to introduce a general criterion which implies the compatibility between the slice filtration and pullback along  $g$ :

$$\mathbf{L}g^* : \mathcal{SH}_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{SH}_X$$

**Lemma 2.1.** *For every integer  $q \in \mathbb{Z}$  we have that  $\mathbf{L}g^*(\Sigma_T^q \mathcal{SH}_Y^{eff}) \subseteq \Sigma_T^q \mathcal{SH}_X^{eff}$ , i.e. the functor  $\mathbf{L}g^* : \mathcal{SH}_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{SH}_X$  respects connective objects.*

*Proof.* This follows directly from the fact that  $g^*(T_Y) = T_X$ .  $\square$

It follows immediately from lemma 2.1 that for any integer  $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have a pair of natural transformations  $\alpha_q : \mathbf{L}g^* \circ f_q \rightarrow f_q \circ \mathbf{L}g^*$ ,  $\beta_q : \mathbf{L}g^* \circ s_q \rightarrow s_q \circ \mathbf{L}g^*$  such that for every  $E \in \mathcal{SH}_Y$  the following diagram

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathbf{L}g^*(f_{q+1}E) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{L}g^*(\rho_q^E)} & \mathbf{L}g^*(f_qE) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{L}g^*(\pi_q^E)} & \mathbf{L}g^*(s_qE) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{L}g^*(\Sigma_{T_Y}^{1,0} f_{q+1}E) \\ \downarrow \alpha_{q+1}(E) & & \downarrow \alpha_q(E) & & \downarrow \beta_q(E) & & \downarrow \Sigma_{T_X}^{1,0}(\alpha_{q+1}(E)) \\ f_{q+1}(\mathbf{L}g^*E) & \xrightarrow{\rho_q^{\mathbf{L}g^*E}} & f_q(\mathbf{L}g^*E) & \xrightarrow{\pi_q^{\mathbf{L}g^*E}} & s_q(\mathbf{L}g^*E) & \longrightarrow & \Sigma_{T_X}^{1,0} f_{q+1}(\mathbf{L}g^*E) \end{array}$$

is commutative and its rows are distinguished triangles in  $\mathcal{SH}_X$ .

**Definition 2.2.** *We say that the slice filtration is compatible with pullbacks along  $g$ , if  $\beta_q$  is a natural isomorphism for every  $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ .*

**Definition 2.3.** *Let  $E \in \mathcal{SH}_X$  be a symmetric  $T_X$ -spectrum and  $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We say that  $E$  is  $q$ -orthogonal with respect to the slice filtration in  $\mathcal{SH}_X$ , if one of the following equivalent conditions holds:*

- (1)  $f_q E = 0$ .
- (2)  $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_X}(F, E) = 0$  for every  $F \in \Sigma_T^q \mathcal{SH}_X^{eff}$ .

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $\mathcal{SH}_X^\perp(q)$  denote the full subcategory of  $\mathcal{SH}_X$  generated by the symmetric  $T_X$ -spectra which are  $q$ -orthogonal with respect to the slice filtration in  $\mathcal{SH}_X$ . We have that  $\mathcal{SH}_X^\perp(q)$  is a triangulated subcategory of  $\mathcal{SH}_X$ .*

*Proof.* It follows immediately from the fact that the functor  $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_X}(A, -)$  is homological for every  $A \in \mathcal{SH}_X$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $\mathbf{R}g_* : \mathcal{SH}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{SH}_Y$  be the right adjoint of  $\mathbf{L}g^* : \mathcal{SH}_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{SH}_X$ . Then the functor  $\mathbf{R}g_*$  is compatible with the  $q$ -orthogonal objects with respect to the slice filtration, i.e.*

$$\mathbf{R}g_*(\mathcal{SH}_X^\perp(q)) \subseteq \mathcal{SH}_Y^\perp(q)$$

*Proof.* It suffices to show that for every symmetric  $T_X$ -spectrum  $F$  in  $\mathcal{SH}_X$  which is  $q$ -orthogonal with respect to the slice filtration, and for every symmetric  $T_Y$ -spectrum  $H$  in  $\mathcal{SH}_Y$  which is in  $\Sigma_T^q \mathcal{SH}_Y^{eff}$ , we have

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_Y}(H, \mathbf{R}g_* F) = 0$$

However, by adjointness

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_Y}(H, \mathbf{R}g_* F) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_X}(\mathbf{L}g^* H, F)$$

on the other hand, lemma 2.1 implies that  $\mathbf{L}g^*H \in \Sigma_T^q \mathcal{SH}_X^{eff}$ . Hence

