

Extra Credit Problems Set 1; Due Wednesday, April 22

SOLUTIONS

Problem 1.

Prove that the additive groups $(\mathbb{Q}, +)$ and $(\mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q}, +)$ are not isomorphic.

Hint: Show that every finitely generated subgroup of \mathbb{Q} is cyclic but that $\mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q}$ has a non-cyclic finitely generated subgroup.

Solution.

We will first prove that every finitely generated subgroup of \mathbb{Q} is cyclic. Indeed, let $X \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$ be a finite subset and $H = \langle X \rangle$. Then $X = \{\frac{p_1}{q_1}, \dots, \frac{p_k}{q_k}\}$ where $p_i, q_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $q_i > 0$.

Then

$$H = \langle X \rangle = \{n_1 \frac{p_1}{q_1} + \dots + n_k \frac{p_k}{q_k} \mid n_i \in \mathbb{Z}\} = \left\{ \frac{n_1 p_1 q_2 \dots q_k + \dots + n_k p_k q_1 \dots q_{k-1}}{q_1 \dots q_k} \mid n_i \in \mathbb{Z} \right\} \subseteq \left\{ \frac{n}{q_1 \dots q_k} \mid n \in \mathbb{Z} \right\} = \left\langle \frac{1}{q_1 \dots q_k} \right\rangle$$

Thus H is a subgroup of a cyclic group $\langle \frac{1}{q_1 \dots q_k} \rangle$ and hence H is cyclic itself. This proves the claim that every finitely generated subgroup of \mathbb{Q} is cyclic.

On the other hand, $(\mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q}, +)$ has some finitely generated subgroups that are not cyclic. For example, $\langle (1, 0), (0, 1) \rangle = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ is finitely generated but not cyclic.

This implies that the groups \mathbb{Q} and $\mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q}$ are not isomorphic.

Problem 2.

(a) Let $f : R \rightarrow R'$ be a ring isomorphism.

Prove that $a \in R$ is a unit (that is, if a has a multiplicative inverse in R) if and only if $f(a) \in R'$ is a unit.

(b) Find all units in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$.

(c) Prove that the rings $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ and $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$ are not isomorphic.

Hint. Use the results of parts (a) and (b).

Here $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$ is the *ring of formal power series* with coefficients in \mathbb{Z} , that is

$$\mathbb{Z}[[x]] = \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i x^i \mid a_i \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$$

with the termwise addition and with multiplication defined in a similar way to that of multiplication of ordinary polynomials:

If $f = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i x^i$ and $g = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} b_i x^i$ then $fg := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k x^k$ where for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ we have

$$c_k = \sum_{i=0}^k a_i b_{k-i} = a_0 b_k + a_1 b_{k-1} + \dots + a_{k-1} b_1 + a_k b_0.$$

Solution.

(a) This is an easy exercise in definitions and we omit the details.

(b) Note that if $f, g \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ are nonzero polynomials then $\deg(fg) = \deg(f) + \deg(g)$. Indeed, let $f = a_0 + a_1 x + \dots + a_n x^n$ and $g = b_0 + b_1 x + \dots + b_m x^m$ where $a_i, b_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and where $a_n \neq 0, b_m \neq 0$. Then

$$fg = a_0 b_0 + (a_0 b_1 + a_1 b_0)x + \dots + a_n b_m x^{m+n}$$

Since $a_n \neq 0, b_m \neq 0$ and since \mathbb{Z} has no zero divisors, it follows that $a_n b_m \neq 0$, so that $\deg(fg) = m + n = \deg(f) + \deg(g)$.

Suppose now that $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ is a unit and that $g(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ is such that $fg = 1$ in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$. Then $\deg(f) + \deg(g) = \deg(1) = 0$ which implies that $\deg(f) = \deg(g) = 0$. Thus $f = a$ and $g = b$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Then $fg = 1$ gives us $ab = 1$ in \mathbb{Z} and hence either $a = b = 1$ or $a = b = -1$.

Therefore the set of units in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ is $\{-1, 1\}$.

(c) Suppose $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ and $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$ are isomorphic rings and let $\phi : \mathbb{Z}[x] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[[x]]$ be a ring isomorphism.

By part (a) ϕ maps bijectively the set of units in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ to the set of units in $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$. We know that the only units in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ are $1, -1$ and it is clear that $1, -1$ are also units in $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$. Thus if we find at least one more unit in $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$, we will obtain a contradiction.

It is easy to see, by using the definition of multiplication in $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$, that

$$(1 - x)(1 + x + x^2 + x^3 + \cdots + x^i + \cdots) = 1 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{Z}[[x]].$$

Thus $1 - x$ is a unit in $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$, which gives us a contradiction.