

Next we want to compare the μ_L -integral defined above to the usual integral defined for the measure space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}_L, \mu_L)$. For any \mathcal{A}_L -measurable function $f: \Omega \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$, let $\Phi(f)$ denote the integral of f as defined in the usual developments of measure theory. Let $L_1(\Omega, \mathcal{A}_L, \mu_L)$ denote the usual space of integrable functions, which consists of the \mathcal{A}_L -measurable functions f for which the integral $\Phi(|f|)$ is finite. (See below for a discussion of how $\Phi(f)$ is defined.) We show that these two integration theories are identical. That is, for an \mathcal{A}_L -measurable function $f: \Omega \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$, we show that f is in $L_1(\Omega, \mathcal{A}_L, \mu_L)$ if and only if f has an S -integrable lifting and, moreover, if F is an S -integrable lifting of f , then $\Phi(f) = \text{st} \left(\int F d\mu \right)$.

One key idea for proving this equivalence is contained in the following simple approximation result, which gives another characterization of S -integrable functions:

9.10. Proposition. *Let $F: \Omega \rightarrow {}^*\mathbb{R}$ be an \mathcal{A} -simple function.*

Then F is S -integrable if and only if for each standard $\epsilon > 0$ there exists an \mathcal{A} -simple function G such that $|G(x)| \leq |F(x)|$ for all $x \in \Omega$, the range of G is a finite set of standard real numbers, and $\int |F - G| d\mu < \epsilon$.

Proof. (\Leftarrow) An \mathcal{A} -simple function F with this property obviously satisfies (2) in Theorem 9.4. In particular, note that for any G with the stated properties, the integral $\int |G| d\mu$ is finite, which forces $\int |F| d\mu$ to also be finite.

(\Rightarrow) By treating the positive and negative parts of F separately, we reduce to the case where F also satisfies $F(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in \Omega$.

Fix a standard $\epsilon > 0$. Since F is S -integrable, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the integrals of F and of $\min(F, n)$ differ by at most $\epsilon/2$. Choose $k \in \mathbb{N}$ larger than $2\mu(\Omega)/\epsilon$. Let $g: [0, n] \rightarrow \{0, 1/k, 2/k, \dots, (nk)/k\}$ be the function that takes x to the largest fraction of the form m/k that is $\leq x$. Finally let G be the composition ${}^*g \circ \min(F, n)$. It is easy to check that G is an \mathcal{A} -simple function whose values lie in the set $\{0, 1/k, 2/k, \dots, (nk)/k\}$ and that $0 \leq G(x) \leq \min(F(x), n) \leq F(x)$ and $|G(x) - \min(F(x), n)| \leq 1/k$ hold for all $x \in \Omega$. The last inequality together with the choice of k imply that $\int |G - \min(F, n)| d\mu < \epsilon/2$ and therefore $\int |F - G| d\mu < \epsilon$. Therefore G has all of the desired properties. \square

9.11. **Corollary.** *If $f: \Omega \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ has an S -integrable lifting, then $f \in L_1(\Omega, \mathcal{A}_L, \mu_L)$. Moreover, if F is an S -integrable lifting of f , then*

$$\Phi(f) = \text{st} \left(\int F d\mu \right).$$

Proof. In proving this result we use the following definition of $\Phi(f)$ (see Chapter 2 of the book *Real Analysis* by G. Folland, for example): first, for an \mathcal{A}_L -simple function g , $\Phi(g)$ is defined in the obvious way:

$$\Phi \left(\sum_{j=1}^n r_j \cdot \chi_{X_j} \right) = \sum_{j=1}^n r_j \cdot \mu_L(X_j)$$

for any pairwise disjoint sets X_1, \dots, X_n from \mathcal{A}_L and real numbers r_1, \dots, r_n . Second, for an arbitrary \mathcal{A}_L -measurable $f: \Omega \rightarrow [0, \infty]$, the integral $\Phi(f)$ is defined to be the supremum of the values $\Phi(g)$ where g is any \mathcal{A}_L -simple function such that $0 \leq g(x) \leq f(x)$ for all $x \in \Omega$. Such a function f is taken to be an element of $L_1(\Omega, \mathcal{A}_L, \mu_L)$ iff $\Phi(f)$ is finite. For an arbitrary \mathcal{A}_L -measurable function f we put f in $L_1(\Omega, \mathcal{A}_L, \mu_L)$ iff the nonnegative functions $f^+ = \max(f, 0)$ and $f^- = -\min(f, 0)$ are in $L_1(\Omega, \mathcal{A}_L, \mu_L)$, and in that case we set $\Phi(f) = \Phi(f^+) - \Phi(f^-)$.

Now take f to be any function with an S -integrable lifting F . By separating f into its positive and negative parts, we reduce to the case where $f(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in \Omega$. We may likewise assume that F satisfies $F(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in \Omega$.

Fix a standard $\epsilon > 0$. Applying the previous proposition to F we obtain $G: \Omega \rightarrow {}^*\mathbb{R}$ that is \mathcal{A} -simple, has range a finite set of standard real numbers, satisfies $0 \leq G(x) \leq F(x)$ for all $x \in \Omega$, and has $\int |F - G| d\mu < \epsilon$. Let $N = \{x \in \Omega \mid F(x) \not\approx f(x)\}$, so N is a μ_L -null set. Finally, let $G': \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be equal to 0 on N and equal to G on $\Omega \setminus N$. Then G' is an \mathcal{A}_L -simple function and satisfies $0 \leq G'(x) \leq f(x)$ for all $x \in \Omega$; moreover, $\Phi(G') = \Phi(G) \approx \int G d\mu$. Also we have $0 \leq \int G d\mu \leq \int F d\mu < \int G d\mu + \epsilon$, from which it follows that

$$\text{st} \left(\int F d\mu \right) \leq \Phi(G') + \epsilon \leq \Phi(f) + \epsilon.$$

Since ϵ was arbitrary we conclude $\text{st} \left(\int F d\mu \right) \leq \Phi(f)$.

