

ON CRITICAL VALUES OF POLYNOMIALS WITH REAL CRITICAL POINTS

AIMO HINKKANEN AND ILGIZ KAYUMOV

ABSTRACT. Let f be a polynomial of degree at least 2 with $f(0) = 0$ and $f'(0) = 1$. Suppose that all the zeros of f' are real. We show that there is a zero ζ of f' such that $|f(\zeta)/\zeta| \leq 2/3$, and that this inequality can be taken to be strict unless f is of the form $f(z) = z + cz^3$.

1. INTRODUCTION AND RESULTS

Smale [12], in connection with his investigations on effective algorithms that solve polynomial systems, formulated the following conjecture. Let f be a polynomial degree of $n \geq 2$ normalized by $f(0) = 0$ and $f'(0) = 1$. Then there exists a critical point ζ of f (that is, a zero of f') such that

$$(1) \quad \left| \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta} \right| < 1.$$

Smale proved this result with the constant 4 on the right hand side. At this time no constant < 4 has been obtained that would be valid for the class of all polynomials. It is only known that for $n \leq 4$, the inequality

$$(2) \quad \left| \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta} \right| \leq 1 - \frac{1}{n}$$

holds for some critical point ζ of f , with strict inequality unless $f(z) = z + cz^n$ (this result was proven by J.-C. Sikorav, see [13]). The same conclusion is supported by numerical experiments for $n \leq 10$, obtained by Sendov and Marinov, see [8].

There have been several serious attempts to solve Smale's problem, but no considerable improvements of the constant 4 have been obtained.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 30C10; Secondary: 30C15.
This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. 0758226. This research was also supported by the grant 07365 from the Campus Research Board of the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign. The second author was supported by RFBR 08-01-00381.

Beardon, Minda and Ng [1] reduced the constant 4 to $4^{1-1/n}$, which was slightly improved in the papers [2], [7]. At this time the best upper estimate belongs to Crane (see [4], [5]). In the case when the critical points of f have equal modulus or the values of f at the critical points have equal modulus, Sheil-Small ([10], pp. 361–362) used the method of Córdova and Rusheweyh [3] to establish (1). Dubinin [6] obtained (2) when the critical points have equal modulus. Tischler [13] proved (2) when the non-zero zeros of f have equal modulus.

In the case when the polynomial f has only real zeros, Palais proved (1) (see [11], p. 159) while Tischler [13] obtained (2). If f has only real zeros, it follows from Rolle's theorem that f' has only real zeros. Since the converse does not hold, the case when f has only real critical points is more general than that when f has only real zeros.

Suppose that the polynomial f has only real critical points. Sheil-Small ([10], p. 368) obtained (1) in this case with the constant $e - 2$ on the right hand side. Rahman and Schmeisser ([9], p. 217) obtained the slightly better result (here $n \geq 3$)

$$\left| \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta} \right| \leq \frac{n-2}{n} \left(\left(\frac{n-1}{n-2} \right)^{n-1} - 2 \right) < e - 2.$$

We will obtain the sharp result when f has only real critical points (Corollary 1.3 below), as part of the appropriate special case of the following more general conjecture.

Conjecture. We conjecture that if f is a polynomial of degree at least 2 with $f(0) = 0$ and $f'(0) = 1$ such that the critical points of f are contained in the union of $k \geq 1$ rays from zero to infinity, then there is a critical point ζ of f such that $|f(\zeta)/\zeta| \leq 1 - 1/(k+1)$. Furthermore, we suggest that ζ can be chosen so that $|f(\zeta)/\zeta| < 1 - 1/(k+1)$ unless f is of the form $f(z) = z + cz^{k+1}$ for some non-zero complex constant c , in which case we have $|f(\zeta)/\zeta| = 1 - 1/(k+1)$ for all critical points ζ of f .

In this paper we prove this conjecture when $k = 1$ and $k = 2$.

Theorem 1.1. *Let f be a polynomial of degree at least 2 with $f(0) = 0$ and $f'(0) = 1$. Suppose that all the critical points of f lie on a single ray from zero to infinity. Then there is a critical point ζ of f such that $|f(\zeta)/\zeta| < 1/2$, unless f is of the form $f(z) = z + cz^2$ for some non-zero complex constant c , in which case we have $|f(\zeta)/\zeta| = 1/2$ for the only critical point ζ of f .*

Our main result is the following.

