

4. BASIC CONCEPTS

In this section we take X to be any infinite set of individuals that contains \mathbb{R} as a subset and we assume that $*$: $U(X) \rightarrow U(*X)$ is a proper nonstandard extension.

The purpose of this section is to introduce three important concepts that are characteristic of arguments using nonstandard analysis: *overspill* and *underspill* (consequences of certain sets in $U(*X)$ not being internal); *hyperfinite sets* and *hyperfinite sums* (combinatorics of hyperfinite objects in $U(*X)$); and *saturation*.

OVERSPILL AND UNDERSPILL

4.1. Lemma. *The sets \mathbb{N} , $\mu(0)$, and $\text{fin}(*\mathbb{R})$ are external in $U(*X)$.*

Proof. Every bounded nonempty subset of \mathbb{N} has a maximum element. By transfer we conclude that every bounded nonempty *internal* subset of $*\mathbb{N}$ has a maximum element. Since \mathbb{N} is a subset of $*\mathbb{N}$ that is bounded above (by any infinite element of $*\mathbb{N}$) but that has no maximum element, it follows that \mathbb{N} is external.

Every bounded nonempty subset of \mathbb{R} has a least upper bound. By transfer we conclude that every bounded nonempty internal subset of $*\mathbb{R}$ has a least upper bound. Since $\mu(0)$ is a bounded nonempty subset of $*\mathbb{R}$ that has no least upper bound, it follows that $\mu(0)$ is external.

If $\text{fin}(*\mathbb{R})$ were internal, so would $\mathbb{N} = \text{fin}(*\mathbb{R}) \cap *\mathbb{N}$ be internal. Since \mathbb{N} is external, it follows that $\text{fin}(*\mathbb{R})$ is also external. \square

4.2. Proposition. (*Overspill and Underspill Principles*) *Let A be an internal set in $U(*X)$.*

(1) *(For $*\mathbb{N}$) A contains arbitrarily large elements of \mathbb{N} if and only if A contains arbitrarily small infinite elements of $*\mathbb{N}$.*

(2) *(For $\mu(0)$) A contains arbitrarily large positive infinitesimals from $*\mathbb{R}$ if and only if A contains finite elements from $*\mathbb{R}$ whose standard parts are arbitrarily small > 0 .*

(3) *(For $\text{fin}(*\mathbb{R})$) A contains arbitrarily large positive finite elements of $*\mathbb{R}$ if and only if A contains arbitrarily small positive infinite elements of $*\mathbb{R}$.*

Proof. (1) We use the fact that \mathbb{N} is external, and argue by contradiction in both directions.

(\Rightarrow) Suppose A contains arbitrarily large elements of \mathbb{N} and there exists an infinite element H of ${}^*\mathbb{N}$ such that every $a \in A \cap {}^*\mathbb{N}$ satisfying $a < H$ is in \mathbb{N} . We conclude

$$\mathbb{N} = \{b \in {}^*\mathbb{N} \mid \exists a \in A (a \in {}^*\mathbb{N} \wedge b < a \wedge a < H)\}.$$

Using the Internal Definition Principle we have that \mathbb{N} is internal, which is a contradiction.

(\Leftarrow) Suppose A contains arbitrarily small infinite elements of ${}^*\mathbb{N}$ and there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that every element of $A \cap \mathbb{N}$ is $\leq k$. We conclude

$$\mathbb{N} = \{b \in {}^*\mathbb{N} \mid \forall a \in A ((a \in {}^*\mathbb{N} \wedge k < a) \rightarrow b < a)\}.$$

Again using the Internal Definition Principle we have proved the false statement that \mathbb{N} is internal.

(2) and (3) Exercises. The proofs are similar to the proof of (1). \square

4.3. Remark. Let A be an internal set in $U({}^*X)$. There are many variants of the principles stated in the previous result that are easily derived from what is stated there. For example:

(4) If A contains $\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid k \leq n\}$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then there exists an infinite element H of ${}^*\mathbb{N}$ such that $A \supseteq \{c \in {}^*\mathbb{N} \mid k \leq c \leq H\}$.

