

Math 531, Fall 2005
Analytic Number Theory
Problem Set 5
Solutions

Problem 1

Let $F(s) = \sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty} [m, n]^{-s}$. Determine the abscissa of convergence σ_c of $F(s)$ and express $F(s)$ in terms of the Riemann zeta function. (Hint: Express $F(s)$ as $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(n)n^{-s}$, where $f(n) = \#\{(a, b) \in \mathbb{N}^2 : [a, b] = n\}$, and use the fact (established in an earlier homework problem) that f is multiplicative.)

Solution

We have $F(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(n)n^{-s}$, where $f(n) = \#\{(a, b) \in \mathbb{N}^2 : [a, b] = n\}$. Since $f(n) \geq 1$, $F(s)$ diverges at $s = 1$, and so $\sigma_c \geq 1$. On the other hand, $F(s)$ converges absolutely for $\sigma > 1$, since, for any prime power p^m , $f(p^m) = 2m + 1 \leq C_\epsilon 2^{m\epsilon} \leq C_\epsilon p^{m\epsilon}$ with a suitable constant C_ϵ , and so $\sum_{p^m} |f(p^m)| p^{-m\sigma} < \infty$ for $\sigma > 1$. Hence $\sigma_a \leq 1$, and therefore $\sigma_c = \sigma_a = 1$.

To evaluate $F(s)$, write $F(s)$ as an Euler product $F(s) = \prod_p F_p(s)$ with

$$\begin{aligned} F_p(s) &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{f(p^m)}{p^{ms}} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{2m+1}{p^{ms}} \\ &= 2 \cdot \frac{p^{-s}}{(1-p^{-s})^2} + \frac{1}{1-p^{-s}} \\ &= \frac{1+p^{-s}}{(1-p^{-s})^2} = \frac{1-p^{-2s}}{(1-p^{-s})^3}. \end{aligned}$$

The right-hand side here can be recognized as the p -th factor of the Euler product for $\zeta(2s)^{-1}$, multiplied by the p -th factor of the Euler product for $\zeta(s)^3$. Hence

$$F(s) = \prod_p \frac{1-p^{-2s}}{(1-p^{-s})^3} = \zeta(s)^3 \zeta(2s)^{-1}.$$

Problem 2

Express the Dirichlet series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d(n)^2 n^{-s}$ in terms of the Riemann zeta function. Then use this relation to derive a convolution identity relating the functions $d^2(n)$ and $d_4(n)$ (where $d_k(n) \# \{(a_1, \dots, a_k) \in \mathbb{N}^k : a_1 \dots a_k = n\}$ is the generalized divisor function).

Solution

Let $f(n) = d(n)^2$. Since $d(n) \ll_{\epsilon} n^{\epsilon}$ for every $\epsilon > 0$, the given Dirichlet series is absolutely convergent in the half-plane $\sigma > 1$, and there has the Euler product representation

$$F(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{f(n)}{n^s} = \prod_p F_p(s)$$

with

$$F_p(s) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{d(p^m)^2}{p^{ms}} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(m+1)^2}{p^{ms}}.$$

Using the identity

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (m+1)^2 x^m = (1+x)(1-x)^{-3} = (1-x^2)(1-x)^{-4} \quad (|x| < 1),$$

(which is easily established, starting from the identity $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n = (1-x)^{-1}$, differentiating, then multiplying with x , and differentiating a second time), we see that $F_p(s) = (1-p^{-2s})(1-p^{-s})^{-4}$. Hence

$$(1) \quad F(s) = \prod_p (1-p^{-2s}) \prod_p (1-p^{-s})^{-4} = \zeta(2s)^{-1} \zeta(s)^4,$$

or, equivalently,

$$(2) \quad \zeta(s)^4 = \zeta(2s) F(s).$$

Since $\zeta(2s)$ is the Dirichlet series associated with the characteristic function s of the squares, and $\zeta(s)^4$ the Dirichlet series of $1 * 1 * 1 * 1 = d_4$, the identity (2) is equivalent to the convolution identity $d_4 = s * f = s * d_2^2$, i.e., we have

$$d_4(n) = \sum_{m^2|n} d(n/m^2)^2 \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Alternatively, from the identity (1) we obtain the identity $d^2 = g * d_4$ with g defined by $g(m^2) = \mu(m)$ and $g(n) = 0$ if n is not a square, i.e.,

$$d(n)^2 = \sum_{m^2|n} \mu(m) d_4(n/m^2) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Problem 3

