

ON THE OPTIMALITY OF A THEOREM OF ELTON ON ℓ_1^n SUBSYSTEMS

S. J. DILWORTH AND DENKA KUTZAROVA

ABSTRACT. We exhibit examples which show that a substantial strengthening of the hypothesis in the almost isometric part of a theorem of Elton on ℓ_1^n subsystems does not lead to a substantially stronger conclusion.

A well-known theorem of Elton [1, Theorem 1] on the existence of ℓ_1^n subsystems is in two parts. The second part, which is ‘almost isometric’ in character, may be formulated as follows.

Theorem E. *Let $\alpha \in (0, 1/2)$ and let $\beta \in (0, 1)$. There exists $\delta < 1$ (depending only on α and β) such that if $(e_i)_{i=1}^n$ are vectors in the unit ball of a real Banach space X such that*

$$\text{average}_{\pm} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \pm e_i \right\| \geq \delta n, \quad (1)$$

(the average taken over all choices of \pm) then there exists $A \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, with $|A| \geq (1/2 - \alpha)n$, such that

$$\left\| \sum_{i \in A} a_i e_i \right\| \geq \beta \sum_{i \in A} |a_i|$$

for all scalars $(a_i)_{i \in A}$.

We are not concerned here with the first part of [1, Theorem 1], which is ‘isomorphic’ in character: the reader is referred to [1] for this result (and to [3] for the case of complex scalars); the reader is referred to [4] and [5] for further isomorphic results related to Theorem E.

An example due to Szarek [1, p. 121] shows that it is not possible to choose A in Theorem E to satisfy $|A| \geq (1/2 + \alpha)n$. The theorem below answers

Date: September 19, 2007.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 46B07; Secondary: 46B04, 46B09.

a question raised in [1, p. 114] by showing that it is not possible to choose A in Theorem E to satisfy $|A| \geq (1/2 + \alpha)n$ even if the hypothesis (1) is replaced by the stronger hypothesis

$$\min_{\pm} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \pm e_i \right\| \geq \delta n.$$

In fact, given $\beta > 0$, our example can be constructed (see (ii) of the Theorem) to satisfy

$$\min_{\pm} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \pm e_i \right\| \geq n - \beta.$$

Recall that a sequence $(y_i)_{i=1}^n$ in a Banach space X is *suppression 1-unconditional* if, whenever $A \subseteq B \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, then $\|\sum_A a_i y_i\| \leq \|\sum_B a_i y_i\|$ for all scalars (a_i) . In the following, $(e_i)_{i=1}^n$ denotes the standard basis of \mathbb{R}^n and $\|\cdot\|_1$ denotes the ℓ_1^n norm. For a vector $x = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i e_i$, $\text{supp } x$ denotes the set $\{1 \leq i \leq n: x_i \neq 0\}$.

Theorem. *Let $\alpha \in (0, 1/2)$ and let $\beta \in (0, 1)$. For all sufficiently large n there exists a norm $\|\cdot\|$ on \mathbb{R}^n with the following properties:*

- (i) $(e_i)_{i=1}^n$ is a suppression 1-unconditional normalized basis of $(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|)$.
- (ii)

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \pm e_i \right\| \geq n - \beta$$

for all choices of signs.

- (iii) For every $A \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, with $|A| = 1 + \lceil (1/2 + \alpha)n \rceil$, there exists a nonzero vector x , with $\text{supp } x \subseteq A$, such that

$$\|x\|_1 \geq (1 + \eta(\alpha, \beta))\|x\|, \tag{2}$$

where

$$\eta(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{\alpha\beta}{(3\alpha + 1)\lceil (2 \ln 2)/\alpha^2 \rceil - \alpha\beta}.$$

Remark. Note that $\eta(\alpha, \beta) \geq c\alpha^3\beta$, where c is an absolute constant. This linear dependence of η on β is optimal since (ii), $\|e_i\| \leq 1$, and the triangle inequality imply

$$\|x\| \geq (1 - \beta)\|x\|_1 \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}^n).$$

The following probabilistic lemma will be used to construct $\|\cdot\|$. (Here $A\Delta B$ denotes the *symmetric difference* of A and B .)

Lemma. *Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. For all sufficiently large n there exist n sets $S_i \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$) satisfying the following: for every $S \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, we have*

$$|\{1 \leq i \leq n: \min(|S \Delta S_i|, |(I \setminus S) \Delta S_i|) \leq (1/2 - \alpha/2)n\}| \leq \frac{2 \ln 2}{\alpha^2}.$$

Proof. First we recall a well-known estimate (see e.g. [2] for a more general inequality). Let $(\varepsilon_m)_{m=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of independent Bernoulli random variables (defined on a probability space (Ω, \mathbb{P})) taking the values 1 and -1 with probability $1/2$. Then, for $\alpha > 0$ and $n \geq 1$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{m=1}^n \varepsilon_m \geq \alpha n\right) \leq \exp(-n\alpha^2/2). \quad (3)$$