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_Y}(H, \mathbf{R}g_*F) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_X}(\mathbf{L}g^*H, F) = 0$$

since  $F$  is in  $\mathcal{SH}_X^\perp(q)$ . This finishes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.6.** *Let  $E \in \mathcal{SH}_Y$  be a symmetric  $T_Y$ -spectrum and  $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ . If the following condition holds:*

$$(2.2) \quad \mathbf{L}g^*(s_q E) \in \mathcal{SH}_X^\perp(q+1)$$

then the natural maps:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{q+1}(f_q E) : \mathbf{L}g^*(f_{q+1}f_q E) &\longrightarrow f_{q+1}(\mathbf{L}g^*(f_q E)) \\ \alpha_q(f_q E) : \mathbf{L}g^*(f_q f_q E) &\longrightarrow f_q(\mathbf{L}g^*(f_q E)) \\ \beta_q(f_q E) : \mathbf{L}g^*(s_q f_q E) &\longrightarrow s_q(\mathbf{L}g^*(f_q E)) \end{aligned}$$

are all isomorphisms in  $\mathcal{SH}_X$ .

*Proof.* Consider the commutative diagram (2.1) for  $f_q E$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathbf{L}g^*(f_{q+1}f_q E) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{L}g^*(\rho_q^{f_q E})} & \mathbf{L}g^*(f_q f_q E) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{L}g^*(\pi_q^{f_q E})} & \mathbf{L}g^*(s_q f_q E) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{L}g^*(\Sigma_{T_Y}^{1,0} f_{q+1}f_q E) \\ \alpha_{q+1}(f_q E) \downarrow & & \alpha_q(f_q E) \downarrow & & \beta_q(f_q E) \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ f_{q+1}(\mathbf{L}g^* f_q E) & \xrightarrow{\rho_q^{\mathbf{L}g^* f_q E}} & f_q(\mathbf{L}g^* f_q E) & \xrightarrow{\pi_q^{\mathbf{L}g^* f_q E}} & s_q(\mathbf{L}g^* f_q E) & \longrightarrow & \Sigma_{T_X}^{1,0} f_{q+1}(\mathbf{L}g^* f_q E) \end{array}$$

It follows from lemma 2.1 that  $\alpha_q(f_q E)$  is an isomorphism. Using the octahedral axiom we get the following commutative diagram where all the rows and columns are distinguished triangles in  $\mathcal{SH}_X$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathbf{L}g^*(f_{q+1}f_q E) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{L}g^*(\rho_q^{f_q E})} & \mathbf{L}g^*(f_q f_q E) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{L}g^*(\pi_q^{f_q E})} & \mathbf{L}g^*(s_q f_q E) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{L}g^*(\Sigma_{T_Y}^{1,0} f_{q+1}f_q E) \\ \alpha_{q+1}(f_q E) \downarrow & & \alpha_q(f_q E) \downarrow & & \beta_q(f_q E) \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ f_{q+1}(\mathbf{L}g^* f_q E) & \xrightarrow{\rho_q^{\mathbf{L}g^* f_q E}} & f_q(\mathbf{L}g^* f_q E) & \xrightarrow{\pi_q^{\mathbf{L}g^* f_q E}} & s_q(\mathbf{L}g^* f_q E) & \longrightarrow & \Sigma_{T_X}^{1,0} f_{q+1}(\mathbf{L}g^* f_q E) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ A & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & \Sigma_{T_X}^{1,0} A & \xlongequal{\quad} & \Sigma_{T_X}^{1,0} A \end{array}$$

Thus, it suffices to show that  $\Sigma_{T_X}^{1,0} A \cong 0$  in  $\mathcal{SH}_X$ . It follows from lemma 2.1 that  $\mathbf{L}g^*(f_{q+1}f_q E)$  is in  $\Sigma_T^{q+1} \mathcal{SH}_X^{eff}$ , and by construction  $f_{q+1}(\mathbf{L}g^* f_q E)$  is also in  $\Sigma_T^{q+1} \mathcal{SH}_X^{eff}$ . Hence,  $A$  and  $\Sigma_{T_X}^{1,0} A$  are both in  $\Sigma_T^{q+1} \mathcal{SH}_X^{eff}$ .

On the other hand, by hypothesis  $\mathbf{L}g^*(s_q E) \cong \mathbf{L}g^*(s_q f_q E)$  is in  $\mathcal{SH}_X^\perp(q+1)$ ; therefore, lemma 2.4 implies that  $\Sigma_{T_X}^{1,0} A$  is in  $\mathcal{SH}_X^\perp(q+1)$ , since  $s_q(\mathbf{L}g^* f_q E)$  is in  $\mathcal{SH}_X^\perp(q+1)$  by construction.