Evaluate the series $\sum_{(m_1, \dots, m_r)=1} m_1^{-s} \cdots m_r^{-s}$, where the summation is over all tuples (m_1, \dots, m_r) of positive integers that are relatively prime, in terms of the Riemann zeta function $\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-s}$.

Solution

Let $F(s)$ denote the series in question. We will show that $F(s) = \zeta(s)^r / \zeta(sr)$, for $\sigma > 1$. A two line proof, which only requires a basic property of the gcd (namely the fact that $(m_1, \dots, m_r) = d$ if and only if $d|n_i$ for all i and the numbers $n_i = m_i/d$, $i = 1, \dots, r$, are relatively prime), but no knowledge from the theory of arithmetic functions, runs as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(s)^r &= \sum_{m_1, \dots, m_r=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m_1^s \cdots m_r^s} = \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \sum_{(m_1, \dots, m_r)=d}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m_1^s \cdots m_r^s} \\ &= \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{d^{sr}} \sum_{(n_1, \dots, n_r)=1} \frac{1}{n_1^s \cdots n_r^s} = \zeta(sr)F(s), \end{aligned}$$

where the inner sums are sums over all r -tuples (m_1, \dots, m_r) (resp. (n_1, \dots, n_r)) of positive integers satisfying the given conditions.

[A slightly longer proof can be given by using the fact that $\sum_{d|(m_1, \dots, m_r)} \mu(d) = e((m_1, \dots, m_r)) = \sum_{d|m_1, \dots, d|m_r} \mu(d)$ to eliminate the coprimality condition in the summation.]

Problem 4

Without using the PNT (you may use Chebyshev's estimates or Mertens' estimates), obtain an asymptotic estimate for the partial sums

$$S(x) = \sum_{p \leq x} \frac{1}{p \log p}$$

(with as good an error term as you can get using only results at the level of Chebyshev or Mertens).

Solution

A natural approach to this problem would be to use partial summation to remove the "weight" $1/(p \log p)$ in the sum $S(x)$, but this would lead to an expression for $S(x)$ in terms of the prime counting function $\pi(x)$ and require the PNT in order to obtain good estimates for $S(x)$. We can avoid the PNT by only removing the factor $1/\log p$ in the sum $S(x)$, thus relating this sum to the sums

$$T(x) = \sum_{p \leq x} \frac{1}{p}.$$

The result is

$$(1) \quad S(x) = \frac{T(x)}{\log x} + \int_2^x \frac{T(y)}{y \log^2 y} dy \quad (x \geq 2).$$

Substituting Mertens' estimate

$$T(x) = \log \log x + C + O\left(\frac{1}{\log x}\right) \quad (x \geq 2),$$

where C is a constant, we obtain

$$(2) \quad S(x) = \frac{\log \log x}{\log x} + \frac{C}{\log x} + O\left(\frac{1}{\log^2 x}\right) + I(x),$$

where $I(x)$ denotes the integral on the right of (1). Since $T(x) \ll \log \log x$ for large x and

$$\int_2^\infty \frac{|\log \log y|}{y \log^2 y} dy = \int_{\log 2}^\infty \frac{|\log u|}{u^2} du < \infty,$$

the integral $I(x)$ converges absolutely, when extended to infinity, and we have

$$(3) \quad I(x) = \int_2^\infty \frac{T(y)}{y \log^2 y} dy - \int_x^\infty \frac{T(y)}{y \log^2 y} dy = I - R(x),$$