Theorem 1.2. *Let f be a polynomial of degree at least 2 with $f(0) = 0$ and $f'(0) = 1$. Suppose that all the critical points of f lie in the union of two rays from zero to infinity. Then there is a critical point ζ of f such that $|f(\zeta)/\zeta| < 2/3$, unless f is of the form $f(z) = z + cz^3$ for some non-zero complex constant c , in which case we have $|f(\zeta)/\zeta| = 2/3$ for both critical points ζ of f .*

Taking the two rays so that they form a straight line, we immediately obtain the following consequence of Theorem 1.2.

Corollary 1.3. *Let f be a polynomial of degree at least 2 with $f(0) = 0$ and $f'(0) = 1$. Suppose that all the zeros of f' are real. If f is not of the form $f(z) = z + cz^3$ for a negative real constant c , then there is a zero ζ of f' such that $|f(\zeta)/\zeta| < 2/3$. If f is of the form $f(z) = z + cz^3$ for a negative real constant c , then for both zeros ζ of f' we have $|f(\zeta)/\zeta| = 2/3$.*

Thus Corollary 1.3 applies, in particular, when f has only real zeros.

This result is surprising because the extremal polynomial has the fixed degree 3. As a general principle, one would think that one could get a larger value for some $|f(\zeta)/\zeta|$ by increasing the degree of f .

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Suppose that f is of degree $n \geq 2$, and let the critical points of f be denoted by z_j for $1 \leq j \leq n-1$, with due count of multiplicity. Without loss of generality, we may replace f by the function $f(az)/a$ for any non-zero complex number a . Therefore we may assume that $z_{n-1} = 1$ and that $|z_j| \geq 1$ for $1 \leq j \leq n-2$. We will use this same observation throughout the paper.

Let f be as in the assumptions of Theorem 1.1. Then each z_j is real with $z_j \geq 1$ for $1 \leq j \leq n-2$. Writing $f'(z) = (1-z) \prod_{j=1}^{n-2} (1-z/z_j)$, we obtain $f(z) = \int_0^z (1-u) \prod_{j=1}^{n-2} (1-u/z_j) du$. Writing then $u = tz$, we get

$$\frac{f(z)}{z} = \int_0^1 (1-tz) \prod_{j=1}^{n-2} \left(1 - \frac{tz}{z_j}\right) dt.$$

Hence

$$\left| \frac{f(1)}{1} \right| = \int_0^1 (1-t) \prod_{j=1}^{n-2} \left(1 - \frac{t}{z_j}\right) dt \leq \int_0^1 (1-t) dt = \frac{1}{2},$$

and the inequality is strict if $n \geq 3$, while equality holds if $n = 2$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1. This proof is trivial and may be known, but it serves to establish notation.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

3.1. Set-up. Let f satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 1.2. In view of Theorem 1.1, we may assume that f has critical points on two distinct rays, so that the degree of f is at least 3. Assuming that $z_{n-1} = 1 \leq |z_j|$ for $1 \leq j \leq n-2$, we may label the critical points as $z_j = r_j e^{i\theta}$ for $1 \leq j \leq k$, where $0 < \theta < 2\pi$, $1 \leq k \leq n-2$, and $1 \leq u = r_1 \leq r_2 \leq \dots \leq r_k$; and assume that z_j is real with $z_j \geq 1$ for $k < j \leq n-2$. Expressing f as in the proof of Theorem 1.1, we may write

$$\frac{f(z)}{z} = \int_0^1 (1-tz) \left(1 - \frac{tz}{ue^{i\theta}}\right) \prod_{j=2}^k \left(1 - \frac{tz}{r_j e^{i\theta}}\right) \prod_{j=k+1}^{n-2} \left(1 - \frac{tz}{z_j}\right) dt.$$

We will prove that $\min\{|f(1)|, |f(ue^{i\theta})|/u\} \leq 2/3$ and in the process discover the cases of equality.

We define the real number A by

$$A = u \left(\sum_{j=2}^k \frac{1}{r_j} - \sum_{j=k+1}^{n-2} \frac{1}{z_j} \right).$$

If $n = 3$, then we must have $k = 1$, and the above sum has no terms; in this case we set $A = 0$. We have

$$\left| \frac{f(1)}{1} \right| \leq \int_0^1 (1-t) \left(1 + \frac{t}{u}\right) \prod_{j=2}^k \left(1 + \frac{t}{r_j}\right) \prod_{j=k+1}^{n-2} \left(1 - \frac{t}{z_j}\right) dt,$$

noting that all the factors in the integrand are positive when $0 \leq t < 1$; so that since $1+x \leq e^x$ for all real x , we have

$$\left| \frac{f(1)}{1} \right| \leq \int_0^1 (1-t) \left(1 + \frac{t}{u}\right) e^{At/u} dt = h_1(u, A),$$

