

ON THE TRANSFORMATION FORMULA FOR THE DEDEKIND ETA-FUNCTION

BRUCE C. BERNDT AND K. VENKATACHALIENGAR

Recall that the Dedekind eta-function is defined by

$$\eta(z) := e^{2\pi iz/24} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - e^{2\pi inz}), \quad \text{Im } z > 0.$$

The function $\eta(z)$ satisfies a certain transformation formula under any modular transformation $V(z) := (az + b)/(cz + d)$, where a, b, c , and d are integers such that $ad - bc = 1$. For proofs, see, for example, T. M. Apostol's book [1, pp. 47–61] or Berndt's paper [2]. In particular, when $V(z) = -1/z$, the transformation formula for $\eta(z)$ can be written in the form

$$a^{1/4} e^{-a/12} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - e^{-2an}) = b^{1/4} e^{-b/12} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - e^{-2bn}), \quad (1)$$

where $\text{Re } a, \text{Re } b > 0$ and $ab = \pi^2$. This is the formulation given by Ramanujan in Entry 27 of Chapter 16 in his second notebook [12], [5, p. 43]. Because the transformations $V(z) = z + 1, -1/z$ generate the full modular group, in fact, the general transformation formula for $\eta(z)$ can be deduced from (1) and the trivial transformation formula for $V(z) = z + 1$. For example, see M. I. Knopp's text [7, pp. 41–44, 49–60]. The purpose of this note is to present a new proof of (1) and to offer some connections with certain infinite series.

Proof of (1). We shall assume that $a, b > 0$. The result for $\text{Re } a, \text{Re } b > 0$ will then follow by analytic continuation.

Taking the logarithm of each side of (1) and using the Maclaurin series for $\log(1 - z)$, we find that (1) takes the equivalent formulation

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n(e^{2an} - 1)} - \frac{1}{4} \log a + \frac{a}{12} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n(e^{2bn} - 1)} - \frac{1}{4} \log b + \frac{b}{12}. \quad (2)$$

We now use the trivial equality

$$\frac{1}{e^z - 1} = \frac{1}{2} \coth \frac{z}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \quad (3)$$

to recast (2) in the form

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} (\coth(an) - \coth(bn)) = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{a}{b} - \frac{1}{6} (a - b). \quad (4)$$

By employing the partial fraction decomposition

$$\coth(\pi z) = \frac{1}{\pi z} + \frac{2z}{\pi} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^2 + z^2},$$

we can rewrite (4) as

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{m^2 b + n^2 a} - \frac{1}{m^2 a + n^2 b} \right) = \frac{1}{4} \log \frac{a}{b}, \quad (5)$$

where we have used the facts $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-2} = \pi^2/6$ and $ab = \pi^2$. Now set $a = \pi e^\gamma$ and $b = \pi e^{-\gamma}$. Then, (5) is equivalent to

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{m^2 e^{-\gamma} + n^2 e^\gamma} - \frac{1}{m^2 e^\gamma + n^2 e^{-\gamma}} \right) = \frac{\pi}{2} \gamma, \quad (6)$$

where γ is any real number.

We now prove (6). Let a_{mn} denote the summands in (6). Observe that $a_{mn} = -a_{nm}$. Hence,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_{mn} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\nu=1}^n \left(\sum_{\mu=1}^n + \sum_{\mu=n+1}^{\infty} a_{\mu\nu} \right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\nu=1}^n \sum_{\mu=n+1}^{\infty} a_{\mu\nu}. \quad (7)$$

Now the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{\mu^2 e^{-\gamma} + \nu^2 e^\gamma} < \int_{\mu-1}^{\mu} \frac{dx}{x^2 e^{-\gamma} + \nu^2 e^\gamma} < \frac{1}{(\mu-1)^2 e^{-\gamma} + \nu^2 e^\gamma}$$

give, on summing over $\mu, n+1 \leq \mu < \infty$,

$$0 < \int_n^{\infty} \frac{dx}{x^2 e^{-\gamma} + \nu^2 e^\gamma} - \sum_{\mu=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\mu^2 e^{-\gamma} + \nu^2 e^\gamma} < \frac{1}{n^2 e^{-\gamma} + \nu^2 e^\gamma} < \frac{e^\gamma}{n^2}.$$

Evaluating the integral above and then summing over $\nu, 1 \leq \nu \leq n$, we deduce that

$$0 < \sum_{\nu=1}^n \frac{1}{\nu} \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\nu}{n} e^\gamma \right) - \sum_{\nu=1}^n \sum_{\mu=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\mu^2 e^{-\gamma} + \nu^2 e^\gamma} < \frac{e^\gamma}{n}. \quad (8)$$

Noting that the first sum above is simply a Riemann sum for $\frac{1}{x} \tan^{-1} x$ on $[0, e^\gamma]$ and letting n tend to ∞ in (8), we deduce that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\nu=1}^n \sum_{\mu=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\mu^2 e^{-\gamma} + \nu^2 e^\gamma} = \int_0^{e^\gamma} \frac{\tan^{-1} x}{x} dx. \quad (9)$$

Replacing γ by $-\gamma$, we find that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\nu=1}^n \sum_{\mu=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\mu^2 e^\gamma + \nu^2 e^{-\gamma}} = \int_0^{e^{-\gamma}} \frac{\tan^{-1} x}{x} dx. \quad (10)$$