say, where I is a constant (equal to the value of the infinite integral). Using again Mertens' estimate, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4) \quad R(x) &= \int_x^\infty \frac{\log \log y + C + O(1/\log y)}{y(\log y)^2} dy \\
 &= \int_{\log x}^\infty \frac{\log u + C + O(1/u)}{u^2} du \\
 &= \int_{\log x}^\infty \frac{\log u}{u^2} du + C \int_{\log x}^\infty \frac{1}{u^2} du + O\left(\int_{\log x}^\infty \frac{1}{u^3} du\right) \\
 &= J(\log x) + \frac{C}{\log x} + O\left(\frac{1}{\log^2 x}\right),
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(5) \quad J(t) = \int_t^\infty \frac{\log u}{u^2} du = \frac{\log t}{t} + \int_t^\infty \frac{1}{u^2} dt = \frac{\log t}{t} + \frac{1}{t}.$$

Combining (2)–(5) we obtain

$$S(x) = I - \frac{1}{\log x} + O\left(\frac{1}{\log^2 x}\right),$$

where I is defined by (3). This is the desired estimate for $S(x)$, and it is the best estimate one can get without using the PNT. In particular, this estimate shows that the infinite series $\sum_p 1/(p \log p)$ converges, in contrast to the series $\sum_{n=1}^\infty 1/(n \log n)$, which diverges.

Problem 5

Let $Q(x) = \prod_{p \leq x} (1 + 1/p)$. Obtain an estimate for $Q(x)$ with relative error $O(1/\log x)$. Express the constant arising in this estimate in terms of well-known mathematical constants. (Hint: Relate $Q(x)$ to the product $P(x) = \prod_{p \leq x} (1 - 1/p)$ estimated by Mertens' formula.)

Solution

With $P(x)$ and $Q(x)$ as given in the problem, we have

$$(1) \quad P(x)Q(x) = \prod_{p \leq x} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^2}\right) = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^2}\right) R(x),$$

where $R(x) = \prod_{p > x} (1 - 1/p^2)^{-1}$. The infinite product here is equal to

$$(2) \quad \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^2}\right) = \zeta(2)^{-1} = \frac{6}{\pi^2},$$

and the “tail product” $R(x)$ can be estimated by

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} R(x) &= \exp \left\{ - \sum_{p > x} \log \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^2}\right) \right\} = \exp \left\{ O \left(\sum_{p > x} \frac{1}{p^2} \right) \right\} \\ &= \exp \left\{ O \left(\frac{1}{x} \right) \right\} = 1 + O \left(\frac{1}{x} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by Mertens' formula we have

$$(4) \quad P(x) = \frac{e^{-\gamma}}{\log x} \left(1 + O \left(\frac{1}{\log x} \right)\right),$$

Combining (1)–(4) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} Q(x) &= P(x)^{-1} \frac{6}{\pi^2} \left(1 + O \left(\frac{1}{x} \right)\right) \\ &= \frac{6e^{\gamma}}{\pi^2} (\log x) \left(1 + O \left(\frac{1}{\log x} \right)\right), \end{aligned}$$

which is an estimate of the required form.

Problem 6

Let $\lambda > 1$ and $t \neq 0$ be fixed real numbers, and $S_{t,\lambda}(x) = \sum_{x < n \leq \lambda x} n^{-1-it}$. Obtain an estimate for $S_{t,\lambda}(x)$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$ with error term $O_{t,\lambda}(1/x)$. Deduce from this estimate that for any non-zero t and any $\lambda > 1$, the limit $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} |S_{t,\lambda}(x)|$ exists, and that, for given $t \neq 0$ and *suitable* choices of λ , this limit is non-zero. (Thus, by Cauchy's criterion, the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1-it}$ diverges for every real $t \neq 0$.)

