

## HOMEWORK 11

DUE WEDNESDAY, MAY 3RD

### PROBLEM 1

Show that the valid sentences of first order logic, for any language  $L$ , are decidable by an oracle register machine with oracle  $\Pi_{halt}$ .

*Proof.* First recall that the set of valid sentences in first order logic are enumerable. Let us see why this is true in more detail. One may create a program  $P$  that runs as follows: First  $P$  takes a string  $w$  as input. It checks to see that  $w$  is a well formed sentence in first order logic. If it is not, it goes into an infinite loop. If  $w$  is a well formed sentence, it begins running through all possible proofs using the sequent calculus in lexicographic order. If it finds a proof of the formula  $w$  it stops and outputs “yes”. Otherwise it keeps running.

Clearly  $P$  halts if and only if  $w$  is a valid sentence. Thus  $P$  shows that the collection of valid sentences is enumerable.

Now consider the following program  $Q$  that uses  $\Pi_{halt}$  as an oracle. On input  $w$ ,  $Q$  writes the source code for a program,  $P_w$ , that acts as follows:  $P_w$  loads  $w$  into memory, and then runs as  $P$  from the previous paragraph. Now  $Q$  asks whether the program  $P_w$  halts. If the answer is “yes” then  $w$  is a valid sentence. If the answer is “no”, it is not. Thus  $Q$  shows that the set of valid sentences is decidable using  $\Pi_{halt}$  as an oracle.  $\square$

### PROBLEM 2

(a). Given  $L$  any language, show that any formula  $\psi(x)$  is equivalent to one with all the quantifiers at the beginning.

(b). Do this for  $L = \{+, \cdot, 0, 1, <\}$  and  $\psi$  being the formula

$$(\neg \forall x(x < y^2)) \rightarrow (\exists x(x < z^3))$$

### PROBLEM 3

Here is a definition (which I will also talk about in class on Friday): Let  $t$  be a term in the language  $L = \{+, \cdot, <, 0, 1\}$ . Say that a quantifier is *bounded* in a formula if it appears in the form  $\exists x(x < t) \wedge \dots$  or  $\forall x(x < t) \rightarrow \dots$ . That is, a quantifier is bounded if the variable being quantified over ranges only over things less than  $t$ .

(a). Let  $\psi(x_1, \dots, x_k)$  be a formula with of the form

$$Qy_1(Qy_2(\dots(Qy_n\varphi(y_1, \dots, y_n, x_1, \dots, x_k) \dots)))$$

where each  $Qy_i$  stands for a bounded quantifier, and where  $\varphi$  contains no quantifiers. That is  $Qy_i$  is either  $\exists y_i(y_i < t_i) \wedge \dots$  or  $\forall y_i(y_i < t_i) \rightarrow \dots$  for some term  $t_i$ . Prove that the subset of the  $\mathbb{N}^k$  defined by  $\psi(x)$  is decidable.

**(b).** Let  $\theta(x)$  be of the form  $\exists z_1 \exists z_2 \exists z_3 \dots \psi(z_1, \dots, z_n, x)$  for  $\psi$  as in part (a) (that is,  $\psi$  has only bounded quantifiers followed by something quantifier free). Show that the subset of  $\mathbb{N}$  defined by  $\theta(x)$  is enumerable.