Subtracting (10) from (9) and using (7), we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_{mn} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\nu=1}^n \sum_{\mu=n+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{\mu^2 e^{-\gamma} + \nu^2 e^{\gamma}} - \frac{1}{\mu^2 e^{\gamma} + \nu^2 e^{-\gamma}} \right) \\
&= \int_{e^{-\gamma}}^{e^{\gamma}} \frac{\tan^{-1} x}{x} dx \\
&= \int_{-\gamma}^{\gamma} \tan^{-1}(e^t) dt \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\gamma}^{\gamma} \{ \tan^{-1}(e^t) + \tan^{-1}(e^{-t}) \} dt \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\gamma}^{\gamma} \frac{\pi}{2} dt \\
&= \frac{\pi}{2} \gamma,
\end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of (6).

Let $S(a, b)$ denote the double sum on the left side of (5). If we invert the order of summation in (5), we obtain the sum $S(b, a)$, which has the value $\frac{1}{4} \log \frac{b}{a}$. Thus, we do not obtain the same value when we change the order of summation in the conditionally convergent series $S(a, b)$. Moreover,

$$S(a, b) - S(b, a) = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{a}{b}.$$

If we differentiate (5) with respect to a , we find that (since $ab = \pi^2$),

$$a \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{csch}^2(an) + b \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{csch}^2(bn) = \frac{1}{6}(a + b) - 1. \quad (11)$$

To the best of our knowledge, in 1960, J. Lagrange [8] was the first person to give (11) in the literature. Another proof was given by Berndt [3, p. 164]. Setting $a = b = \pi$ in (11) yields

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{csch}^2(n\pi) = \frac{1}{6} - \frac{1}{2\pi}, \quad (12)$$

which was evidently first proved by T. S. Nanjundiah [11] in 1951. Proofs of (12) have also been given by Berndt [3, p. 164], C.-B. Ling [9], K. Kiyek and H. Schmidt [6], B. Muckenhoupt [10], and R. E. Shafer [13].

Lastly, we remark that (4) has analogues. In particular, if $a, b > 0$, $ab = \pi^2$, and N is any positive integer, then

$$\begin{aligned}
a^{-N} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\coth(an)}{n^{2N+1}} &= (-b)^{-N} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\coth(bn)}{n^{2N+1}} \\
- 2^{2N+1} \sum_{k=0}^{N+1} (-1)^k \frac{B_{2k}}{(2k)!} \frac{B_{2N+2-2k}}{(2N+2-2k)!} a^{N+1-k} b^k, & \quad (13)
\end{aligned}$$

where $B_j, 0 \leq j < \infty$, denotes the j th Bernoulli number. By using (3), we can express (13) in terms of the Riemann zeta-function $\zeta(2N+1)$. There exist many

proofs of (13) or its equivalent form in terms of ζ . See [3, pp. 153–155] or [4, pp. 276, 293] for many references.

The first author is grateful to T. S. Nanjundiah, who, in February, 1999, gave him a handwritten manuscript based primarily on the second author's proof of (1) in the early 1960s. The first author also thanks S. Bhargava and Padmavathamma for an invitation to the University of Mysore, which made the visit with Nanjundiah possible.

REFERENCES

- [1] T. M. Apostol, *Modular Functions and Dirichlet Series in Number Theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1976.
- [2] B. C. Berndt, *Generalized Dedekind eta-functions and generalized Dedekind sums*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **178** (1973), 495–508.
- [3] B. C. Berndt, *Modular transformations and generalizations of several formulae of Ramanujan*, Rocky Mt. J. Math. **7** (1977), 147–189.
- [4] B. C. Berndt, *Ramanujan's Notebooks, Part II*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989.
- [5] B. C. Berndt, *Ramanujan's Notebooks, Part III*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.
- [6] K. Kiyek and H. Schmidt, *Auswertung einiger spezieller unendlicher Reihen aus dem Bereich der elliptischen Funktionen*, Arch. Math. **18** (1967), 438–443.
- [7] M. I. Knopp, *Modular Functions in Analytic Number Theory*, Chelsea, New York, 1993.
- [8] J. Lagrange, *Une formule sommatoire et ses applications*, Bull. Sci. Math. (2) **84** (1960), 105–110.
- [9] C.-B. Ling, *On summation of series of hyperbolic functions*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **6** (1975), 551–562.
- [10] B. Muckenhoupt, *The norm of a discrete singular transform*, Studia Math. **25** (1964/65), 97–102.
- [11] T. S. Nanjundiah, *Certain summations due to Ramanujan, and their generalisations*, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci., Sect. A **34** (1951), 215–228.
- [12] S. Ramanujan, *Notebooks* (2 volumes), Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay, 1957.
- [13] R. E. Shafer, *Problem 5063, with solutions by A. E. Livingston and J. Raleigh*, Amer. Math. Monthly, **70** (1963), 1110–1111.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS, 1409 WEST GREEN STREET, URBANA, IL 61801, USA

E-mail address: `berndt@math.uiuc.edu`

8, II CROSS, NEHRU NAGAR, BANGALORE 560 020, INDIA

E-mail address: `venkataramu@vsnl.com`