We then have

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_X}(\Sigma_{T_X}^{1,0} A, \Sigma_{T_X}^{1,0} A) = 0$$

and from this it follows at once that  $\Sigma_{T_X}^{1,0} A \cong 0$  in  $\mathcal{SH}_X$ , as we wanted.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.7.** *If the condition (2.2) in lemma 2.6 holds for every symmetric  $T_Y$ -spectrum in  $\mathcal{SH}_Y$  and for every integer  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have that the slice filtration is compatible with pullbacks along  $g$ , i.e. we have a natural isomorphism  $\beta_\ell : \mathbf{L}g^* \circ s_\ell \rightarrow s_\ell \circ \mathbf{L}g^*$  for every  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $E$  be a symmetric  $T_Y$ -spectrum in  $\mathcal{SH}_Y$  and fix an integer  $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $E \cong \text{hocolim}_{p \leq q} f_p E$ , and since  $\mathbf{L}g^*$  and  $s_q$  commute with homotopy colimits we have that  $\beta_q(E) : \mathbf{L}g^*(s_q E) \rightarrow s_q(\mathbf{L}g^* E)$  is given by  $\text{hocolim}_{p \leq q} \beta_q(f_p E)$ . Hence, it suffices to show that  $\beta_q(f_p E) : \mathbf{L}g^*(s_q(f_p E)) \rightarrow s_q \mathbf{L}g^*(f_p E)$  is an isomorphism in  $\mathcal{SH}_X$  for every integer  $p \leq q$ .

Lemma 2.6 implies that  $\beta_q(f_q E)$  is an isomorphism. We now proceed by induction, and assume that  $\beta_q(f_r E)$  is an isomorphism for some  $r \leq q$ . It only remains to show that in this situation,  $\beta_q(f_{r-1} E)$  is also an isomorphism. Consider the following commutative diagram in  $\mathcal{SH}_X$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{L}g^*(s_q(f_r E)) & \xrightarrow{\beta_q(f_r E)} & s_q(\mathbf{L}g^*(f_r E)) \\ \mathbf{L}g^* s_q(\rho_{r-1}^E) \downarrow & & \downarrow s_q \mathbf{L}g^*(\rho_{r-1}^E) \\ \mathbf{L}g^*(s_q(f_{r-1} E)) & \xrightarrow{\beta_q(f_{r-1} E)} & s_q(\mathbf{L}g^*(f_{r-1} E)) \end{array}$$

Since  $r \leq q$ , the left vertical map is an isomorphism and our induction hypothesis says that  $\beta_q(f_r E)$  is also an isomorphism. Thus, it is enough to check that  $s_q \mathbf{L}g^*(\rho_{r-1}^E)$  is an isomorphism in  $\mathcal{SH}_X$ . However, we have the following commutative diagram in  $\mathcal{SH}_X$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} s_q(\mathbf{L}g^*(f_r E)) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & s_q(\mathbf{L}g^*(f_r f_{r-1} E)) \\ s_q \mathbf{L}g^*(\rho_{r-1}^E) \downarrow & & \downarrow s_q(\alpha_r(f_{r-1} E)) \\ s_q(\mathbf{L}g^*(f_{r-1} E)) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & s_q(f_r(\mathbf{L}g^*(f_{r-1} E))) \end{array}$$

where the rows are both canonical isomorphisms and the right vertical map is also an isomorphism by lemma 2.6. Thus,  $s_q \mathbf{L}g^*(\rho_{r-1}^E)$  is an isomorphism in  $\mathcal{SH}_X$ . This finishes the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 2.8.** *It is clear that theorem 2.7 holds for any exact functor*

$$F : \mathcal{SH}_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{SH}_X$$

*which satisfies the following axioms:*

- (1) For every  $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $F(\Sigma_T^q \mathcal{SH}_Y^{\text{eff}}) \subseteq \Sigma_T^q \mathcal{SH}_X^{\text{eff}}$ .
- (2)  $F$  commutes with homotopy colimits.

*Interesting examples are the following:*

- (1)  $A \wedge - : \mathcal{SH}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{SH}_X$ , where  $A$  is a cofibrant symmetric  $T_X$ -spectrum in  $\mathcal{SH}_X^{\text{eff}}$ .
- (2)  $\mathbf{L}g_\# : \mathcal{SH}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{SH}_Y$ , where  $g : X \rightarrow Y$  is a smooth map of finite type.

**Lemma 2.9.** *Assume that  $g : X \rightarrow Y$  is a smooth map. We have that for every symmetric  $T_Y$ -spectrum in  $\mathcal{SH}_Y$  and for every integer  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the condition (2.2) in lemma 2.6 holds; and as a consequence we get that the slice filtration is compatible with pullbacks along  $g$  in the sense of definition 2.2.*

*Proof.* Consider a symmetric  $T_Y$ -spectrum  $E$  in  $\mathcal{SH}_Y$  and fix an integer  $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ . By theorem 2.7 it suffices to show that  $\mathbf{L}g^*(s_q E)$  is in  $\mathcal{SH}_X^\perp(q+1)$ .

