

LIFTINGS

In this subsection we consider an internal measure space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ with $\mu(\Omega)$ finite. In order to work effectively with the Loeb measure μ_L , we need to understand the \mathcal{A}_L -measurable functions $f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. It turns out that they can be represented by well behaved internal functions $F: \Omega \rightarrow {}^*\mathbb{R}$, as is made precise in the next definition and theorem. This provides the basis for our development of integration theory for the Loeb measure space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}_L, \mu_L)$, which we do in the next section.

It is useful to consider extended real-valued functions $f: \Omega \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$, where $\bar{\mathbb{R}}$ denotes the set $\mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, +\infty\}$. As usual we regard $\bar{\mathbb{R}}$ as a linearly ordered set extending $(\mathbb{R}, <)$ in which $+\infty$ is the maximum and $-\infty$ is the minimum element. Furthermore, we formally extend the standard part map to all of ${}^*\mathbb{R}$ by taking $\text{st}(u) = +\infty$ when $u \in {}^*\mathbb{R}$ is positive infinite and $\text{st}(u) = -\infty$ when it is negative infinite.

8.22. Definition. Let $f: \Omega \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ and $F: \Omega \rightarrow {}^*\mathbb{R}$ be functions. We say F is a *lifting* of f with respect to the internal measure space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ if the following conditions hold:

- (1) F is internal and its range is a hyperfinite set;
- (2) for each u in the range of F , the set $F^{-1}(u)$ is in \mathcal{A} ;
- (3) $f(x) = \text{st}(F(x))$ for μ_L -almost every $x \in \Omega$.

We prove that the functions with liftings are exactly the \mathcal{A}_L -measurable functions. To do that we first need to prove the following technical lemma.

8.23. Lemma. *Suppose S, S_1, S_2 are sets in \mathcal{A}_L with $S_1 \subseteq S \subseteq S_2$. Suppose further that $A_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{A}$ are such that $A_1 \subseteq A_2$ and $S_i \Delta A_i$ is a μ_L -null set for $i = 1, 2$. Then there exists $A \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $A_1 \subseteq A \subseteq A_2$ and $S \Delta A$ is a μ_L -null set*

Proof. Since $S \in \mathcal{A}_L$, there exists $B \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $S \Delta B$ is a μ_L -null set. Let $A = (B \cap A_2) \cup A_1$. Clearly $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $A_1 \subseteq A \subseteq A_2$. Noting that $S = (S \cap S_2) \cup S_1$, a simple calculation using properties of the symmetric difference operation shows

$$S \Delta A \subseteq (S \Delta B) \cup (S_1 \Delta A_1) \cup (S_2 \Delta A_2)$$

and thus $S \Delta A$ is a μ_L -null set, as desired. \square

8.24. Theorem. *A function $f: \Omega \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ has a lifting with respect to the internal measure space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ if and only if f is \mathcal{A}_L -measurable.*

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Suppose $f: \Omega \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ has the function $F: \Omega \rightarrow {}^*\mathbb{R}$ as a lifting with respect to $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$. Let $N = \{x \in \Omega \mid f(x) \neq \text{st}(F(x))\}$, so N is a μ_L -null set. Fix $r \in \mathbb{R}$; we must show that the set $\{x \in \Omega \mid f(x) \leq r\}$ is in \mathcal{A}_L . For x outside N we know $f(x) = \text{st}(F(x))$ and therefore we have

$$f(x) \leq r \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad F(x) \leq r + \frac{1}{n} \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Furthermore, the assumption that F is a lifting and the fact that \mathcal{A} is closed under hyperfinite boolean operations implies that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\{x \in \Omega \mid F(x) \leq r + \frac{1}{n}\} \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Hence

$$\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \{x \in \Omega \mid F(x) \leq r + \frac{1}{n}\} \in \mathcal{A}_L$$

and the symmetric difference between this set and $\{x \in \Omega \mid f(x) \leq r\}$ is a subset of N , so it is a μ_L -null set. This implies that $\{x \in \Omega \mid f(x) \leq r\} \in \mathcal{A}_L$ for every $r \in \mathbb{R}$; it follows that f is \mathcal{A}_L -measurable.

