

Summary:

3.5. Nonlinear ev. eq (continuation)

3.6 Applications to a nonlinear Schrödinger eq

3.5 Nonlinear evolution equations (cont)

$$(1) \begin{cases} \frac{dv}{dt} = Av + f(t, v(t)) & t > t_0 \\ v(t_0) = v_0 \in X \end{cases}$$

X a Banach space $A: D(A) \rightarrow X$ linear
 $f: (t_0, T) \times X \rightarrow X$. Recall:

Def (classical solution) $v: [t_0, t_1) \rightarrow X$ continuous, continuously differentiable on (t_0, t_1) , $v(t) \in D(A)$ for all $t \in (t_0, t_1)$ and satisfying (1) is called a classical solution.

(strong solution) $v: [t_0, t_1) \rightarrow X$ continuous, $\frac{dv}{dt} \in L^1([t_0, t_1), X)$, $v(t) \in D(A)$ a.e. on (t_0, t_1) and satisfying the eq in (1) a.e. and $v(t_0) = v_0$ is called a strong solution.

(mild solution) If A is the infinitesimal generator of a C_0 semigroup of bounded operators $T(t)$, $t \geq 0$, then any $u \in C([t_0, t_1], X)$ satisfying

$$(2) \quad u(t) = T(t-t_0)u_0 + \int_{t_0}^t T(t-s)f(s, u(s)) ds$$

for $t_0 \leq t \leq t_1$ is called a mild solution.

Lemma If A is the infinitesimal generator of a C_0 semigroup and $f: [t_0, T) \times X \rightarrow X$ is continuous then any classical or strong solution of (1) are also mild solutions

Theorem 1 If A is the infinitesimal generator of a C_0 semigroup and $f: [t_0, T] \times X \rightarrow X$ satisfies

(i) $f(\cdot, x)$ is continuous $\forall x \in X$

(ii) $\exists L > 0$ such that $\forall t \in [t_0, T], \forall x, y \in X$

$$\|f(t, x) - f(t, y)\| \leq L \|x - y\|$$

then (2) has a unique (mild) solution in $C([t_0, T], X)$.

Theorem 2 If A is the infinitesimal generator of a C_0 semigroup and $f: [0, T) \times X \rightarrow X$ satisfies (i) above and

(ii)' $\forall t_0, t_1 \in [0, T], R > 0 \exists L(t_0, t_1, R) > 0$
 such that for all $t \in [t_0, t_1]$ and $x, y \in X$ $\|x\|, \|y\| \leq R$

$$\|f(t, x) - f(t, y)\| \leq L(t_0, t_1, R) \|x - y\|$$

Then (2) has a unique maximal solution:

$$v: [0, T_{\max}) \rightarrow X \text{ continuous}$$

such that either $T_{\max} = T$ or $\lim_{t \rightarrow T_{\max}} \|v(t)\| = +\infty$

Regular solutions of (1):

Theorem 3 Let A be the infinitesimal generator of a C_0 semigroup $T(t), t \geq 0$, and $(D(A), \|\cdot\|_A)$ be the Banach space with norm

$$\|x\|_A = \|x\| + \|Ax\|.$$

Assume that $f: [t_0, T] \times D(A) \rightarrow D(A)$ satisfies:

(i) $f(\cdot, x)$ is continuous $\forall x \in D(A)$

(ii) $\exists L > 0$ such that $\forall t \in [t_0, T], x, y \in D(A)$

$$\|f(t, x) - f(t, y)\|_A \leq L \|x - y\|_A$$

Then (1) has a unique classical solution on $[t_0, t]$ for $v_0 \in D(A)$.

Proof The proof of Theorem 1, see existence of mild solutions in lecture 14, page 11, carries through with $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ replaced by $(\mathcal{D}(A), \|\cdot\|_A)$ and shows the existence of a unique $C^1([t_0, T], \mathcal{D}(A))$ solution of

$$U(t) = T(t-t_0)u_0 + \int_{t_0}^t T(t-s)f(s, U(s)) ds.$$

Let $g(s) = f(s, U(s))$ clearly $g: [t_0, T] \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(A)$ is continuous (since $f: [t_0, T] \times \mathcal{D}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(A)$ and $U: [t_0, T] \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(A)$ are both continuous). In particular

$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} g(s) \in \mathcal{D}(A) \quad \forall s \in [t_0, T] \text{ and} \\ Ag(s): [t_0, T] \rightarrow X \text{ is continuous.} \end{array} \right.$

(see Corollary 2, lecture 14 page 7)

$$\rightarrow U(t) = T(t-t_0)u_0 + \int_{t_0}^t T(t-s)f(s, U(s)) ds$$

is a classical solution of:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dU}{dt} = AU + f(t, U(t)) \\ U(t_0) = u_0 \end{cases}$$

$\Rightarrow U(t) = v(t)$ is a classical solution of (1).