Let  $K = F_n(S^r \wedge \mathbb{G}_m^s \wedge U_+)$ , where  $n, r, s \geq 0$ ;  $s - n \geq q + 1$  and  $U \in Sm_X$ . Since  $g$  is smooth we have that  $\mathbf{L}g^* : \mathcal{SH}_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{SH}_X$  has a left adjoint  $\mathbf{L}g_\# : \mathcal{SH}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{SH}_Y$ . It is easy to see that  $\mathbf{L}g_\# K = K$  where we look at  $U$  as a smooth scheme over  $Y$  using the map  $g$ , and  $\mathbb{G}_m$  as the multiplicative group over  $Y$  (see [MV99, proposition 1.23(2)]). Therefore

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_X}(K, \mathbf{L}g^* s_q E) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_Y}(\mathbf{L}g_\# K, s_q E) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_Y}(K, s_q E)$$

However, it is clear that  $K$  is in  $\Sigma_T^{q+1} \mathcal{SH}_Y^{eff}$  and by construction we have that  $s_q E$  is in  $\mathcal{SH}_Y^\perp(q+1)$ . Thus

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_X}(K, \mathbf{L}g^* s_q E) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_Y}(K, s_q E) = 0$$

and this finishes the proof since the family

$$C_{eff}^{q+1}(X) = \{F_n(S^r \wedge \mathbb{G}_m^s \wedge U_+) \mid n, r, s \geq 0; s - n \geq q + 1; U \in Sm_X\}$$

is a set of compact generators for  $\Sigma_T^{q+1} \mathcal{SH}_X^{eff}$ . □

### 3. THE CASE OF SCHEMES DEFINED OVER A FIELD WITH RESOLUTION OF SINGULARITIES

In this section  $k$  will denote a field with resolution of singularities and  $X$  will be a separated  $k$ -scheme of finite type with structure map  $g : X \rightarrow k$ . Our goal is to show that the condition (2.2) of lemma 2.6 holds for every symmetric  $T_k$ -spectrum in  $\mathcal{SH}_k$  and for every integer  $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Thus, by theorem 2.7 we have that in this situation there exists compatibility between the slice filtration and pullback along  $g$  in the sense of definition 2.2.

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $E$  be an arbitrary symmetric  $T_k$ -spectrum in  $\mathcal{SH}_k$  and  $q \in \mathbb{Z}$  an arbitrary integer. Then*

$$\mathbf{L}g^*(s_q E) \in \mathcal{SH}_X^\perp(q+1)$$

*Proof.* We will proceed by Noetherian induction. Since our base field has resolution of singularities, we have the following fibre product diagrams:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} p^{-1}Y & \xrightarrow{\tilde{i}} & W \\ \tilde{p} \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ Y & \xrightarrow{i} & X \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} p^{-1}U & \xrightarrow{\tilde{j}} & W \\ h \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow p \\ U = X \setminus Y & \xrightarrow{j} & X \end{array}$$

where  $Y$  is a nowhere dense closed subscheme of  $X$ ,  $p$  is projective, dominant and birational,  $W$  is smooth over  $k$  (with structure map  $g \circ p$ ) and  $h$  is an isomorphism.

It follows from [Ayo07, scholium 1.4.2] that the following diagram is a distinguished triangle in  $\mathcal{SH}_W$ , where  $F$  denotes  $\mathbf{L}(g \circ p)^*(s_q E)$

$$\tilde{j}_! \circ \tilde{j}^!(F) \xrightarrow{\epsilon_F} F \xrightarrow{\eta_F} \mathbf{R}\tilde{i}_* \circ \mathbf{L}\tilde{i}^*(F) \longrightarrow \Sigma_{T_W}^{1,0} \tilde{j}_! \circ \tilde{j}^!(F)$$

Now, lemma 2.9 implies that  $F \cong \mathbf{L}(g \circ p)^*(s_q E)$  is in  $\mathcal{SH}_W^\perp(q+1)$ , since  $g \circ p : W \rightarrow k$  is a smooth map. By Noetherian induction, we have that  $\mathbf{L}\tilde{i}^*(F) \cong \mathbf{L}(g \circ p \circ \tilde{i})^*(s_q E)$  is in  $\mathcal{SH}_{p^{-1}Y}^\perp(q+1)$ , thus by lemma 2.5 we get that  $\mathbf{R}\tilde{i}_* \circ \mathbf{L}\tilde{i}^*(F)$  is in  $\mathcal{SH}_W^\perp(q+1)$ . Therefore, it follows from lemma 2.4 that  $\tilde{j}_! \circ \tilde{j}^!(F)$  is also in  $\mathcal{SH}_W^\perp(q+1)$ .