Set $k = \lfloor (2 \ln 2)/\alpha^2 \rfloor + 1$. We shall choose the sets S_i *independently* with the uniform distribution. Fix $S \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Then, for each $1 \leq i \leq n$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(|S \Delta S_i(\omega)| \leq (1/2 - \alpha/2)n) \leq \exp(-n\alpha^2/2).$$

Indeed, this is precisely equivalent to (3) if we identify subsets of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ with sequences of 1's and -1 's in the obvious way. Hence

$$\mathbb{P}(\min(|S \Delta S_i(\omega)|, |(I \setminus S) \Delta S_i(\omega)|) \leq (1/2 - \alpha/2)n) \leq 2 \exp(-n\alpha^2/2).$$

Now fix $1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_k \leq n$. By independence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(\min(|S \Delta S_i(\omega)|, |(I \setminus S) \Delta S_i(\omega)|) \leq (1/2 - \alpha/2)n \text{ for all } i \in \{j_1, \dots, j_k\}) \\ \leq 2^k \exp(-kn\alpha^2/2). \end{aligned}$$

So the probability that *there exists* $S \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and that there exist indices $1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_k \leq n$ for which

$$\min(|S \Delta S_i(\omega)|, |(I \setminus S) \Delta S_i(\omega)|) \leq (1/2 - \alpha/2)n$$

for all $i \in \{j_1, \dots, j_k\}$ is at most

$$2^n \binom{n}{k} 2^k \exp(-kn\alpha^2/2) = \binom{n}{k} 2^k \exp(-n(k\alpha^2/2 - \ln 2)).$$

Since $k\alpha^2/2 - \ln 2 > 0$, this probability is less than 1 for all sufficiently large n . So, for all sufficiently large n , there exists $\omega \in \Omega$ such that $S_i(\omega)$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$) satisfy the conclusion. \square

Now we start on the proof of the Theorem. Let $I = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and let $(S_i)_{i=1}^n$ satisfy the conclusion of the Lemma for $\alpha \in (0, 1/2)$. Let $k(\alpha) = \lfloor (2 \ln 2)/\alpha^2 \rfloor$ and let $\gamma = \beta/k(\alpha)$. Note that $\gamma \in (0, 1)$.

For $1 \leq i \leq n$, we say that a set $S \subseteq I$ is *i -large* if either $|S \Delta S_i| \leq (1/2 - \alpha/2)n$ or $|(I \setminus S) \Delta S_i| \leq (1/2 - \alpha/2)n$. Note that, for each $1 \leq i \leq n$, the collection of all i -large sets is closed under complementation.

Let $y = (y_i)_{i \in I}$ be a vector whose coordinates belong to the interval $[-1, 1]$. We set $P(y) = \{i \in I : y_i > 1 - \gamma\}$ and $N(y) = \{i \in I : y_i < -1 + \gamma\}$. For $S \subseteq I$, we say that y is S -admissible and that y is obtained from S if the following conditions hold:

- (a) $|y_i| \leq 1 - \gamma$ whenever S is i -large.
- (b) $P(y) \subseteq S$ and $N(y) \subseteq I \setminus S$.

Note that if y is S -admissible then $-y$ is $(I \setminus S)$ -admissible. This follows from the fact that the collection of i -large sets is closed under complementation.

A vector y is said to be *admissible* if y is S -admissible for some $S \subseteq I$. Let F denote the collection of all admissible vectors. Then F is symmetric, i.e. if $y \in F$ then $-y \in F$.

Now we can define the norm $\|\cdot\|$:

$$\left\| \sum_{i \in I} x_i e_i \right\| = \max_{y \in F} \sum_{i \in I} x_i y_i. \quad (4)$$

The symmetry of F guarantees that (4) defines a norm. The fact that this norm is suppression 1-unconditional is an immediate consequence of the following easily checked property of F : if $y \in F$ and z is obtained from y by replacing some of the coordinates of y by zeros, then $z \in F$. It is also easy to check that $\|e_i\| = 1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Proof of (ii). Let $\eta = (\eta_i)_{i=1}^n$ be a choice of signs. Define $y = (y_i)$ thus:

$$y_i = \begin{cases} \eta_i & \text{if } P(\eta) \text{ is not } i\text{-large,} \\ (1 - \gamma)\eta_i & \text{if } P(\eta) \text{ is } i\text{-large.} \end{cases}$$

Clearly, y is $P(\eta)$ -admissible, so $y \in F$. By the Lemma, $P(\eta)$ is i -large for at most $k(\alpha)$ indices i . Thus

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \eta_i e_i \right\| \geq \sum_{i=1}^n \eta_i y_i \geq \sum_{i=1}^n \eta_i^2 - k(\alpha)\gamma = n - \beta.$$