say. Similarly,

$$\left| \frac{f(ue^{i\theta})}{ue^{i\theta}} \right| \leq \int_0^1 (1-t) (1+tu) \prod_{j=2}^k \left(1 - \frac{tu}{r_j}\right) \prod_{j=k+1}^{n-2} \left(1 + \frac{tu}{z_j}\right) dt,$$

so that

$$\left| \frac{f(ue^{i\theta})}{ue^{i\theta}} \right| \leq \int_0^1 (1-t) (1+tu) e^{-At} dt = h_1(1/u, -A/u) = h_2(u, A),$$

say. We note that

$$(3) \quad h_2(u, 0) = 1/2 + u/6 \geq 2/3 \geq h_1(u, 0) = 1/2 + 1/(6u)$$

and $h_1(1, 0) = h_2(1, 0) = 2/3$.

For each fixed $u \geq 1$, $h_1(u, A)$ is a strictly increasing positive continuous function of A with

$$\lim_{A \rightarrow -\infty} h_1(u, A) = 0, \quad \lim_{A \rightarrow +\infty} h_1(u, A) = +\infty,$$

while $h_2(u, A)$ is a strictly decreasing positive continuous function of A with

$$\lim_{A \rightarrow -\infty} h_2(u, A) = +\infty, \quad \lim_{A \rightarrow +\infty} h_2(u, A) = 0.$$

Hence there is a unique $A = A_0(u)$ such that $h_1(u, A_0(u)) = h_2(u, A_0(u))$. Clearly $\min\{h_1(u, A), h_2(u, A)\} \leq h_1(u, A_0(u))$ for all real A . Since $h_1(u, 0) \leq h_2(u, 0)$ with equality only when $u = 1$, we have $A_0(u) > 0$ if $u > 1$, and $A_0(1) = 0$. For $A < 0$, we have $h_1(u, A) < h_1(u, 0) \leq 2/3$.

3.2. Estimates. It suffices for us to prove that

$$(4) \quad \min\{h_1(u, A), h_2(u, A)\} \leq 2/3$$

whenever $u \geq 1$ and A is real and then to find the cases of equality. We saw above that we may assume that $A \geq 0$.

Suppose first that $0 \leq A \leq 1$. Then, in view of the inequalities

$$e^x \leq 1 + x + (e - 2)x^2, \quad e^{-x} \leq 1 - x + x^2/2,$$

valid for $0 \leq x \leq 1$, it is enough to show that one of the numbers

$$h_3(u, A) = \int_0^1 (1 - t)(1 + tu)(1 - At + A^2t^2/2) dt,$$

$$(5) \quad h_4(u, A) = \int_0^1 (1 - t)(1 + t/u)(1 + At/u + (e - 2)A^2t^2/u^2) dt$$

is less than or equal to $2/3$.

Suppose that $h_3(u, A) \geq 2/3$. Since h_3 is an increasing function of u , this implies that

$$u \geq \frac{5(4 + 4A - A^2)}{20 - 10A + 3A^2} = u_1 \geq 1,$$

say, which we substitute into (5). Since h_4 is a decreasing function of u , we have

$$h_4(u, A) \leq h_4(u_1, A) = \frac{P(A)}{7500(4 + 4A - A^2)^3} = h_5(A),$$

say, where

$$\begin{aligned} P(A) = & 320000 + 960000A + 504000A^2 - 140000A^3 - 115600A^4 \\ & + 32500A^5 + 3460A^6 - 2655A^7 + 288A^8 + 64000A^2e \\ & - 36000A^3e + 800A^4e + 12200A^5e - 6280A^6e + 1590A^7e \\ & - 144A^8e. \end{aligned}$$

Since $h_5(A)$ is a rational function, its maximum on the interval $[0, 1]$ can be easily found. It is exactly $2/3$ and is attained for $A = 0$ only.

Next consider the case $A \geq 1$. Suppose that

$$h_2(u, A) = \int_0^1 (1-t)(1+tu)e^{-At} dt \geq 2/3,$$

which implies that

$$u \geq \frac{A(-3 + 3e^A - 3Ae^A + 2A^2e^A)}{3(2 + A - 2e^A + Ae^A)}.$$

It is not difficult to show that for $A \geq 1$ we have

$$(6) \quad \frac{A}{u} \leq \frac{3(2 + A - 2e^A + Ae^A)}{-3 + 3e^A - 3Ae^A + 2A^2e^A} \leq \frac{2}{5},$$

so that $u \geq 5/2$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} h_1(u, A) &= \int_0^1 (1-t)(1+t/u)e^{At/u} dt \\ &\leq \int_0^1 (1-t)(1+2t/5)e^{2t/5} dt = \frac{5}{4}(5 - 3e^{2/5}) < 2/3. \end{aligned}$$

This proves that $\min\{h_1(u, A), h_2(u, A)\} < 2/3$ when $A \geq 1$, completing the proof of (4) in all cases.