On the other hand, lemma 2.5 implies that

$$\mathbf{R}p_* \circ \tilde{j}_! \circ \tilde{j}^!(F) \cong \mathbf{R}p_* \circ \tilde{j}_! \circ \tilde{j}^! \circ \mathbf{L}p^*(\mathbf{L}g^* s_q E)$$

is in  $\mathcal{SH}_X^\perp(q+1)$ . But since  $p$  is projective, we have the following natural isomorphisms (see [Ayo07, scholium 1.4.2])

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} p_! & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathbf{R}p_* \\ \mathbf{L}j^* \circ \mathbf{R}p_* & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathbf{R}h_* \circ \mathbf{L}\tilde{j}^* \\ h_! \circ j^! & \xrightarrow{\cong} & j^! \circ p_! \end{array}$$

hence we get the following natural isomorphisms in  $\mathcal{SH}_X$

$$(3.2) \quad \mathbf{R}p_* \circ \tilde{j}_! \circ \tilde{j}^! \circ \mathbf{L}p^*(\mathbf{L}g^*s_qE) \cong p_! \circ \tilde{j}_! \circ \tilde{j}^! \circ \mathbf{L}p^*(\mathbf{L}g^*s_qE)$$

$$(3.3) \quad \cong j_! \circ h_! \circ \tilde{j}^! \circ \mathbf{L}p^*(\mathbf{L}g^*s_qE)$$

$$(3.4) \quad \cong j_! \circ j^! \circ p_! \circ \mathbf{L}p^*(\mathbf{L}g^*s_qE)$$

$$(3.5) \quad \cong j_! \circ j^! \circ \mathbf{R}p_* \circ \mathbf{L}p^*(\mathbf{L}g^*s_qE)$$

$$(3.6) \quad \cong \mathbf{L}j_{\#} \circ \mathbf{L}j^* \circ \mathbf{R}p_* \circ \mathbf{L}p^*(\mathbf{L}g^*s_qE)$$

$$(3.7) \quad \cong \mathbf{L}j_{\#} \circ \mathbf{R}h_* \circ \mathbf{L}\tilde{j}^* \circ \mathbf{L}p^*(\mathbf{L}g^*s_qE)$$

$$(3.8) \quad \cong \mathbf{L}j_{\#} \circ \mathbf{R}h_* \circ \mathbf{L}h^* \circ \mathbf{L}j^*(\mathbf{L}g^*s_qE)$$

$$(3.9) \quad \cong \mathbf{L}j_{\#} \circ \mathbf{L}j^*(\mathbf{L}g^*s_qE)$$

$$(3.10) \quad \cong j_! \circ j^!(\mathbf{L}g^*s_qE)$$

where (3.2), (3.4), (3.5), (3.7) follow from the natural isomorphisms mentioned in (3.1); (3.3), (3.8) follow from functoriality; (3.9) follows from the fact that  $h$  is an isomorphism and (3.6), (3.10) follow from the fact that  $j$  is an open embedding (so  $j_! \circ j^!$  is naturally isomorphic to  $\mathbf{L}j_{\#} \circ \mathbf{L}j^*$ ). Therefore, we have that  $j_! \circ j^!(\mathbf{L}g^*s_qE)$  is in  $\mathcal{SH}_X^{\perp}(q+1)$ . On the other hand, by Noetherian induction we can assume that  $\mathbf{L}i^*(\mathbf{L}g^*s_qE)$  is in  $\mathcal{SH}_Y^{\perp}(q+1)$ , and using lemma 2.5 we get that  $\mathbf{R}i_* \circ \mathbf{L}i^*(\mathbf{L}g^*s_qE)$  is in  $\mathcal{SH}_X^{\perp}(q+1)$ .

Finally, it follows from [Ayo07, scholium 1.4.2] that the following diagram is a distinguished triangle in  $\mathcal{SH}_X$ ,

$$j_! \circ j^!(\mathbf{L}g^*s_qE) \longrightarrow \mathbf{L}g^*s_qE \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}i_* \circ \mathbf{L}i^*(\mathbf{L}g^*s_qE) \longrightarrow \Sigma_{T_X}^{1,0} j_! \circ j^!(\mathbf{L}g^*s_qE)$$

and lemma 2.4 implies that  $\mathbf{L}g^*(s_qE)$  is in  $\mathcal{SH}_X^{\perp}(q+1)$ , as we wanted.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $X$  be a separated  $k$ -scheme of finite type with structure map  $g : X \rightarrow k$ , where  $k$  has resolution of singularities. Then the slice filtration is compatible with pullbacks along  $g$  in the sense of definition 2.2.*

*Proof.* It follows directly from theorem 2.7 together with proposition 3.1.  $\square$

## 4. APPLICATIONS

In this section we assume that all our schemes are of finite type over a field  $k$  of characteristic zero.