□

Proof of (iii). Suppose $A \subset I$ with $|A| = 1 + \lceil (1/2 + \alpha)n \rceil$. Choose $i_0 \in A$ and set $\tilde{A} = A \setminus \{i_0\}$ (so that $|\tilde{A}| = \lceil (1/2 + \alpha)n \rceil$). We define a vector x , with $\text{supp } x = A$, thus:

$$x_i = \begin{cases} |\tilde{A}| - (1/2 + \alpha/2)n & \text{for } i = i_0, \\ 1 & \text{for } i \in \tilde{A} \cap S_{i_0}, \\ -1 & \text{for } i \in \tilde{A} \cap (I \setminus S_{i_0}), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Now let us show that $\|x\|$ satisfies (2). Let y be an admissible vector that is obtained from $S \subseteq I$. Suppose that

$$|\tilde{A} \cap S_{i_0} \cap P(y)| + |\tilde{A} \cap (I \setminus S_{i_0}) \cap N(y)| > (1/2 + \alpha/2)n. \quad (5)$$

Since $P(y) \subseteq S$ and $N(y) \subseteq I \setminus S$, we have

$$|S_{i_0} \cap S| + |(I \setminus S_{i_0}) \cap (I \setminus S)| > (1/2 + \alpha/2)n.$$

Thus,

$$|S_{i_0} \Delta S| < (1/2 - \alpha/2)n.$$

So S is i_0 -large. Thus $|y_{i_0}| \leq 1 - \gamma$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i \in I} x_i y_i &= x_{i_0} y_{i_0} + \sum_{i \in \tilde{A}} x_i y_i \\ &\leq (1 - \gamma)(|\tilde{A}| - (1/2 + \alpha/2)n) + |\tilde{A}|. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Note that if $i \in \tilde{A} \setminus ((\tilde{A} \cap S_{i_0} \cap P(y)) \cup (\tilde{A} \cap (I \setminus S_{i_0}) \cap N(y)))$ then $x_i y_i \leq 1 - \gamma$. It follows that if (5) does not hold, then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i \in I} x_i y_i &\leq |x_{i_0}| + |\tilde{A}| - \gamma(|\tilde{A}| - (1/2 + \alpha/2)n) \\ &= (|\tilde{A}| - (1/2 + \alpha/2)n) + |\tilde{A}| - \gamma(|\tilde{A}| - (1/2 + \alpha/2)n) \\ &= (1 - \gamma)(|\tilde{A}| - (1/2 + \alpha/2)n) + |\tilde{A}|. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

It follows from (6) and (7) that

$$\|x\| = \sup_{y \in F} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i \leq (1 - \gamma)(|\tilde{A}| - (1/2 + \alpha/2)n) + |\tilde{A}|. \quad (8)$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\|_1 &= |x_{i_0}| + |\tilde{A}| \\ &= (|\tilde{A}| - (1/2 + \alpha/2)n) + |\tilde{A}| \\ &\geq \|x\| + \gamma(|\tilde{A}| - (1/2 + \alpha/2)n) \end{aligned}$$

(by (8))

$$\geq \left(1 + \frac{\gamma(|\tilde{A}| - (1/2 + \alpha/2)n)}{(1 - \gamma)(|\tilde{A}| - (1/2 + \alpha/2)n) + |\tilde{A}|} \right) \|x\|$$

(by (8) again)

$$\geq \left(1 + \gamma \left\{ (1 - \gamma) + \frac{1 + 2\alpha}{\alpha} \right\}^{-1} \right) \|x\|$$

since $|\tilde{A}| \geq (1/2 + \alpha)n$. Substituting $k(\alpha) = \lfloor (2 \ln 2)/\alpha^2 \rfloor$ and $\gamma = \beta/k(\alpha)$ into the above inequality yields (2). \square

Acknowledgement. The authors thank Ted Odell, Alain Pajor, and Gideon Schechtman for their help with the bibliography.

REFERENCES

- [1] John Elton, *Sign-embeddings of ℓ_1^n* , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **279** (1983), 113-124.
- [2] W. Hoeffding, *Probability inequalities for sums of bounded random variables*, J. Amer. Statist. Assoc. **58** (1963), 13-30.
- [3] Alain Pajor, *Plongement de ℓ_1^k dans les espaces de Banach complexes*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **296** (1983), 741-743.
- [4] Alain Pajor, *Sous-espaces ℓ_1^n des espaces de Banach*, Travaux en Cours, Hermann, Paris, 1985.
- [5] H. P. Rosenthal, *Sign embeddings of L^1* , Banach spaces, harmonic analysis, and probability theory (Storrs, Conn. 1980/1981), 155-165, Lecture Notes in Math., **995**, Springer, Berlin-New York, 1983.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH CAROLINA, COLUMBIA, SC 29208, U.S.A.

E-mail address: `dilworth@math.sc.edu`

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, BULGARIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, SOFIA, BULGARIA.
CURRENT ADDRESS: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH CAROLINA, COLUMBIA, SC 29208, U.S.A.

E-mail address: `denka@math.sc.edu`