(5) Let $r \in \mathbb{R}$. If A contains $\mu(r)$, then there exists $\delta > 0$ in \mathbb{R} such that $A \supseteq {}^*(r - \delta, r + \delta)$.

HYPERFINITE SETS

A set x is finite if there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and a 1-1 function f from $\{m \in \mathbb{N} \mid m < n\}$ onto x . Moreover, in this case n is unique and it is called the *cardinality* or *size* of x . When x is a finite set and n is the cardinality of x , we write $n = \text{card}(x)$ or $n = |x|$.

4.4. Definition. Let A be a set in $U({}^*X)$. We say A is *hyperfinite* if there exists $H \in {}^*\mathbb{N}$ and an internal 1-1 function from $\{u \in {}^*\mathbb{N} \mid u < H\}$ onto A .

Note that a hyperfinite set is necessarily internal, since it is the range of an internal function.

4.5. Remark. If we fix $n \geq 1$, then conditions such as the following are expressible in $U(*X)$ by Δ_0 -formulas in which a name for $*U_{n+2}(X)$ occurs.

- (1) A is a hyperfinite set of rank $\leq n$.
- (2) A is a hyperfinite set of rank $\leq n$, $H \in *N$, and $H = |A|$.

The reason that $*U_{n+2}(X)$ needs to be mentioned in the formulas is that the internal function witnessing that A is hyperfinite will be an internal set of rank $\leq n+2$ when A has rank $\leq n$, and we need to express the existence of such a function using a bounded quantifier.

4.6. Lemma. *If A is a hyperfinite set in $U(*X)$, then the $H \in *N$ such that there exists an internal 1-1 function from $\{u \in *N \mid u < H\}$ onto A is unique.*

Proof. Use the Δ_0 -formulas discussed above and in Section 2, and the Transfer Principle. \square

4.7. Definition. If A is a hyperfinite set in $U(*X)$ and H is the unique element of $*N$ such that there exists an internal 1-1 function from $\{u \in *N \mid u < H\}$ onto A , then H is called the (*internal*) *cardinality* of A and we write $H = \text{card}(A)$ or $H = |A|$.

An important example of a hyperfinite set is

$$\left\{ \frac{m}{H} \mid m \in *N \wedge m \leq H \right\}$$

where H is an infinite element of $*N$. The internal cardinality of this set is $H + 1$ and the internal 1-1 function witnessing that the set is hyperfinite takes m to m/H for $m \in \{0, 1, \dots, H\}$.

4.8. Notation. For any set A we let $\mathcal{P}(A)$ denote the set of all subsets of A , and $\mathcal{P}_f(A)$ will denote the set of all *finite* subsets of A .

4.9. Proposition.

- (1) *If a is a set in $U(X)$, then $*\mathcal{P}(a)$ (respectively, $*\mathcal{P}_f(a)$) is the set of all internal subsets of $*a$ (respectively, the set of all hyperfinite subsets of $*a$).*
- (2) *If A is an internal set in $U(*X)$, then the set of all hyperfinite subsets of A is internal.*

Proof. (1) Apply the Standard Definition Principle to the Δ_0 -formula $\neg I(x) \wedge \forall y \in x (y \in a)$. Note that if A has rank n , then every x satisfying this formula has rank at most n , so this Principle applies.

(2) Suppose A has rank n . Consider the Δ_0 -formula $\varphi(x, y, u, v, w)$ such that $\varphi(x, y, \mathbb{N}, <, U_{n+2}(X))$ formalizes the condition “ $x \subseteq y$ and there exists a 1-1 function $f \in U_{n+2}(X)$ whose range is x and whose domain is $\{0, 1, \dots, H\}$ for some $H \in \mathbb{N}$ ”. We apply the Internal Definition Principle to the formula $\varphi(x, A, {}^*\mathbb{N}, {}^* <, {}^*U_{n+2}(X))$, whose meaning is: “ $x \subseteq y$ and there exists a 1-1 function $f \in {}^*U_{n+2}(X)$ whose range is x and whose domain is $\{0, 1, \dots, H\}$ for some $H \in \mathbb{N}$ ”. Such functions are necessarily internal, which implies that any x satisfying this formula will be a hyperfinite subset of A . Moreover, if x is a hyperfinite subset of A , then the internal function witnessing that x is hyperfinite will indeed be an element of ${}^*U_{n+2}(X)$. Thus $\{x \in U({}^*X) \mid x \text{ is hyperfinite and } x \subseteq A\}$ is equal to $\{x \in U({}^*X) \mid x \text{ is internal and } \varphi(x, A, {}^*\mathbb{N}, {}^* <, {}^*U_{n+2}(X))\}$ and this set is internal by the Internal Definition Principle. \square

