

# Induced maps and bases

October 25, 2006

Let  $V$  have basis  $\{e_j\}_1^k$  and  $W$  basis  $\{f_i\}_1^p$ . A linear map

$$T : V \rightarrow W$$

is given by the matrix  $A = (A_j^i)$  with respect to the bases  $\{e_j\}$  and  $\{f_i\}$ :

$$T(e_j) = \sum_i A_j^i f_i$$

and likewise for the dual

$$T^*(f^i) = \sum_j A_j^i e^j$$

## 1 Tensor algebra

The induced maps can be described in terms of the corresponding bases:

$$T_* : \mathcal{T}_r^0(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_r^0(W)$$

$$\begin{aligned} T_*(e_{j_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{j_r}) &= \left( \sum_{i_1} A_{j_1}^{i_1} f_{i_1} \right) \otimes \dots \otimes \left( \sum_{i_r} A_{j_r}^{i_r} f_{i_r} \right) \\ &= \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_r} A_{j_1}^{i_1} \dots A_{j_r}^{i_r} f_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes f_{i_r} \end{aligned}$$

We use the shorthand

$$A_{j_1 \dots j_r}^{i_1 \dots i_r} = A_{j_1}^{i_1} \dots A_{j_r}^{i_r}$$

so that this becomes

$$T_*(e_{j_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{j_r}) = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_r} A_{j_1 \dots j_r}^{i_1 \dots i_r} f_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes f_{i_r}$$

Likewise, the induced map

$$T^* : \mathcal{T}_0^s(W) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_0^s(V)$$

is given with respect to the basis by

$$T^*(f^{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes f^{i_s}) = \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_s} A_{j_1 \dots j_s}^{i_1 \dots i_s} e^{j_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e^{j_s}$$

## 2 Exterior algebra

The induced map on the exterior algebra can also be described in terms of bases. Let  $e_{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{j_r} \in \Lambda_r(V)$  be a basis vector. Then

$$T_*(e_{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{j_r}) = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_r} A_{j_1 \dots j_r}^{i_1 \dots i_r} f_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge f_{i_r}$$

We note that we are not summing over our preferred basis since we don't have  $i_1 < \dots < i_r$ , indeed, we are summing over all  $i_1, \dots, i_r$ . First, since any term with some  $i_q = i_t$ ,  $q \neq t$ , must be zero ( $f_i \wedge f_i = 0$ ), we can write this as

$$T_*(e_{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{j_r}) = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_r; i_q \neq i_t} A_{j_1 \dots j_r}^{i_1 \dots i_r} f_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge f_{i_r}$$

Therefore, for each  $i_1, \dots, i_r$  there is a permutation  $\sigma_{i_1, \dots, i_r} = \sigma \in S_r$  so that

$$i_{\sigma(1)} < \dots < i_{\sigma(r)}$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned} & T_*(e_{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{j_r}) \\ = & \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_r; i_q \neq i_t} (-1)^{\text{sgn}(\sigma_{i_1, \dots, i_r})} A_{j_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, j_{\sigma(r)}}^{i_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, i_{\sigma(r)}} f_{i_{\sigma(1)}} \wedge \dots \wedge f_{i_{\sigma(r)}} \end{aligned}$$

This can be rewritten as

$$T_*(e_{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{j_r}) = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_r} \sum_{\sigma \in S_r} (-1)^{\text{sgn}(\sigma)} A_{j_{\sigma(1)} \dots j_{\sigma(r)}}^{i_1 \dots i_r} f_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge f_{i_r}$$

Similarly, we can write

$$T^*(f^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge f^{i_r}) = \sum_{j_1 < \dots < j_r} \sum_{\sigma \in S_r} (-1)^{\text{sgn}(\sigma)} A_{j_1 \dots j_r}^{i_{\sigma(1)} \dots i_{\sigma(r)}} e^{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e^{j_r}$$

If we let  $I = i_1 < \dots < i_r$  and  $J = j_1 < \dots < j_r$  be **multi-indices**, so that

$$e_J = e_{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{j_r} \quad \text{and} \quad f^I = f^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge f^{i_r}$$

and likewise for  $f_I$  and  $e^J$ . Then our expressions for  $T_*$  and  $T^*$  take on the simplified form

$$T_*(e_J) = \sum_I \sum_{\sigma \in S_r} (-1)^{\text{sgn}(\sigma)} A_{\sigma(J)}^I f_I$$

and

$$T^*(f^I) = \sum_J \sum_{\sigma \in S_r} (-1)^{\text{sgn}(\sigma)} A_J^{\sigma(I)} e^J$$

where  $\sigma(J)$  and  $\sigma(I)$  are the re-orderings of  $I$  and  $J$ , respectively, determined by  $\sigma$ .