(\Leftarrow) Let $f: \Omega \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ be \mathcal{A}_L -measurable. Let $\bar{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathbb{Q} \cup \{-\infty, +\infty\}$. For each $q \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ let $S_q = \{x \in \Omega \mid f(x) \leq q\}$. Note that these sets have the following properties: $S_q \in \mathcal{A}_L$ for all $q \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}}$; $S_q \subseteq S_r$ for all $q \leq r$ in $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$; and $\cup(S_q \mid q \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}}) = S_{+\infty} = \Omega$.

We may construct a family $(A_q \mid q \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}})$ from \mathcal{A} with the following properties: $S_q \Delta A_q$ is a μ_L -null set for all $q \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}}$; $A_q \subseteq A_r$ for all $q \leq r$ in $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$; and $\cup(A_q \mid q \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}}) = A_{+\infty} = \Omega$. To do this we first set $A_{+\infty} = \Omega$ and choose $A_{-\infty} \in \mathcal{A}$ so that $S_{-\infty} \Delta A_{-\infty}$ is a μ_L -null set, and then we proceed to define A_q for $q \in \mathbb{Q}$ by induction over an ω -list of the elements of \mathbb{Q} , using the preceding lemma at each step.

By ω_1 -saturation there is a hyperfinite set $P \subseteq {}^*\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ and an internal function $G: P \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ with the following properties: $\bar{\mathbb{Q}} \subseteq P$ and $G(q) = A_q$ for all $q \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}}$; for all $q, r \in P$ with $q \leq r$ we have $G(q) \subseteq G(r)$. When $q \in P$ we will write A_q for $G(q)$.

Let $P' = P \setminus \{-\infty, +\infty\} = P \cap {}^*\mathbb{Q}$, so P' is hyperfinite and $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq P' \subseteq {}^*\mathbb{Q}$. Choose $q_{-\infty}, q_{+\infty} \in {}^*\mathbb{Q}$ such that $q_{-\infty} < P' < q_{+\infty}$.

Now we are ready to define the function $F: \Omega \rightarrow {}^*\mathbb{R}$ that will be a lifting of the given f :

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} q_{+\infty} & \text{if } x \notin A_q \text{ for all } q \in P' \\ \min\{q \in P' \mid x \in A_q\} & \text{if } x \in A_q \text{ for some } q \in P' \\ & \text{but } x \notin A_{-\infty} \\ q_{-\infty} & \text{if } x \in A_{-\infty} \end{cases}$$

The Internal Definition Principle yields that F is internal, and the range of F is a subset of $P' \cup \{q_{-\infty}, q_{+\infty}\}$, so it is hyperfinite. Each set $F^{-1}(x)$ is a boolean combination of the sets $(A_q \mid q \in P)$, so it is an element of \mathcal{A} .

It remains only to show that $\text{st}(F(x)) = f(x)$ holds for μ_L -almost every $x \in \Omega$. Consider the set

$$N = \bigcup_{q \in \mathbb{Q}} S_q \Delta A_q.$$

Since this is a countable union of μ_L -null sets, we have that N is also a μ_L -null set. Note that for any $x \in \Omega \setminus N$ and any $q \in \mathbb{Q}$ (so q is standard!) we have

$$f(x) \leq q \iff x \in S_q \iff x \in A_q \iff F(x) \leq q.$$

From this we can deduce that $f(x) = \text{st}(F(x))$ for all $x \in \Omega \setminus N$. Indeed, for such x we have the following equivalences:

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) = +\infty &\iff f(x) \not\leq q \text{ holds, for all } q \in \mathbb{Q} \\ &\iff F(x) \not\leq q \text{ holds, for all } q \in \mathbb{Q} \\ &\iff \text{st}(F(x)) = +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) = -\infty &\iff f(x) \leq q \text{ holds, for all } q \in \mathbb{Q} \\ &\iff F(x) \leq q \text{ holds, for all } q \in \mathbb{Q} \\ &\iff \text{st}(F(x)) = -\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, for each $r \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) \leq r &\iff f(x) \leq q \text{ holds, for all } q \in \mathbb{Q} \cap (r, \infty) \\ &\iff F(x) \leq q \text{ holds, for all } q \in \mathbb{Q} \cap (r, \infty) \\ &\iff \text{st}(F(x)) \leq r. \end{aligned}$$