Solution

Using Euler's summation formula in its crudest form, namely

$$\sum_{y < n \leq x} f(n) = \int_y^x f(u) du + O(|f(y)|) + O(|f([x])|) + O\left(\int_y^x |f'(u)| du\right),$$

we get, in the case $t \neq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} S_{t,\lambda}(x) &= \int_x^{\lambda x} u^{-1-it} du + O\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) + O\left(\int_x^{\lambda x} |1 + it| u^{-2} du\right) \\ &= \frac{(\lambda x)^{-it} - x^{-it}}{-it} + O_t(x^{-1}) = C_{t,\lambda} x^{-it} + O_t(x^{-1}), \end{aligned}$$

where $C_{t,\lambda} = (\lambda^{-it} - 1)/(-it)$. Hence

$$|S_{t,\lambda}(x)| = |C_{t,\lambda} x^{-it} + O_t(x^{-1})| = |C_{t,\lambda}| + O(x^{-1}),$$

so the limit $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} |S_{t,\lambda}(x)|$ exists and is equal to $|C_{t,\lambda}|$. Moreover, if λ is such that $\lambda^{-it} \neq 1$ (i.e., if $t \log \lambda$ is not a multiple of 2π), then $C_{t,\lambda} \neq 0$, and so $S_{t,\lambda}(x)$ does not tend to 0 as $x \rightarrow \infty$.

Problem 7*

Use the PNT (or a result equivalent to the PNT) to obtain the estimate

$$(0) \quad \sum_{n \leq x} \mu^2(n) = \frac{6}{\pi^2}x + o(\sqrt{x}) \quad (x \rightarrow \infty).$$

(Hint: This was proved in class with the weaker error term $O(\sqrt{x})$, without making use of the PNT. To get the desired estimate, look at the term that generated the $O(\sqrt{x})$ error, and try to estimate it more carefully, using the PNT.)

Solution

Defining g by $\mu^2 = g * 1$, we have (from class, or by a straightforward computation)

$$(1) \quad g(n) = \begin{cases} \mu(m) & \text{if } n = m^2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let $S(x)$ denote the sum to be estimated, and let $M(x) = \sum_{n \leq x} \mu(n)$. For any $x \geq 1$ and $y \in [1, x]$ we have, by the Dirichlet hyperbola method,

$$S(x) = \sum_{n \leq x} \sum_{d|n} g(d) = \sum_{\substack{d, m \leq x \\ dm \leq x}} g(d) = S_1(x, y) + S_2(x, y) - S_3(x, y),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} S_1(x, y) &= \sum_{d \leq y} g(d) \left[\frac{x}{d} \right] = \sum_{m \leq \sqrt{y}} \mu(m) \left[\frac{x}{m^2} \right] \\ S_2(x, y) &= \sum_{n \leq x/y} \sum_{d \leq x/n} g(d) = \sum_{n \leq x/y} M(\sqrt{x/n}), \\ S_3(x, y) &= \sum_{d \leq y} \sum_{n \leq x/y} g(d) = M(\sqrt{y}) \left[\frac{x}{y} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

To estimate the sums $S_i(x, y)$ we introduce the function

$$\epsilon(x) = \sup_{y \geq x} \frac{|M(y)|}{y},$$

and exploit the fact that $\epsilon(x)$ tends to zero as $x \rightarrow \infty$ (which is just a restatement of the relation $M(x) = o(x)$). This gives immediately the bounds

$$(2) \quad |S_3(x, y)| \leq \epsilon(\sqrt{y})\sqrt{y} \cdot \frac{x}{y} = \frac{\epsilon(\sqrt{y})}{\sqrt{y}}x$$

and

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} |S_2(x, y)| &\leq \epsilon(\sqrt{y}) \sum_{n \leq x/y} \sqrt{\frac{x}{n}} \\ &\ll \epsilon(\sqrt{y}) \sqrt{x} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{x}{y}} = \frac{\epsilon(\sqrt{y})}{y} x, \end{aligned}$$

since $\sum_{n \leq x} n^{-1/2} \ll x^{1/2}$ for $x \geq 1$. Furthermore, we have