To complete the proof we assume $\text{st} \left(\int F d\mu \right) < \Phi(f)$ and derive a contradiction. Take a standard $\epsilon > 0$ such that $\text{st} \left(\int F d\mu \right) + 3\epsilon < \Phi(f)$. There

exists an \mathcal{A}_L -simple function

$$g = \sum_{j=1}^n r_j \cdot \chi_{X_j}$$

with X_1, \dots, X_n pairwise disjoint sets in \mathcal{A}_L and $r_1, \dots, r_n > 0$ in \mathbb{R} , such that $0 \leq g(x) \leq f(x)$ for all $x \in \Omega$ and

$$\text{st} \left(\int F d\mu \right) + 2\epsilon < \Phi(g) \leq \Phi(f).$$

Choose a standard $\delta > 0$ satisfying $2\delta \cdot \max(r_1, \dots, r_n) < \epsilon$. For each $j = 1, \dots, n$ choose $A_j \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $A_j \subseteq X_j$ and $\mu_L(X_j) - {}^\circ\mu(A_j) < \delta$. Further, choose $B \in \mathcal{A}$ such that ${}^\circ\mu(B) < \delta$ and $f(x) \approx F(x)$ for all $x \in \Omega \setminus B$; this is possible because F is a lifting of f . Finally set $B_j = A_j \setminus B$ for all $j = 1, \dots, n$. Then B_1, \dots, B_n are pairwise disjoint, and $B_j \subseteq X_j$ and $\mu_L(X_j) - {}^\circ\mu(B_j) < 2\delta$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$. We set

$$g' = \sum_{j=1}^n r_j \cdot \chi_{B_j}.$$

For $x \in B_1 \cup \dots \cup B_n$ we have

$$g'(x) = g(x) \leq f(x) = \text{st}(F(x))$$

and for $x \in \Omega$ outside $B_1 \cup \dots \cup B_n$ we have $g'(x) = 0 \leq g(x)$. In particular, there exists an infinitesimal $\eta \geq 0$ such that $g'(x) \leq F(x) + \eta$ for all $x \in \Omega$ and therefore

$$\Phi(g') = \text{st} \left(\int g' d\mu \right) \leq \text{st} \left(\int F d\mu \right).$$

Note that

$$\Phi(g) - \Phi(g') = \sum_{j=1}^n r_j \cdot \mu_L(X_j \setminus B_j) \leq \max(r_1, \dots, r_n) \cdot 2\delta < \epsilon$$

and therefore

$$\text{st} \left(\int F d\mu \right) + \epsilon < \Phi(g').$$

This contradiction completes the proof. \square

Finally, with a little more argument we are ready to prove the main result in this subsection:

9.12. Theorem. *Let $f: \Omega \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ be \mathcal{A}_L -measurable. Then f is in $L_1(\Omega, \mathcal{A}_L, \mu_L)$ if and only if f has an S -integrable lifting. Moreover, if F is an S -integrable lifting of f , then $\Phi(f) = \text{st} \left(\int F d\mu \right)$.*

Proof. Given the previous corollary, it remains only to show that every f in $L_1(\Omega, \mathcal{A}_L, \mu_L)$ has an S -integrable lifting. As in the previous arguments, we may assume $f(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in \Omega$. Because f is \mathcal{A}_L -measurable, it has a lifting F , which we may assume also satisfies $F(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in \Omega$. From the example above we know that F need not be S -integrable, so we must modify F to achieve S -integrability.

It is a trivial consequence of the definition of $\Phi(f)$ that it is the limit of the nondecreasing sequence $(\Phi(\min(f, n)) \mid n \in \mathbb{N})$. Moreover, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we know that $\min(F, n)$ is a lifting of $\min(f, n)$. It follows that $\min(F, N)$ is a lifting of f for all infinite $N \in {}^*\mathbb{N}$. We show that for small enough infinite N the function $\min(F, N)$ is S -integrable.

Let $r = \Phi(f) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(\min(f, n))$. For each $k \geq 1$ choose $n_k \in \mathbb{N}$ so that

$$|r - \Phi(\min(f, n_k))| < \frac{1}{2k}$$

and choose the sequence so that $n_1 < n_2 < \dots$. Using the fact that $\min(F, n_k)$ is a lifting of $\min(f, n_k)$, the fact that standardly bounded \mathcal{A} -simple functions like $\min(F, n_k)$ are S -integrable, and the previous corollary we have

$$|r - \int \min(F, n_k) d\mu| < \frac{1}{k}$$

for all $k \geq 1$. By overspill there exists an infinite $K \in {}^*\mathbb{N}$ such that

$$|r - \int \min(F, {}^*n_K) d\mu| < \frac{1}{K} \approx 0.$$

Letting $G = \min(F, {}^*n_K)$ we see that G is a lifting of f and $\min(G, n) = \min(F, n)$ is a lifting of $\min(f, n)$ for all standard $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore

$$\text{st} \left(\int G d\mu \right) = r = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(\min(f, n)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{st} \left(\int \min(G, n) d\mu \right).$$

Using condition (1) in Theorem 9.4 this shows that G is S -integrable, which completes the proof. \square