This obviously implies $f(x) = \text{st}(F(x))$ whenever $f(x) \in \mathbb{R}$. \square

8.25. Remark. The proof of (\Rightarrow) in the previous result can be used to show that if $F: \Omega \rightarrow {}^*\mathbb{R}$ is internal and \mathcal{A} -measurable in a certain sense, and if $f: \Omega \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ satisfies $f(x) = \text{st}(F(x))$ for μ_L -almost every $x \in \Omega$, then f is \mathcal{A}_L -measurable. (The measurability of F that we require is that for every standard $r \in \mathbb{R}$, the set $F^{-1}({}^*(-\infty, r])$ belongs to \mathcal{A}_L . In many situations this internal set will in fact belong to \mathcal{A} .)

The family of liftings has many useful closure properties. In the next result we give a few that will be useful in the next section. In the statement of this result, we give $\bar{\mathbb{R}}$ the order topology. This makes it a compact Hausdorff space homeomorphic to a closed bounded interval in \mathbb{R} . The standard part map of $\bar{\mathbb{R}}$ for this topology is obtained from our extended map $\text{st}: {}^*\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ by simply setting $\text{st}(+\infty) = +\infty$ and $\text{st}(-\infty) = -\infty$. (Note that ${}^*\bar{\mathbb{R}} = {}^*\mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, +\infty\}$.)

8.26. Proposition. *Suppose $f: \Omega \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ has $F: \Omega \rightarrow {}^*\mathbb{R}$ as a lifting. If $\alpha: \bar{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ is continuous and $\alpha(\mathbb{R}) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, then ${}^*\alpha \circ F$ is a lifting of $\alpha \circ f$. In particular, for any $r \in \mathbb{R}$*

- (1) $\min(r, F)$ is a lifting of $\min(r, f)$;
- (2) $\max(r, F)$ is a lifting of $\max(r, f)$;
- (3) rF is a lifting of rf ;
- (4) $|F|$ is a lifting of $|f|$.

Proof. Suppose f, F, α have the stated properties. It is obvious that ${}^*\alpha \circ F$ is internal and has hyperfinite range contained in ${}^*\mathbb{R}$. Given any u in the range of ${}^*\alpha \circ F$, we note that

$$({}^*\alpha \circ F)^{-1}(u) = F^{-1}({}^*\alpha^{-1}(u) \cap \text{range of } F)$$

and since \mathcal{A} is closed under hyperfinite unions, $({}^*\alpha \circ F)^{-1}(u) \in \mathcal{A}$. Finally, the fact that α is continuous ensures that

$$\text{st}({}^*\alpha \circ F(x)) = \alpha(\text{st}(F(x)))$$

for any $x \in \Omega$. Thus $\text{st}({}^*\alpha \circ F(x)) = \alpha(f(x))$ for any $x \in \Omega$ for which $\text{st}(F(x)) = f(x)$. It follows that ${}^*\alpha \circ F$ is a lifting of $\alpha \circ f$.

The rest of the Proposition follows from the fact that the functions given respectively by $\alpha(y) = \min(r, y)$, $\max(r, y)$, ry , or $|y|$ satisfy the hypotheses. (Here we define $|- \infty| = |+\infty| = +\infty$.) \square

8.27. **Remark.** Suppose $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfy $a \leq b$. The previous result can be used to show that if $F: \Omega \rightarrow {}^*[a, b]$ is a lifting of $f: \Omega \rightarrow [a, b]$ and if $\alpha: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, then ${}^* \circ F$ is a lifting of $\alpha \circ f$. (Just extend α to a continuous function from $\bar{\mathbb{R}}$ to $\bar{\mathbb{R}}$ by taking it to be constant on $[-\infty, a]$ and on $[b, \infty]$ and apply the previous result.)