Theorem 4 Let A be the infinitesimal generator of a C_0 semigroup and $(D(A), \|\cdot\|_A)$ the Banach space with

$$\|x\|_A = \|x\| + \|Ax\|$$

Assume $f: [0, T) \times D(A) \rightarrow D(A)$ satisfies:

- (i) $f(\cdot, x)$ is continuous $\forall x \in D(A)$
- (ii)' $\forall t_1, t_2 \in [0, T), R > 0 \exists L(t_1, t_2, R) > 0$:

$$\|f(t, x) - f(t, y)\|_A \leq L(t_1, t_2, R) \|x - y\|_A$$

for all $t \in [t_1, t_2]$ and $x, y \in D(A)$ with $\|x\|_A, \|y\|_A \leq R$

Then for any $v_0 \in D(A)$ (i) has a unique classical maximal solution

$$v: [0, T_{\max}) \rightarrow D(A)$$

such that

$$T_{\max} = T \text{ or } \lim_{t \rightarrow T_{\max}} \|v(t)\|_A = \infty$$

Proof The proof of Th 2, see Lecture 14 page 13-14, carries over with $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ replaced by $(D(A), \|\cdot\|_A)$. The fact that the maximal (mild) solution is in

local domain follows as in Theorem 3 above.

Other ways to obtain regularity:

Theorem 5 Under the hypotheses of Theorem 1 if in addition $f: [t_0, T] \times X \rightarrow X$ is continuously (Fréchet) differentiable then the mild solution of (1) is a classical solution for all $v_0 \in D(A)$.

Sketch of proof: Note that f continuously differentiable implies (i) and (ii) in Theorem 1, so we have a unique mild solution on $[t_0, T]$:

$$(2) \quad v(t) = T(t-t_0)v_0 + \int_{t_0}^t T(t-s)f(s, v(s)) ds$$

For $v_0 \in D(A)$, $T(t-t_0)v_0$ is continuously differentiable in t , for $t > t_0$. To show that the integral term is differentiable in t one would like to move the t dependence to f :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{t_0}^t T(t-s)f(s, v(s)) ds &= \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^{t-t_0} T(s') f(t-s', v(t-s')) ds' \\ &= \underbrace{T(t-t_0) f(t_0, v(t_0))}_{\text{formally}} + \int_0^{t-t_0} T(s') \frac{\partial f}{\partial s}(t-s', v(t-s')) ds' \\ &\quad + \int_0^{t-t_0} T(s') \frac{\partial f}{\partial v}(t-s', v(t-s')) \frac{dv}{dt}(t-s') ds' \\ &= T(t-t_0) f(t_0, v(t_0)) + \int_{t_0}^t T(t-s) \frac{\partial f}{\partial s}(s, v(s)) ds \\ &\quad + \int_{t_0}^t T(t-s) \frac{\partial f}{\partial v}(s, v(s)) \frac{dv}{dt}(s) ds \end{aligned}$$

The problem is that we do not know whether $\frac{dU}{dt}$ even exists! To avoid this we use

fixed point techniques to show that the equation for $\frac{dU}{dt}$ obtained by differentiating (2) is continuous in U .

$$\begin{aligned}
 (*) \quad W(t) &= T(t-t_0)AU_0 + T(t-t_0)f(t_0, U(t_0)) + \\
 &+ \underbrace{\int_{t_0}^t T(t-s) \frac{\partial f}{\partial s}(s, U(s)) ds}_{\text{continuous in } t} + \underbrace{\int_{t_0}^t T(t-s) \frac{\partial f}{\partial U}(s, U(s)) W(s) ds}_{\text{uniformly Lipschitz in } U}
 \end{aligned}$$

has a unique solution. Then we show that $W(t)$ is indeed $\frac{dU}{dt}$ by showing

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \left\| \frac{U(t+h) - U(t)}{h} - W(t) \right\| = 0. \text{ This follows}$$

from using (2) and (*) to estimate

$$\chi_h(t) = \frac{U(t+h) - U(t)}{h} - W(t). \text{ We get}$$

$$\|\chi_h(t)\| \leq E(h) + M \int_{t_0}^t \|\chi_h(s)\| ds.$$

where $E(h) \rightarrow 0$ as $h \rightarrow 0$ and $M = \max_{t_0 \leq s \leq T} \left\| T(t-s) \frac{\partial f}{\partial U}(s, U(s)) \right\|$.