4.10. Notation. For any internal set A in $U({}^*X)$ we let ${}^*\mathcal{P}(A)$ denote the set of all internal subsets of A , and ${}^*\mathcal{P}_f(A)$ will denote the set of all hyperfinite subsets of A . If $A = {}^*a$ for some set $a \in U(X)$, then we have two notations for the same sets: ${}^*\mathcal{P}({}^*a) = {}^*(\mathcal{P}(a))$ and also ${}^*\mathcal{P}_f({}^*a) = {}^*(\mathcal{P}_f(a))$.

Next we give a list of some basic properties of hyperfinite sets.

4.11. Proposition (Properties of hyperfinite sets). *Let A, B be internal sets and $f: A \rightarrow B$ an internal function.*

(1) *Assume B is hyperfinite and f is 1-1. Then A is hyperfinite and $|A| \leq |B|$; moreover, $|A| = |B|$ if and only if f is surjective. (In particular these hold when B is hyperfinite and A is an internal subset of B .)*

(2) *Assume A is hyperfinite and f is surjective. Then B is hyperfinite and $|B| \leq |A|$; moreover, $|A| = |B|$ if and only if f is injective.*

(3) *Assume A, B are both hyperfinite. Then $A \cup B$ is hyperfinite and*

$$|A \cup B| + |A \cap B| = |A| + |B|.$$

(4) *Assume A, B are both hyperfinite. Then $A \times B$ is hyperfinite and*

$$|A \times B| = |A| \cdot |B|.$$

(5) *Assume A is hyperfinite. Then ${}^*\mathcal{P}(A)$ is hyperfinite and $|{}^*\mathcal{P}(A)| = 2^{|A|}$. (Here $2^{|A|}$ is taken to be ${}^*E(|A|)$ where $E: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is the function given by $E(n) = 2^n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.)*

Proof. Exercises. Results like these are easily proved by formulating the corresponding properties of finite sets in $U(X)$ using Δ_0 -formulas (in which $U_k(X)$ occurs for suitable k), applying the Transfer Principle, and then

interpreting the meaning of the resulting formula in the nonstandard extension. \square

HYPERFINITE SUMS

Suppose A is a hyperfinite set in $U(*X)$ and f is an internal function whose domain contains A and whose range is in $*\mathbb{R}$. We want to give a meaning to the expression “the sum of $f(x)$ as x ranges over A ”, which we will denote by

$$\sum_{x \in A} f(x).$$

Fix $n \geq 1$. Let \sum denote the function in $U(X)$ whose domain is the set of all (a, f) such that a is a finite set of rank $\leq n$ and f is a function of rank $\leq n + 2$ whose domain contains a and whose range is contained in \mathbb{R} , and whose value at such an (a, f) is given by

$$\sum(a, f) = \sum_{x \in a} f(x).$$

It is easy to see that the domain of the nonstandard extension $*\sum$ is the set of all (A, f) such that A is a hyperfinite set of rank $\leq n$ and f is an internal function of rank $\leq n + 2$ whose domain contains A and whose range is contained in $*\mathbb{R}$. For any such (A, f) we make the definition

$$\sum_{x \in A} f(x) = *\sum(A, f).$$

It is easy to check, using the Transfer Principle, that this definition does not depend on the rank bound n .

4.12. Proposition (Properties of hyperfinite sums). *Suppose A, B are hyperfinite sets and f, g are internal functions whose domains contain A and B and whose ranges are contained in $*\mathbb{R}$. Then*

- (1) For any $u \in *\mathbb{R}$, $\sum_{x \in A} uf(x) = u \sum_{x \in A} f(x)$.
- (2) $\sum_{x \in A} (f(x) + g(x)) = \sum_{x \in A} f(x) + \sum_{x \in A} g(x)$.
- (3) If $A \cap B = \emptyset$, then $\sum_{x \in A \cup B} f(x) = \sum_{x \in A} f(x) + \sum_{x \in B} f(x)$.
- (4) If $f(x) \leq g(x)$ for all $x \in A$, then $\sum_{x \in A} f(x) \leq \sum_{x \in A} g(x)$.

