

Summary

1.2 The implicit function theorem in Banach spaces and Lyapunov-Schmidt reduction

Def Let $F: X \times Y \rightarrow Z$ X, Y, Z Banach spaces and $(x_0, y_0) \in X \times Y$. F is Fréchet diff at (x_0, y_0) w.r.t variable x if and only if

$g: X \rightarrow Z$ $g(x) = F(x, y_0)$
is Fréchet differentiable at x_0 .

Notation $DF_x(x_0, y_0) = Dg(x_0)$

Theorem Let $f: U \rightarrow Z$ where $U \subseteq X \times Y$ is open and X, Y, Z are Banach spaces. Assume:

1° $f(x_0, y_0) = 0$, $(x_0, y_0) \in U$

2° f is continuous at (x_0, y_0) .

3° $Df_x(x_0, y_0)$ exists and is an isomorphism

(from X to Z)

4° $Df_x(x, y)$ exists for all $(x, y) \in U$ and

$(x, y) \mapsto Df_x(x, y)$ is continuous at (x_0, y_0) as a map from U to $B(X, Z) =$ Banach space of linear bounded operators from X to Z .

Then:

(i) $\exists \delta > 0, r > 0$ with $\overline{B_\delta(x_0)} \times \overline{B_r(y_0)} \subseteq U$ and a map $U: \overline{B_r(y_0)} \rightarrow \overline{B_\delta(x_0)}$ such that $(U(y), y)$ are the only solutions of:
 $f(x, y) = 0$ in $\overline{B_\delta(x_0)} \times \overline{B_r(y_0)}$

If in addition f is continuous on $\overline{B_\delta(x_0)} \times \overline{B_r(y_0)}$ then U is continuous.

(ii) If f is C^1 on $B_\delta(x_0) \times B_r(y_0)$, i.e. $Df(x, y)$ exist for all $(x, y) \in B_\delta(x_0) \times B_r(y_0)$ and $(x, y) \mapsto Df(x, y)$ is continuous from $B_\delta(x_0) \times B_r(y_0)$ to $B(X \times Y, Z)$, then U is C^1 and:

$$D_U(y) = -[Df_x(U(y), y)]^{-1} Df_y(U(y), y)$$

(iii) If f is C^p , $p \geq 2$, on $B_\delta(x_0) \times B_r(y_0)$ then U is C^p

Sketch of proof: Denote $A = Df_x(x_0, y_0)$ and
 $g: U \rightarrow X \quad g(x, y) = x - A^{-1}f(x, y)$

Then $D_x g$ exists:

$$D_x g = Id - A^{-1} D_x f(x, y)$$

where $\text{Id}: X \rightarrow X$ is $\text{Id}(x) = x$. In particular

$$D_x g(x_0, y_0) = 0$$

Since $D_x f$ is continuous at (x_0, y_0) as a map from U to $B(X, Z)$ we get that $D_x g$ is continuous at (x_0, y_0) as a map from U to $B(X, X)$. Hence we can choose $\delta > 0$ and $r_1 > 0$ such that

$$\|D_x g(x, y)\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \quad \forall x, y, \|x - x_0\| \leq \delta, \|y - y_0\| \leq r_1$$

Furthermore: $g(x_0, y_0) = x_0$ $\left. \begin{array}{l} \\ g \text{ continuous at } (x_0, y_0) \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow$

we can choose $0 < r \leq r_1$ such that

$$\|g(x_0, y) - x_0\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \delta \quad \forall y, \|y - y_0\| \leq r$$

Claim $\forall y \in \overline{B_r(y_0)}, g_y: \overline{B_\delta(x_0)} \rightarrow \overline{B_\delta(x_0)}$
given by

$$g_y(x) = g(x, y)$$

is well defined and a contraction.

Proof of Claim: Clearly $g_y: \overline{B_\delta(x_0)} \rightarrow X$

By applying the mean value theorem, see

Lemma in Lecture 2 we have for $x_1, x_2 \in B_\delta(x_0)$

$$\|g_y(x_1) - g_y(x_2)\| = \|g(x_1, y) - g(x_2, y)\|$$

$\leq \|Dg_x(x, y)\| \|x_1 - x_2\|$ for some $x \in [x_1, x_2] \subseteq B_\delta(x_0)$. Also $y \in B_{\frac{\delta}{2}}(y_0)$ so $\|Dg_x(x, y)\| \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and, consequently

$$\|g_y(x_1) - g_y(x_2)\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \|x_1 - x_2\|$$

i.e. g_y is a contraction. It remains to show that the range of $g_y \subseteq B_\delta(x_0)$. Let $x \in B_\delta(x_0)$

$$\begin{aligned} \|g_y(x) - x_0\| &= \|g(x, y) - g(x_0, y_0)\| = \\ &= \|g(x, y) - g(x_0, y) + g(x_0, y) - g(x_0, y_0)\| \\ &\leq \|g_y(x) - g_y(x_0)\| + \|g(x_0, y) - g(x_0, y_0)\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|x - x_0\| + \frac{1}{2} \delta \leq \delta \end{aligned}$$

The claim is completely proven.

