

## SOLUTIONS: HOMEWORK 2

### SECTION 1.3

**Problem 10.** The point of this problem was just to help you see the pattern that would lead to a solution to number 11, so I'm not going to spend any time justifying the solutions here. See the solution to number 11 for a proof that the answers are correct.

(a). 4

(b). 8

(c). 16

**Problem 11.** Show that if  $S_n$  has  $n$ -elements then  $P(S_n)$  has  $2^n$ .

*Proof.* Note that no matter which set of  $n$  elements that one chooses, the power set of that set will have the same size. Thus we may assume without loss of generality that  $S_n := \{1, \dots, n\}$ . The proof follows by induction:

Case  $n = 1$ : Then  $P(S_n) = \{\{1\}, \emptyset\}$  which has size  $2^1 = 2$ .

Case  $k + 1$ : We assume that  $|P(S_k)| = 2^k$  and consider  $|P(S_{k+1})|$ . Note that  $P(S_{k+1})$  can be divided into two disjoint subsets consisting of (1) those subsets of  $S_{k+1}$  that contain the element  $k + 1$  and (2) those that do not. Let's call the first set  $A$  and the second set  $B$ .

The collection of subsets of  $S_{k+1}$  that do not contain  $k + 1$  is just  $P(S_k)$ , and by induction we know that this has size  $2^k$ . Having determined the size of  $B$ , we would now like to determine the size of  $A$ .

Let  $f : B \rightarrow A$  be given by  $S \mapsto S \cup \{k + 1\}$ . That is,  $f$  takes an element,  $S$ , of  $P(S_k)$  (which is a subset of  $\{1, \dots, k\}$ ) and adds the number  $k + 1$  to the list of numbers that  $S$  contains. It is not hard to see that  $f$  is a bijection from  $B$  to  $A$ . Thus  $B$  also has  $2^k$  elements.

Now we are done, for we have shown that  $P(S_{k+1})$  has  $2^k + 2^k = 2 \cdot 2^k = 2^{k+1}$  elements, as desired.  $\square$

**Problem 12.** The set of all finite subsets of  $\mathbb{N}$  is countable.

*Proof.* First note that if  $S \subset \mathbb{N}$  is finite then  $S$  has some largest element  $m$ . Thus,  $S \subset \mathbb{N}_m := \{1, \dots, m\}$

Now we would like to show that  $\mathbb{N}_m$  is countable. In fact,  $\mathbb{N}_m$  is finite and has  $2^m$  elements by the previous exercise.

Now we just apply Theorem 1.3.12 to  $\bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{N}_m$  to finish the proof.  $\square$

## SECTION 2.1

**Problem 2.**

(i).  $-(a + b) = (-a) + (-b)$

*Proof.* In class, I showed that  $-a = -1 \cdot a$ . Using this fact we see that  $-(a + b) = -1 \cdot (a + b)$ . Using the distributive law, we get  $-1(a + b) = -1a + -1b$ . Using the fact proved in class again, we get that  $-1a + -1b = (-a) + (-b)$ .  $\square$

(ii).  $(-a)(-b) = ab$

*Proof.* I will use the above fact twice, and the associative and commutative properties of addition:

$$(-a)(-b) = (-1 \cdot a) \cdot (-1 \cdot b) = (-1 \cdot -1)ab = 1ab = ab$$

OK, looking at what I just wrote, I also used the fact that 1 is the multiplicative identity, and that  $-1 \cdot -1 = 1$ . The first is fine (it's property M3). The second needs to be justified.

First note that by the fact proven in class,  $-1 \cdot -1 = -(-1)$ . Next note that

$$1 = 1 + 0 = 1 + (-1 + -(-1)) = (1 + (-1)) + -(-1) = 0 + -(-1) = -(-1)$$

Thus  $-1 \cdot -1 = 1$ , which is all we needed to finish the proof.  $\square$

(iii).  $1/(-a) = -(1/a)$

*Proof.* Note that by Theorem 2.1.3 (a), all we need to show is that  $-a \cdot -(1/a) = 1$ . But,

$$-a \cdot -(1/a) = (-1 \cdot a) \cdot (-1 \cdot (1/a)) = (-1 \cdot -1) \cdot (a \cdot (1/a)) = 1 \cdot 1 = 1$$

 $\square$ 

(iv).  $-(a/b) = (-a)/(b)$

*Proof.* By definition,  $-(a/b)$  is the additive inverse of  $(a \cdot (1/b))$ , which we have proven is equal to  $-1 \cdot (a \cdot (1/b))$ . Thus it suffices to show that  $(-a)/(b) = -1 \cdot (a \cdot (1/b))$ . But this is clear, since

$$(-a)/b = (-a) \cdot (1/b) = -1 \cdot a \cdot (1/b)$$

 $\square$ 

**Problem 4.** Suppose  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $a \cdot a = a$  then  $a = 1$  or  $a = 0$

*Proof.* Suppose that  $a \neq 0$ . Now one can apply Theorem 2.1.2 (b) with  $u$  and  $b$  equal to  $a$ , to show  $a = 1$ .  $\square$

**Problem 14.** If  $0 \leq a < b$ , then  $a^2 \leq ab < b^2$ , but  $a^2$  need not be less than  $ab$ .

*Proof.* First note that if  $a = 0$ ,  $a^2 = ab = 0$ , so  $a^2$  is not less than  $ab$ . But we do have  $a^2 \leq ab < b^2$ . Thus, we can now limit ourselves to the case  $0 < a < b$ , and this case is simply two application of Theorem 2.1.7 (c). Multiply both sides of  $a < b$  by  $a$  to get  $a^2 < ab$ ; multiply both sides by  $b$  to get  $ab < b^2$ .  $\square$

**Problem 17.** Take  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $0 \leq a < \epsilon$  for all positive  $\epsilon$ . Then  $a = 0$

*Proof.* Although I didn't notice this when I assigned the problem, the proof of Theorem 2.1.9 works here as well. That is, assume not, and let  $\epsilon$  equal  $\frac{1}{2}a$ . Then it is not the case that  $a \leq \epsilon$ .  $\square$