3.3. Cases of equality. If $\min\{h_1(u, A), h_2(u, A)\} = 2/3$, then by (4) and the monotonicity properties of h_1 and h_2 , this can only be when $A = A_0(u)$; on the other hand, the analysis above shows that this can occur only when $A = 0$, so we must have $A = A_0(u) = 0$ and thus $u = 1$. Furthermore, to have the equality $\min\{|f(1)|, |f(ue^{i\theta})|/u\} = 2/3$, we must have, for all $t \in (0, 1)$, equality in at least one of the estimates

$$\prod_{j=2}^k \left(1 + \frac{t}{r_j}\right) \prod_{j=k+1}^{n-2} \left(1 - \frac{t}{z_j}\right) \leq e^{At} = 1$$

and

$$\prod_{j=2}^k \left(1 - \frac{tu}{r_j}\right) \prod_{j=k+1}^{n-2} \left|1 - \frac{tue^{i\theta}}{z_j}\right| \leq e^{-Atu} = 1,$$

which is not possible unless the products are empty. This means that $n = 3$. With $n = 3$ and $u = 1$, we now get

$$\left| \frac{f(1)}{1} \right| = \left| \int_0^1 (1-t) \left(1 - \frac{t}{e^{i\theta}} \right) dt \right| = \left| \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{6e^{i\theta}} \right|$$

and

$$\left| \frac{f(ue^{i\theta})}{ue^{i\theta}} \right| = \left| \int_0^1 (1-t) (1 - te^{i\theta}) dt \right| = \left| \frac{1}{2} - \frac{e^{i\theta}}{6} \right|,$$

which are equal and are $< 2/3$ unless $e^{i\theta} = -1$, so that the critical points of f are then ± 1 . In this case the equality $|f(\zeta)/\zeta| = 2/3$ indeed holds for both critical points ζ of f . Thus $f(z) = z - (1/3)z^3$, and since this f is obtained after a normalization, the original f is of the form $f(z) = z + cz^3$ for some non-zero complex constant c .

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Acknowledgements. The second author is very grateful to Porama Saengcharoenrat and the Department of Mathematics of the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign for their warm hospitality.

REFERENCES

- [1] A.F. Beardon, D. Minda, T.W. Ng, Smale's mean value conjecture and the hyperbolic metric, *Math. Ann.* 322:4 (2002), 623–632.
- [2] A. Conte, E. Fujikawa and N. Lakic, Smale's mean value conjecture and the coefficients of univalent functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 135 (2007), 3295–3300.
- [3] A. Córdova and S. Ruscheweyh, Subordination of polynomials, *Rocky Mt. J. Math.* 21:1 (1991), 159–170.
- [4] E. Crane, Extremal polynomials in Smale's mean value conjecture, *Comput. Methods Function Theory* 6:1 (2006), 145–163.
- [5] E. Crane, A bound for Smale's mean value conjecture for complex polynomials, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* 39 (2007), 781–791.
- [6] V.N. Dubinin, Inequalities for critical values of polynomials, *Sb. Math.* 197 (2006), 1167–1176.
- [7] E. Fujikawa and T. Sugawa, Geometric function theory and Smale's mean value conjecture, *Proc. Japan Academy* 82, Ser. A (2006), 97–100.
- [8] P. Marinov and B. Sendov, Verification of the Smale's Mean Value Conjecture for $n \leq 10$, *C.R. de l'Academie Bulgare de Sciences*, 60:11 (2007), 1151–1156.
- [9] Q.I. Rahman and G. Schmeisser, *Analytic Theory of Polynomials*, Oxford University Press, 2003.
- [10] T. Sheil-Small, *Complex Polynomials*, Cambridge University Press, 2002.
- [11] M. Shub and S. Smale, Computational Complexity: On the Geometry of Polynomials and a Theory of Cost: II, *SIAM J. Comput.* 15:1 (1986), 145–161.
- [12] S. Smale, The fundamental theorem of algebra and complexity theory, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N. S.)* 4 (1981), 1–36.
- [13] D. Tischler, Critical points and values of complex polynomials, *J. Complexity* 5 (1989), 438–456.

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS AT URBANA–CHAMPAIGN, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, 1409 WEST GREEN STREET, URBANA, IL 61801 USA

UNIVERSITetskAYA 17, INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS AND MECHANICS, KAZAN STATE UNIVERSITY, 420 008 KAZAN, RUSSIA

E-mail address: `aimo@illinois.edu`, `Ilgiz.Kayumov@ksu.ru`