By Gronwall $\|v_h(t)\| \leq E(t) e^{(t-t_0)M}$
hence $v_h(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $h \rightarrow 0$.

The last part, $v(t) \in D(A) \forall t_0 < t < T$, and the fact that v satisfies (1) follows from

$$v(t) = T(t-t_0)v_0 + \int_{t_0}^t T(t-s) \underbrace{f(s, v(s))}_{g(s)} ds$$

$\parallel g(s) \text{ differentiable on } [t_0, T]$

is the classical solution of:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dv}{dt} = Av + f(t, v(t)) \\ v(t_0) = v_0 \end{cases}$$

See Corollary 2, Lecture 14, page 7. Since $v = \bar{v}$, v is a classical solution of (1). Q.E.D.

Theorem 6. Under the hypotheses of Theorem 1 of X is reflexive and $f: [t_0, T] \times X \rightarrow X$ is Lipschitz (in both variables) then the unique mild solution is a strong solution of (1) for all $v_0 \in D(A)$.

Sketch of proof From the formula for the mild solution (2) using that f is Lipschitz one gets:

$$\|v(t+h) - v(t)\| \leq Ch + ML \int_{t_0}^t \|v(s+h) - v(s)\| ds$$

where $M = \max\{\|T(t)\| : t_0 \leq t \leq T\}$ and L is the Lipschitz constant for f . By Gronwall:

$$\|v(t+h) - v(t)\| \leq Ch e^{ML(T-t_0)}$$

$\Rightarrow v$ is Lipschitz with constant $C e^{ML(T-t_0)}$,

$\Rightarrow s \mapsto f(s, v(s))$ is Lipschitz

$\Rightarrow v(t) = T(t-t_0)v_0 + \int_{t_0}^t T(t-s)f(s, v(s)) ds$
is the strong solution of

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dv}{dt} = Av + f(t, v(t)) \\ v(t_0) = v_0 \in D(A) \end{cases}$$

See Corollary 3, Lecture 14 page 8. Then $v(t) = \mathcal{V}(t)$ is a strong solution of (1). Q.E.D.

3.5 Applications to a Nonlinear Schrödinger Eq

$$(3) \begin{cases} i \frac{\partial U}{\partial t} = -\Delta_x U + V(x)U + k|U|^2 U & t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^n \\ U(0) = U_0(x) \end{cases}$$

$$U: [0, \infty) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad n = 1, 2, 3; \quad k \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$V: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad V \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ for some } p > n/2 \text{ and } p \geq 2.$$

$$k: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad k \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n)$$

Lemma 1 $i\Delta: H^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the infinitesimal generator of a C_0 group of unitary operators.

Proof By Stone's Theorem, see Lecture 12 page 17, the conclusion is equivalent to $(-i)(i\Delta) = \Delta$ is self adjoint.

Recall: A symmetric operator $A: D(A) \rightarrow H$ with H a Hilbert space is self-adjoint if and only if $\text{Range}(\pm i\text{Id} - A) = H$

In our case for $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ arbitrary

$$(4) \quad (\pm i\text{Id} - \Delta)g = f$$

can be solved via Fourier Transform:

$$(\pm i + |\xi|^2) \hat{g}(\xi) = \hat{f}(\xi)$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{g}(\xi) = \frac{\hat{f}(\xi)}{|\xi|^2 \pm i}$$

$$\Rightarrow g(x) = \text{F.T.}^{-1} \left(\frac{\hat{f}(\xi)}{|\xi|^2 \pm i} \right) (x) \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

Because $\frac{\hat{f}(\xi)}{|\xi|^2 \pm i} \in \left\{ g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) : (1 + |\xi|^2)g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \right\}$

So (4) has solutions for all $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \Rightarrow$
 Range $(\pm \text{Id} - \Delta) = L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \Rightarrow \Delta : H^2 \rightarrow L^2$ is
 Self-adjoint $\Rightarrow i\Delta$ generates a C_0 group of
 Unitary operators. $\square \in \mathbb{R}$