By contraction principle, for every $y \in B_{\frac{\delta}{2}}(y_0)$ g_y has a unique fixed point in $B_\delta(x_0)$, call it $u(y)$. Hence the equation:

$$x = g(x, y) = x - A^{-1} f(x, y)$$

or, equivalently $Ax = \underline{Ax - f(x, y)} \Leftrightarrow f(x, y) = 0$ has a unique soln in $B_\delta(x_0)$, $x = v(y)$ for any fixed $y \in B_\delta(y_0)$.

Part (i) is proven except continuity of $y \mapsto v(y)$. The continuity follows immediately from the general result:

Lemma: Let $F_\lambda: K \rightarrow K$ be a family of uniform contractions (all have the same Lipschitz constant) where K is a closed set in the complete metric space M and $\Lambda \subseteq \Lambda$ is a topological space. Assume that F_λ depends continuously on λ , i.e. $\forall x \in K, \forall \lambda_0 \in \Lambda, \forall \epsilon > 0$ there exists $U(\epsilon, x, \lambda_0)$ a neighborhood of λ_0 such that

$$\|F_\lambda(x) - F_{\lambda_0}(x)\| < \epsilon \quad \forall \lambda \in U(\epsilon, x, \lambda_0).$$

Then the unique fixed point of F_λ depends continuously on λ .

HW: Prove the lemma and use it to show $y \mapsto v(y)$ is continuous.

Part (ii) We first show that $D_x f(x, y)$ is an isomorphism (from $X \rightarrow Z$) for all $(x, y) \in B_\delta(x_0) \times B_\delta(y_0)$. It suffices to show that $A^{-1} D_x f$ is an isomorphism because $D_x f = A (A^{-1} D_x f)$ will then be a composition of isomorphisms.

We have already shown

$$A^{-1} D_x f = \text{Id} - D_x g$$

and $\|D_x g\| \leq \frac{1}{2}$ on $B_\delta(x_0) \times B_\delta(y_0)$

The result now follows from the general one:

If $L: X \rightarrow X$ is a bounded linear operator on the Banach space X with $\|L\| < 1$ then

$\text{Id} - L$ is an isomorphism on X with inverse:

$$(\text{Id} - L)^{-1} = \text{Id} + L + L^2 + \dots + L^n + \dots$$

Hint Prove this result using contraction principle.

Once $D_x f(x, y)$ is an isomorphism for all (x, y) in $B_\delta(x_0) \times B_\delta(y_0)$ one can directly show that

$$- [D_x f(v(y), y)]^{-1} \circ D_y f(v(y), y)$$

is the Fréchet derivative of v at y by using the definition of the Fréchet derivative for f and the eq:

$$f(v(y), y) = 0$$

See for example L. Nirenberg: *Topics in Nonlinear Functional Analysis*, Section 2.7.

Part (iii) follows directly by differentiating the formula for Dv proved in part (ii). QED.

Lyapunov - Schmidt reduction

Consider $f: U \rightarrow Y$, $U \subseteq X \times \Lambda$ open
 X, Λ, Y Banach spaces and assume
 $f(x_0, \lambda_0) = 0$, $(x_0, \lambda_0) \in U$

(1) Study the solutions of
 $f(x, \lambda) = 0$ in U

Assume f is C^1 on U and $D_x f(x_0, \lambda_0)$ is Fredholm:

(a) $\ker D_x f(x_0, \lambda_0) = X_1$ is finite dimensional

(b) $\text{range } D_x f(x_0, \lambda_0) = Y_1$ is a closed subspace
of Y with finite codimension.