Proof. Exercises. Bound the ranks of A, B, f, g by n and express properties of the function $\sum(a, f)$ by appropriate Δ_0 -formulas in which $U_{n+2}(X)$ occurs. Check that the Transfer Principle yields properties of the nonstandard extension $*\sum$ that correspond to the items in the Proposition. \square

SATURATION

Fix an uncountable cardinal number κ .

Recall that a collection of sets \mathcal{F} is said to have the *finite intersection property* (FIP) if every finite subcollection of \mathcal{F} has nonempty intersection.

4.13. Definition. The nonstandard extension $*$: $U(X) \rightarrow U(*X)$ is said to be κ -saturated if, whenever I is a set of cardinality $< \kappa$ and $\{A_i \mid i \in I\}$ is a collection of internal sets with the finite intersection property, then $\bigcap\{A_i \mid i \in I\} \neq \emptyset$.

4.14. Theorem (Existence of κ -saturated nonstandard extensions). *For every infinite set of individuals X there exists a κ -saturated nonstandard extension $*$: $U(X) \rightarrow U(*X)$. Every such nonstandard extension is proper.*

Proof. Using basic model theory we may obtain a κ -saturated L -structure \mathcal{M} and an elementary embedding F of $(U(X), \in, X)$ into \mathcal{M} . Apply the Mostowski collapsing construction as in the proof of Theorem 3.4 to obtain a nonstandard extension $*$: $U(X) \rightarrow U(*X)$ with $*X = I^{\mathcal{M}}$. We will show that this nonstandard extension is κ -saturated. Recall that this construction uses the substructure \mathcal{M}_f of all strongly well-founded elements of \mathcal{M} and an embedding G of \mathcal{M}_f into $U(*X)$. The range of G is exactly the transitive substructure of $U(*X)$ consisting of the internal elements.

Suppose I is a set of cardinality less than κ and $\{A_i \mid i \in I\}$ is a collection of internal sets in $U(*X)$ that has the finite intersection property. For each $i \in I$, let $m_i \in \mathcal{M}_f$ be such that $G(m_i) = A_i$. We consider the $L(M)$ -formulas xEm_i for $i \in I$. The fact that $\{A_i \mid i \in I\}$ has the FIP ensures that $\{xEm_i \mid i \in I\}$ is finitely satisfiable in \mathcal{M} . Since \mathcal{M} is κ -saturated and $\text{card}(I) < \kappa$, there exists $m \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $mE^{\mathcal{M}}m_i$ holds for all $i \in I$. It follows that m is strongly well founded, and we see that $G(m)$ is an element of the intersection of the collection $\{A_i \mid i \in I\}$. Therefore we have shown that the nonstandard extension $*$: $U(X) \rightarrow U(*X)$ is κ -saturated.

Finally we show that any κ -saturated extension is proper. Let C be any countable, infinite subset of X and consider the internal sets $A_c = \{x \in *C \mid x \neq c\}$ for $c \in C$. Since C is infinite, this collection of sets has the FIP. Since C is countable and the nonstandard extension is κ -saturated (with $\kappa > \omega$), we conclude that $\bigcap\{A_c \mid c \in C\}$ is nonempty. That is, there exists

$u \in {}^*C$ that is distinct from c for all $c \in C$, and hence the nonstandard extension is proper. \square

4.15. Proposition (Comprehension principle).

(Assume κ -saturation.) Let A, B be internal sets in $U({}^*X)$ and let S be a subset of A with $\text{card}(S) < \kappa$. For every function $\alpha: S \rightarrow B$, there exists an internal function $f: A \rightarrow B$ whose restriction to S is α .