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} S_1(x, y) &= x \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(m)}{m^2} - x \sum_{m > \sqrt{y}} \frac{\mu(m)}{m^2} + O\left(\sum_{m \leq \sqrt{y}} 1\right) \\ &= x\zeta(2)^{-2} - xR(\sqrt{y}) + O(\sqrt{y}) = \frac{\pi^2}{6}x - xR(\sqrt{y}) + O(\sqrt{y}), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$R(x) = \sum_{n > x} \frac{\mu(n)}{n^2}.$$

Partial summation gives

$$\begin{aligned} |R(x)| &\leq \frac{|M(x)|}{x^2} + 2 \int_x^{\infty} \frac{|M(t)|}{t^3} \\ &\leq \epsilon(x) \left(\frac{1}{x} + 2 \int_x^{\infty} \frac{dt}{t^2} \right) = \frac{3\epsilon(x)}{x} \end{aligned}$$

Substituting this bound into (4) we obtain

$$(5) \quad S_1(x, y) = \frac{6}{\pi^2}x + O\left(\frac{\epsilon(\sqrt{y})}{\sqrt{y}}x\right) + O(\sqrt{y}).$$

Combining (2)–(5) gives

$$S(x) = \frac{6}{\pi^2}x + O\left(\frac{\epsilon(\sqrt{y})}{\sqrt{y}}x\right) + O(\sqrt{y}).$$

We apply this estimate with $y = \delta x$, where $\delta \in (0, 1)$ is fixed and $x \geq 1/\delta$, to get

$$(6) \quad S(x) = \frac{6}{\pi^2}x + O\left(\frac{\epsilon(\sqrt{\delta x})}{\delta}\sqrt{x}\right) + O(\sqrt{\delta x}).$$

Since $\epsilon(\sqrt{\delta x}) = o(1)$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$, this implies that

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|S(x) - (6/\pi^2)x|}{\sqrt{x}} \leq c\sqrt{\delta},$$

for a suitable absolute constant c . Letting now $\delta \rightarrow 0$, we obtain the desired relation.

Remark. Using the Dirichlet hyperbola method (or an argument equivalent to it) was essential to getting $o(\sqrt{x})$ as error term. In particular, a direct estimation of $\sum_{m \leq \sqrt{x}} \mu(m) [x/m^2]$ (this corresponds to the sum $S_1(x, y)$ above with $y = x$) would give a term $x \sum_{m \leq \sqrt{x}} \mu(m) m^{-2}$, which is easy to handle, but also introduce a term $\sum_{m \leq \sqrt{x}} \mu(m) \{x/m^2\}$, for which a trivial estimate (namely by $\sum_{m \leq \sqrt{x}} \{x/m^2\}$, would only give a bound $O(\sqrt{x})$, which is not good enough. To successfully estimate the latter term, one would have to essentially work backwards, writing $\{x/m^2\}$ as $x/m^2 - [x/m^2]$, and then apply the above argument, breaking the sum up according to the hyperbola method.

Problem 8*

(Challenge/bonus problem—deadline 11/28/05) Let $f = 1 * g$. Wintner's theorem shows that if the series

$$(1) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{g(n)}{n}$$

converges **absolutely**, then the mean value $M(f)$ of f exists and is equal to the sum of the series (1).

- (i) Show that the conclusion of Wintner's theorem remains valid if the series (1) converges only conditionally and if, in addition,

$$(2) \quad \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{x} \sum_{n \leq x} |g(n)| < \infty.$$

- (ii) Show that condition (2) cannot be dropped; i.e., construct an example of a function g for which the series (1) converges, but the function $f = 1 * g$ does not have a mean value.

Solution

[Deferred till after the deadline.]