The procedure reduces the infinite dimensional
equation (1) to a finite dimensional equation:

Consider the decompositions

$$X = X_1 \oplus X_2, \quad Y = Y_1 \oplus Y_2$$

with associated continuous projections

$$P: X \rightarrow X_2 \quad \text{and} \quad Q: Y \rightarrow Y_1$$

(1) is equivalent to :

$$\begin{cases} Q f(x_1 + x_2, \lambda) = 0 & (2.1) \\ (Id - Q) f(x_1 + x_2, \lambda) = 0 & (2.2) \end{cases}$$

Let $x_0 = x_1^0 + x_2^0$, $x_1^0 \in X_1$, $x_2^0 \in X_2$ then
 $\exists r_1, r_2, r_\lambda$ such that $(x_1 + x_2, \lambda) \in U$ whenever
 $(x_2, x_1, \lambda) \in B_{r_2}(x_2^0) \times B_{r_1}(x_1^0) \times B_{r_\lambda}(\lambda_0) \subseteq X_2 \times X_1 \times \lambda$

Let $F : B_{r_2}(x_2^0) \times B_{r_1}(x_1^0) \times B_{r_\lambda}(\lambda_0) \rightarrow Y_1$ given by
 $F(x_2, x_1, \lambda) = Q f(x_1 + x_2, \lambda)$

Then $F(x_2^0, x_1^0, \lambda_0) = Q f(x_0, \lambda_0) = Q 0 = 0$

$$D_{x_2} F(x_2^0, x_1^0, \lambda_0) = Q D_x f(x_0, \lambda_0) \dot{i}_{x_1^0}$$

where $i_{x_1^0} : X_2 \rightarrow X$ $i_{x_1^0}(x) = x_1^0 + x$

We have

$$\ker D_{x_2} F(x_2^0, x_1^0, \lambda_0) = \ker Q \Big|_{Y_1} \cup \ker D_x f(x_0, \lambda_0) \Big|_{X_2} = \{0\}$$

$$\text{range } D_{x_2} F(x_2^0, x_1^0, \lambda_0) = Y_1$$

$D_{x_2} F(x_2^0, x_1^0, \lambda_0) : X_2 \rightarrow Y$ continuous because $i_{x_1^0}$, Q and $D_x f$ are

By the open mapping theorem we know that $D_{x_2} F(x_2^0, x_1^0, \lambda_0)$ is an isomorphism from X_2 to Y_1 .

F and $D_{x_2} F$ are continuous on $B_{r_2}(x_2^0) \times B_{r_1}(x_1^0) \times B_{r_\lambda}(\lambda_0)$

Implicit function theorem applies to eq 2.1 and there exists $\tilde{r}_2, \tilde{r}_1, \tilde{r}_\lambda > 0$ and a C^1 map

$$x_2: B_{\tilde{r}_1}(x_1^0) \times B_{\tilde{r}_\lambda}(\lambda_0) \longrightarrow B_{\tilde{r}_2}(x_2^0)$$

such that

$(x_2(x_1, \lambda), x_1, \lambda)$ are the only sol's of (2.1) in $B_{\tilde{r}_2} \times B_{\tilde{r}_1} \times B_{\tilde{r}_\lambda}$ neighborhood of (x_0, λ_0)

Plugging in (2.2) we get:

$$(I_d - Q) f(x_1 + x_2(x_1, \lambda), \lambda) = 0$$

The left hand side is C^1 in x_1, λ and defined on a subset of $X_1 \times \Lambda$ with values in Y_2 . X_1 and Y_2 are both finite dimensional.

Note that: For $G(x_1, \lambda) = (I_d - Q) f(x_1 + x_2(x_1, \lambda), \lambda)$

we have:

$$G(x_0^0, \lambda_0) = (I_d - Q) f(x_0, \lambda_0) = 0$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} D_{x_1} G(x_1^0, r_0) &= (I_d - Q) D_{x_1} f(x_0, y_0) \circ (I_d + D_{x_1} x_2) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Because range of $D_{x_1} f(x_0, y_0) = Y_1 = \ker(I_d - Q)$
So if one wants to use again implicit function theorem for (2.2) then one should look for $\Lambda = \Lambda(x_1)$:

$$\begin{aligned} D_{x_1} G(x_1^0, r_0) &= (I_d - Q) D_{x_1} f(x_0, r_0) \circ D_{x_1} x_2 \\ &\quad + (I_d - Q) D_{x_1} f(x_0, r_0). \end{aligned}$$

Conclusion: The Lyapunov-Schmidt reduction makes a one to one correspondence between the solutions of $f(x, r) = 0$ $x \in X, r \in \Lambda$ and the solutions of $\dim Y_1$ equations in $\dim X_1 + \dim \Lambda$ variables in a small neighborhood of one (x_0, r_0) provided f is locally C^1 and $D_{x_1} f(x_0, r_0)$ is Fredholm.