Lemma 2 $|U|_{\Delta} = \|U\|_{L^2} + \|\Delta U\|_{L^2}$ is an
 equivalent norm with the standard one on $H^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof Recall:

$$\|U\|_{H^2}^2 = \|U\|_{L^2}^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n \left\| \frac{\partial U}{\partial x_i} \right\|_{L^2}^2 + \sum_{i,j=1}^n \left\| \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right\|_{L^2}^2$$

$$\text{But } \left\| \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_j} \right\|_{L^2}^2 = \left\langle \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_j}, \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_j} \right\rangle = \left\langle -i \xi_j \hat{\psi}(\xi), -i \xi_j \hat{\psi}(\xi) \right\rangle \\ = \left\langle \hat{\psi}(\xi), |\xi_j|^2 \hat{\psi}(\xi) \right\rangle$$

$$\text{Hence } \sum_{j=1}^n \left\| \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_j} \right\|_{L^2}^2 = \left\langle \hat{\psi}(\xi), |\xi|^2 \hat{\psi}(\xi) \right\rangle$$

$$\text{Now } \left\| \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right\|_{L^2}^2 = \left\langle \hat{\psi}(\xi), |\xi_i|^2 |\xi_j|^2 \hat{\psi}(\xi) \right\rangle$$

$$\text{Hence } \sum_{i,j=1}^n \left\| \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right\|_{L^2}^2 = \left\langle \hat{\psi}(\xi), |\xi|^4 \hat{\psi}(\xi) \right\rangle$$

$$\Rightarrow \|\psi\|_{H^2}^2 = \left\langle \hat{\psi}(\xi), (1 + |\xi|^2 + |\xi|^4) \hat{\psi}(\xi) \right\rangle = \|\sqrt{1 + |\xi|^2 + |\xi|^4} \hat{\psi}(\xi)\|_{L^2}^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \|\hat{\psi}(\xi)\|_{L^2} + \frac{1}{2} \|\xi^2 \hat{\psi}(\xi)\|_{L^2} \leq \|\psi\|_{H^2} \leq \|(1 + |\xi|^2) \hat{\psi}(\xi)\|_{L^2}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \|\psi\|_{L^2} + \frac{1}{2} \|\Delta \psi\|_{L^2} \leq \|\psi\|_{H^2} \leq \|\psi\|_{L^2} + \|\Delta \psi\|_{L^2}$$

Lemma 3 If $V(x) \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $p > n/2$ and $p \geq 2$ then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ $\exists C(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that

$$\|V\psi\|_{L^2} \leq \varepsilon \|\Delta \psi\|_{L^2} + C(\varepsilon) \|\psi\|_{L^2} \quad \forall \psi \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

Proof By Hölder

$$(5) \quad \|V\psi\|_{L^2} \leq \|V\|_{L^p} \|\psi\|_{L^2} \quad \frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\text{Since } p > \frac{n}{2} \Rightarrow r < \frac{2n}{n-4} \Rightarrow H^2 \hookrightarrow L^r$$

$$\Rightarrow \|\tilde{U}\|_{L^2} \leq C_n \|\tilde{U}\|_{H^2} \leq C_n (\|\tilde{U}\|_{L^2} + \|\Delta \tilde{U}\|_{L^2})$$

for any $\tilde{U} \in H^2$. Let

$$\tilde{U}(x) = U(\rho x) \text{ for } \rho > 0, U \in H^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{\rho^{n/2}} \|U(\rho \cdot)\|_{L^2} = \|\tilde{U}\|_{L^2} \leq C_n (\|\tilde{U}\|_{L^2} + \|\Delta \tilde{U}\|_{L^2})$$

$$= C_n \left(\frac{1}{\rho^{n/2}} \|U\|_{L^2} + \frac{\rho^2}{\rho^{n/2}} \|\Delta U\|_{L^2} \right)$$

$$\Rightarrow \|U\|_{L^2} \leq C_n \rho^{-n(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p})} \|U\|_{L^2} + C_n \rho^{2-n(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p})} \|\Delta U\|_{L^2}$$

(5) implies $(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p})$

$$\|VU\|_{L^2} \leq C_n \|V\|_{L^p} \rho^{2-n/p} \|\Delta U\|_{L^2} + C_n \|V\|_{L^p} \rho^{-n/p} \|U\|_{L^2}$$

$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists \rho > 0$ such that $\varepsilon = C_n \|V\|_{L^p} \rho^{2-n/p}$; $(2-n/p > 0)$
and denoting $C(\varepsilon) = C_n \|V\|_{L^p} \rho^{-n/p}$ we get the conclusion.

Lemma 4 $i(\mathbb{1} - V): H^2 \rightarrow L^2$ is the generator of a C_0 group of unitary operators.