Proof. Assume A, B, S, α are as in the hypotheses. Let a_0 be a fixed element of A . For each $x \in S$ let

$$A_x = \{g \mid g: A \rightarrow B \text{ is internal and } g(x) = \alpha(x)\}.$$

Each A_x is an internal set. Moreover, the collection of sets $\{A_x \mid x \in S\}$ has the finite intersection property. Indeed, for each finite set $F \subseteq S$ the function $g: A \rightarrow B$ defined by

$$g(a) = \begin{cases} \alpha(a) & a \in F \\ \alpha(a_0) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

is internal and is an element of every A_x for $x \in F$. Using κ -saturation we conclude that $\bigcap_{x \in S} A_x$ contains some element g , which is necessarily an internal function $g: A \rightarrow B$ that extends α . \square

The next result formulates the κ -saturation condition in a way that will be useful in many situations.

4.16. Proposition. (Assume κ -saturation.) Let I, J be index sets, each of cardinality $< \kappa$. For each $i \in I$ and $j \in J$ let A_i and B_j be internal sets, and assume that all of the sets in $\{A_i \mid i \in I\} \cup \{B_j \mid j \in J\}$ have rank $\leq n$ in $U({}^*X)$. Then the following two conditions are equivalent:

- (1) $\bigcup\{A_i \mid i \in I\} \supseteq \bigcap\{B_j \mid j \in J\}$.
- (2) There exist finite sets $I_0 \subseteq I$ and $J_0 \subseteq J$ such that

$$\bigcup\{A_i \mid i \in I_0\} \supseteq \bigcap\{B_j \mid j \in J_0\}.$$

Proof. Let the families $(A_i \mid i \in I)$ and $(B_j \mid j \in J)$ be as in the hypotheses. Note that every A_i and every B_j is a subset of ${}^*U_n(X)$. The equivalence between (1) and (2) follows immediately from an application of κ -saturation to the family of internal sets consisting of ${}^*U_n(X) \setminus A_i$ for $i \in I$ and B_j for $j \in J$. Note that (1) holds iff the intersection of these sets is empty. \square

4.17. **Example.** (Assume ω_1 -saturation.) Let A be a hyperfinite set in $U(*X)$ and let \mathcal{A} be the boolean algebra of all internal subsets of A . For each $B \in \mathcal{A}$ define

$$\mu(B) = \text{st} \left(\frac{|B|}{|A|} \right).$$

Note that this standard part is well defined because $0 \leq |B| \leq |A|$. Using properties of the standard part and of internal cardinalities of hyperfinite sets, it is easy to show that μ is a finitely additive probability measure on \mathcal{A} .

Moreover, because of ω_1 -saturation, we have that μ satisfies the hypotheses of the Carathéodory Extension Theorem on \mathcal{A} . That is if $(A_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N})$ is a descending sequence in \mathcal{A} and $A \in \mathcal{A}$ is equal to $\bigcap (A_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N})$, then $\mu(A_n)$ converges to $\mu(A)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Indeed, this is true in a very strong way: using Proposition 4.16, there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $A_n = A$ for all $n \geq k$ in \mathbb{N} .

Therefore we conclude that μ extends to a σ -additive probability measure defined on the σ -algebra of subsets of A generated by \mathcal{A} . This is an example of a *Loeb measure*, which play an important role in many applications of nonstandard analysis. These measures will be extensively studied later in the course.

4.18. **Exercises.** (Assume κ -saturation, $\kappa \geq \omega_1$.)

- (1) Suppose S, T are subsets of ${}^*\mathbb{R}$ with $S < T$. (That is, for any $x \in S$ and $y \in T$, we have $x < y$.) Suppose further that S and T have cardinality $< \kappa$. Then there exists $a \in {}^*\mathbb{R}$ such that $S < a < T$. In particular, if each element of T is positive infinite, then there exists a positive infinite a with $a < T$. (Take $S = \mathbb{N}$).
- (2) If A is an infinite internal set, then A has cardinality $\geq \kappa$.
- (3) Suppose $(A_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N})$ is a sequence of distinct internal sets. If $A_0 \subset A_1 \subset \dots \subset A_n \subset \dots$, then $\bigcup \{A_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is external. Likewise, if $A_0 \supset A_1 \supset \dots \supset A_n \supset \dots$, then $\bigcap \{A_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is external.