

HOMEWORK 3

PROBLEM 1

Let ϕ and ψ be formulas in propositional logic. Show that $\phi \vee \psi$ is equivalent to $\neg(\neg\phi \wedge \neg\psi)$.

PROBLEM 2

In Problem 1, you showed that “or” can be expressed in terms of “and” and “not”. Can you do the same with “implies”? That is, given a formula $\phi \rightarrow \psi$ in propositional logic, can you find an equivalent formula using ϕ , ψ , \wedge , and \neg ? What about “if and only if”?

PROBLEM 3

Prove that any formula in propositional logic is equivalent to a formula using only \wedge and \neg .

PROBLEM 4

Fix an alphabet A , and suppose that A is countable. That is, there are a countable number of relation, function, and constant symbols. Prove that there are countably many formula in first order logic using the alphabet A .

PROBLEM 5

Note that the word “buffalo” is both noun (big cow-like creature that used wander the open plains in big herds) and a verb (either to intimidate with a display of power or to confuse). What’s the longest English sentence you can make using only the word “buffalo”? Since this is a math course and shouldn’t be testing your English language skills, I’ll give a hint, but I’ll wait until Monday to give people that want to think about it without a hint a chance to do so.

PROBLEM 6

For each of the following formulas, write down (i) the list of subformulas, and (ii) the list of free variables.

(a). $\theta_1 := \forall x, y \exists z ((x < y) \rightarrow ((x < z) \wedge (z < y)))$

(b). $\theta_2 := \exists z (y + y < z)$

(b). $\theta_3 := \forall x ((x < y) \vee (x = y)) \vee (x + x = x)$ (And, yes, that is how I want the parentheses.)