**Definition 4.1.** *We will denote by  $\mathbf{1}_X$ ,  $\mathbf{KH}_X$ ,  $\mathbf{HZ}_X$ ,  $\mathbf{HZ}_X^{sf} \in Spt(\mathcal{M}_X)$  respectively the sphere spectrum, the spectrum representing homotopy invariant  $K$ -theory, the spectrum representing motivic cohomology and  $s_0(\mathbf{1}_X)$ .*

The following theorem proves several conjectures of Voevodsky [Voe02, conjectures 1, 7, 10, 11].

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $X$  be a separated  $k$ -scheme of finite type with structure map  $g : X \rightarrow k$ .*

- (1) *The zero slice of the sphere spectrum,  $\mathbf{HZ}_X^{sf}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbf{L}g^*(\mathbf{HZ}_k)$  in  $\mathcal{SH}_X$ .*
- (2) *The zero slice of the sphere spectrum,  $\mathbf{HZ}_X^{sf}$  is a commutative ring spectrum in  $\mathcal{SH}_X$  and a cofibrant ring spectrum in  $Spt(\mathcal{M}_X)$ .*
- (3) *For every integer  $q$ , we have that  $s_q(\mathbf{KH}_X)$  is isomorphic to  $\Sigma_{T_X}^{q,q} \mathbf{HZ}_X^{sf}$  in  $\mathcal{SH}_X$ .*
- (4) *If we consider rational coefficients and  $X$  is geometrically unibranch then  $\mathbf{HZ}_X^{sf} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $s_q(\mathbf{KH}_X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  are respectively isomorphic in  $\mathcal{SH}_X$  to  $\mathbf{HZ}_X \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\Sigma_{T_X}^{q,q} \mathbf{HZ}_X \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ .*

*Proof.* (1): It is clear that  $\mathbf{1}_X \cong \mathbf{L}g^*(\mathbf{1}_k)$  in  $\mathcal{SH}_X$ . Therefore, by theorem 3.2 we have the following natural isomorphisms in  $\mathcal{SH}_X$

$$s_0(\mathbf{1}_X) \cong s_0(\mathbf{L}g^*\mathbf{1}_k) \cong \mathbf{L}g^*(s_0\mathbf{1}_k)$$

Finally, the result follows from the work of Levine [Lev08, theorem 10.5.1] and Voevodsky [Voe04, theorem 6.6], which implies that the unit map  $u : \mathbf{1}_k \rightarrow \mathbf{HZ}_k$  induces the following isomorphisms in  $\mathcal{SH}_k$

$$s_0(u) : s_0\mathbf{1}_k \rightarrow s_0\mathbf{HZ}_k \cong \mathbf{HZ}_k$$

(2): We have that  $\mathbf{HZ}_k$  is a commutative ring spectrum in  $Spt(\mathcal{M}_X)$  (see [DR03, lemma 4.6]). Moreover, using [SS00, theorem 4.1(3)] together with [PPR07, theorem A.38] and [Jar00, proposition 4.19], we get a weak equivalence

$$w : \mathbf{HZ}_k^c \rightarrow \mathbf{HZ}_k$$

in  $Spt(\mathcal{M}_k)$  such that  $\mathbf{HZ}_k^c$  is a cofibrant ring spectrum in  $Spt(\mathcal{M}_k)$ . On the other hand, proposition A.47 in [PPR07] implies that

$$g^* : Spt(\mathcal{M}_k) \rightarrow Spt(\mathcal{M}_X)$$

is a strict symmetric monoidal left Quillen functor. Therefore,  $g^*(\mathbf{HZ}_k^c)$  is a cofibrant ring spectrum in  $Spt(\mathcal{M}_X)$  which is isomorphic to  $\mathbf{L}g^*(\mathbf{HZ}_k)$  in  $\mathcal{SH}_X$ . Thus, the result follows from (1) above.

(3): It follows from [Voe98, section 6.2] that  $\mathbf{KH}_X = \mathbf{L}g^*(\mathbf{KH}_k)$ . Now, using theorem 3.2 we get the following natural isomorphisms in  $\mathcal{SH}_X$

$$s_q \mathbf{KH}_X \cong s_q(\mathbf{L}g^*\mathbf{KH}_k) \cong \mathbf{L}g^*(s_q \mathbf{KH}_k)$$

Finally, the work of Levine [Lev08, theorems 6.4.2 and 9.0.3] implies that  $s_q \mathbf{KH}_k$  is isomorphic in  $\mathcal{SH}_k$  to  $\Sigma_{T_k}^{q,q} \mathbf{HZ}_k$ . Thus

$$s_q \mathbf{KH}_X \cong \mathbf{L}g^*(s_q \mathbf{KH}_k) \cong \mathbf{L}g^*(\Sigma_{T_k}^{q,q} \mathbf{HZ}_k) \cong \Sigma_{T_X}^{q,q} \mathbf{L}g^*(\mathbf{HZ}_k) \cong \Sigma_{T_X}^{q,q} \mathbf{HZ}_X^{sf}$$

as we wanted.