Proof As in Lemma 1 (via Stone's theorem) is sufficient to prove that $\mathbb{1} - V$ is self-adjoint. Since $\mathbb{1} - V$ is symmetric (note V is real valued)

it suffices to prove $\text{Range}(\pm i \text{Id} - \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{V}) = L^2$
 or equivalently:

$$\text{Range}(\text{Id} + i(\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{V})) = L^2$$

and

$$\text{Range}(\text{Id} - i(\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{V})) = L^2$$

But $i\mathcal{A}$, $-i\mathcal{V}$ are dissipative

$$\text{Range}(\text{Id} - i\mathcal{A}) = L^2 \quad (\text{see Lemma 1})$$

$$\| -i\mathcal{V}u \|_{L^2} \leq \frac{1}{2} \| i\mathcal{A}u \|_{L^2} + C \| u \|_{L^2} \quad (\text{see Lemma 3})$$

\Rightarrow via perturbations of dissipative operators, see
 Lemma in Lecture 13 page 9 \Rightarrow

$$\text{Range}(\text{Id} - i\mathcal{A} + i\mathcal{V}) = L^2$$

Same argument for $-i\mathcal{A}$ and $i\mathcal{V}$ gives

$$\text{Range}(\text{Id} + i\mathcal{A} - i\mathcal{V}) = L^2 \quad \text{Q.E.D.}$$

Lemma 5 Let $f: \mathbb{R} \times H^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow H^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ $n \leq 3$,

$$f(t, u)(x) = -ik(t, x) |u(x)|^2 u(x), \quad k \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n).$$

Then f is well defined and $\exists C > 0$ such that

$$\| f(u) - f(v) \|_{H^2} \leq C (\| u \|_{H^2}^2 + \| v \|_{H^2}^2) \| u - v \|_{H^2}$$

Proof

$$\begin{aligned} f(u) - f(v) &= -ik(|u|^2 u - |v|^2 v) \\ &= -ik[(\bar{u}u + \bar{v}v)(u-v) + v^2(\bar{u}-\bar{v})] \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(u) - f(v)\|_{L^2} &\leq |k| \left(\|\bar{u}u + \bar{v}v\|_{L^\infty} \|u-v\|_{L^2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|v^2\|_{L^\infty} \|u-v\|_{L^2} \right) \\ &\leq 2|k| \left(\|u\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|v\|_{L^\infty}^2 \right) \|u-v\|_{L^2} \end{aligned}$$

Since $H^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $n \leq 3$ we obtain.

$$(*) \quad \|f(u) - f(v)\| \leq 2|k| \left(\|u\|_{H^2}^2 + \|v\|_{H^2}^2 \right) \|u-v\|_{L^2}$$

Similarly we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta(f(u) - f(v))\|_{L^2} &\leq |k| \left(2\|u\|_{L^\infty}^2 + 2\|v\|_{L^\infty}^2 \right) \|\Delta(u-v)\|_{L^2} \\ &\quad + 2|k| \left(\|\nabla(\bar{u}u + \bar{v}v)\|_{L^4} + \|\nabla v^2\|_{L^4} \right) \|\nabla(u-v)\|_{L^4} \\ &\quad + |k| \left(\|\Delta(\bar{u}u + \bar{v}v)\|_{L^2} + \|\Delta v^2\|_{L^2} \right) \|u-v\|_{L^2} \end{aligned}$$

which by $H^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow W^{1,4}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $n \leq 3$
implies

$$\| \Delta(f(u) - f(v)) \|_{L^2} \leq \tilde{C} (\|u\|_{H^2}^2 + \|v\|_{H^2}^2) \|u - v\|_{H^2}$$

Adding this to (*)

$$\Rightarrow \|f(u) - f(v)\|_{H^2} \leq \|f(u) - f(v)\|_{\Delta} \leq C_1 (\|u\|_{H^2}^2 + \|v\|_{H^2}^2) \cdot \|u - v\|_{H^2} \quad \text{QED}$$

Theorem If $n \leq 3$, V is real valued $V \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$,
for some $p > n/2$ and $p \geq 2$, $R \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ and
 $u_0 \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ then (3) has a unique classical
maximal solution:

$$U: (T_{\min}, T_{\max}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

such that

$$T_{\min} < 0 < T_{\max}$$

$$T_{\min} = -\infty \text{ or } \lim_{t \rightarrow T_{\min}} \|U(t)\|_{H^2} = +\infty$$

$$T_{\max} = +\infty \text{ or } \lim_{t \rightarrow T_{\max}} \|U(t)\|_{H^2} = +\infty$$

Proof Lemmas 2, 4 and 5 imply the hypotheses of
Theorem 4 at page 5 which implies the conclusion.