(4): The work of Cisinski and Déglise [CD09, corollary 15.1.6(2)] implies that under these conditions  $\mathbf{L}g^*(\mathbf{HZ}_k) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbf{HZ}_X \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  in  $\mathcal{SH}_X$ . Therefore, the result follows from (1) and (3) above.  $\square$

**Remark 4.3.** *We may consider theorem 4.2 as an extension of the computation of Levine [Lev08, theorems 6.4.2 and 9.0.3] from fields to schemes of finite type, however notice that we need to assume that our base scheme is defined over a field of characteristic zero whereas [Lev08] holds over perfect fields.*

*Similarly, we may consider theorem 4.2 as an extension of the computation of Voevodsky [Voe04, theorem 6.6] and Levine [Lev08, theorem 10.5.1], but [Lev08] also holds over perfect fields whereas we need to assume that our base scheme is defined over a field of characteristic zero.*

**Theorem 4.4.** *Let  $E$  be an arbitrary symmetric  $T_X$ -spectrum in  $Spt(\mathcal{M}_X)$  and  $q \in \mathbb{Z}$  an arbitrary integer.*

- (1) *The  $q$ -slice of  $E$ ,  $s_q(E)$  has a natural structure of  $\mathbf{HZ}_X^{sf}$ -module in  $Spt(\mathcal{M}_X)$ .*
- (2) *If we consider rational coefficients and  $X$  is geometrically unibranch then  $s_q(E) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  has a natural structure of  $\mathbf{HZ}_X \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ -module in  $Spt(\mathcal{M}_X)$ , in particular  $s_q(E) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  has transfers.*

*Proof.* This follows directly from theorem 4.2 and [Pel09, theorem 2.1], [Pel08, lemma 3.6.21(3) and theorem 3.6.20].  $\square$

**Definition 4.5.** *Let  $\mathbf{HZ}_X^{sf}\text{-mod}$  be the category of left  $\mathbf{HZ}_X^{sf}$ -modules in  $Spt(\mathcal{M}_X)$  equipped with the model structure induced by the adjunction*

$$(\mathbf{HZ}_X^{sf} \wedge -, U, \varphi) : Spt(\mathcal{M}_X) \rightarrow \mathbf{HZ}_X^{sf}\text{-mod}$$

*i.e. a map  $f$  in  $\mathbf{HZ}_X^{sf}\text{-mod}$  is a fibration or a weak equivalence if and only if  $Uf$  is a fibration or a weak equivalence in  $Spt(\mathcal{M}_X)$ . We will denote by  $DM_X^{sf}$  the homotopy category of  $\mathbf{HZ}_X^{sf}\text{-mod}$ , which is triangulated.*

**Theorem 4.6.** *The 2-functor  $X \mapsto DM_X^{sf}$  has the structure of a motivic category in the sense of Cisinski and Déglise [CD09], and the adjunction*

$$(\mathbf{HZ}_X^{sf} \wedge^{\mathbf{L}} -, \mathbf{R}U, \varphi) : \mathcal{SH}_X \rightarrow DM_X^{sf}$$

*is a morphism of motivic categories  $\mathcal{SH} \rightarrow DM$  in the category of separated  $k$ -schemes of finite type.*

*In particular,  $X \mapsto DM_X^{sf}$  is a homotopic stable 2-functor in the sense of Ayoub and is equipped with the formalism of the six operations [Ayo07, scholium 1.4.2].*

*Proof.* Theorem 4.2(1)-(2) implies that  $X \mapsto \mathbf{HZ}_X^{sf}$  is a family of cofibrant ring spectra which is stable under pullback in the category of separated  $k$ -schemes of finite type. Hence the result follows immediately from propositions 4.2.11, 4.2.16 and corollary 2.4.9 in [CD09].  $\square$

Let  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{B}, X} \in Spt(\mathcal{M}_X)$  denote the Beilinson motivic cohomology spectrum introduced by Cisinski and Déglise (cf. [CD09, definition 13.1.2]). It follows in particular from Corollary 13.2.6 in [CD09] that  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{B}, X}$  is a commutative cofibrant ring spectrum in  $Spt(\mathcal{M}_X)$  which is stable under pullback in the category of separated schemes of finite type over  $k$ .

**Theorem 4.7.** *The Beilinson motivic cohomology spectrum  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{B},X}$  is naturally isomorphic to  $\mathbf{HZ}_X^{sf} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  in  $\mathcal{SH}_X$ , thus the homotopy category of  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{B},X}$ -modules  $\mathrm{Ho}(\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{B},X})$  is equivalent to the homotopy category of left  $\mathbf{HZ}_X^{sf}$ -modules with rational coefficients.*

*Hence, we get that modulo torsion  $\mathrm{Ho}(\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{B},X})$  and  $DM_X^{sf}$  are equivalent.*

*Proof.* By theorem 4.2(1) we have that  $\mathbf{HZ}_X^{sf} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  is stable under pullback in the category of separated schemes of finite type over  $k$ , on the other hand corollary 13.2.6 in [CD09] implies in particular that  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{B},X}$  is also stable under pullback. Therefore, it suffices to show that  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{B},k}$  and  $\mathbf{HZ}_k^{sf} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  are isomorphic in  $\mathcal{SH}_k$  for the base field  $k$ .

However, corollary 15.1.6(1) in [CD09] implies that  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{B},k}$  and  $\mathbf{HZ}_k \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  are naturally isomorphic in  $\mathcal{SH}_k$ , and finally it follows from theorem 4.2(1) that  $\mathbf{HZ}_k \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  and  $\mathbf{HZ}_k^{sf} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  are also naturally isomorphic in  $\mathcal{SH}_k$ . This finishes the proof.  $\square$

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author would like to thank Denis-Charles Cisinski for several conversations and comments which led to some of the arguments in sections 3 and 4, Marc Levine for pointing out several errors in a previous version of this note and Frédéric Déglise for suggesting this problem.

## REFERENCES

- [Ayo07] Joseph Ayoub, *Les six opérations de Grothendieck et le formalisme des cycles évanescents dans le monde motivique. I*, Astérisque (2007), no. 314, x+466 pp. (2008). MR MR2423375 (2009h:14032)
- [CD09] Denis-Charles Cisinski and Frédéric Déglise, *Triangulated categories of mixed motives*, preprint (2009).
- [DRØ03] Bjørn Ian Dundas, Oliver Röndigs, and Paul Arne Østvær, *Motivic functors*, Doc. Math. **8** (2003), 489–525 (electronic). MR MR2029171 (2004m:55011)
- [Jar00] J. F. Jardine, *Motivic symmetric spectra*, Doc. Math. **5** (2000), 445–553 (electronic). MR MR1787949 (2002b:55014)
- [Lev08] Marc Levine, *The homotopy coniveau tower*, J. Topol. **1** (2008), no. 1, 217–267. MR MR2365658 (2008j:14013)
- [MV99] Fabien Morel and Vladimir Voevodsky,  *$\mathbf{A}^1$ -homotopy theory of schemes*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1999), no. 90, 45–143 (2001). MR MR1813224 (2002f:14029)
- [Nee96] Amnon Neeman, *The Grothendieck duality theorem via Bousfield’s techniques and Brown representability*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **9** (1996), no. 1, 205–236. MR MR1308405 (96c:18006)
- [Nee01] ———, *Triangulated categories*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 148, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2001. MR MR1812507 (2001k:18010)
- [Pel08] Pablo Pelaez, *Multiplicative properties of the slice filtration*, preprint (2008).
- [Pel09] P. Pelaez, *Mixed motives and the slice filtration*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I (2009), no. 347, 541–544.
- [PPR07] Ivan Panin, Konstantin Pimenov, and Oliver Röndigs, *On Voevodsky’s algebraic K-theory spectrum BGL*, preprint (2007).
- [SS00] Stefan Schwede and Brooke E. Shipley, *Algebras and modules in monoidal model categories*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **80** (2000), no. 2, 491–511. MR MR1734325 (2001c:18006)
- [Voe98] Vladimir Voevodsky,  *$\mathbf{A}^1$ -homotopy theory*, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. I (Berlin, 1998), no. Extra Vol. I, 1998, pp. 579–604 (electronic). MR MR1648048 (99j:14018)
- [Voe02] ———, *Open problems in the motivic stable homotopy theory. I*, Motives, polylogarithms and Hodge theory, Part I (Irvine, CA, 1998), Int. Press Lect. Ser., vol. 3, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2002, pp. 3–34. MR MR1977582 (2005e:14030)
- [Voe04] V. Voevodsky, *On the zero slice of the sphere spectrum*, Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova **246** (2004), no. Algebr. Geom. Metody, Svyazi i Prilozh., 106–115. MR MR2101286 (2005k:14042)

UNIVERSITÄT DUISBURG-ESSEN, MATHEMATIK, 45117 ESSEN, GERMANY  
*E-mail address:* `pablo.pelaez@uni-due.de`