

ENTROPY

Definition 0.1. Fix a Polish space X . For any μ and ν in $\mathcal{P}(X)$, define

$$H(\nu|\mu) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{cases} \int_{z \in X} \ln \frac{d\nu}{d\mu}(z) \nu(dz) & \text{if } \nu \ll \mu \\ \infty & \text{else,} \end{cases}$$

where the integral is interpreted in the sense of Lebesgue. Some introductory comments are in order.

Lemma 0.2. For any Polish space X and any ν and μ in $\mathcal{P}(X)$, $H(\nu|\mu)$ is well-defined and nonnegative. We have that $H(\nu|\mu) = 0$ if and only if $\nu = \mu$.

Proof. Define $f(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} x \ln x$ for all $x > 0$, and define $f(0) = 0$; it is easy to see that f is convex and continuous on $[0, \infty)$.

We first claim that when $\nu \ll \mu$, $H(\nu|\mu)$ is well-defined. To do this, we will show that

$$\int_{z \in A} \left\{ -\ln \frac{d\nu}{d\mu}(z) \right\} \nu(dz) < \infty.$$

where

$$A \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left\{ z \in X : 0 \leq \frac{d\nu}{d\mu}(z) \leq 1 \right\}.$$

If $\nu(A) = 0$, then this integral is zero (by standard construction of Lebesgue integrals as limits of integrals of increasing simple functions). If $\nu(A) > 0$, then $\mu(A) > 0$. The convexity of f implies that $-f$ is concave, so

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{z \in A} \left\{ -\ln \frac{d\nu}{d\mu}(z) \right\} \nu(dz) &= \int_{z \in A} \left\{ -f \left(\frac{d\nu}{d\mu}(z) \right) \right\} \mu(dz) \\ &\leq -f \left(\frac{\int_{z \in A} \frac{d\nu}{d\mu}(z) \mu(dz)}{\mu(A)} \right) \mu(A) \leq -f \left(\frac{\nu(A)}{\mu(A)} \right) \mu(A) < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

proving that $H(\nu|\mu)$ is well-defined. To show that it is positive, we again use the convexity of f to see that

$$\int_{z \in X} \ln \frac{d\nu}{d\mu}(z) \nu(dz) = \int_{z \in X} f \left(\frac{d\mu}{d\nu}(z) \right) \mu(dz) \geq f \left(\int_{z \in X} \frac{d\mu}{d\nu}(z) \mu(dz) \right) = f(1) = 0.$$

Since f is strictly convex, equality holds if and only if $\frac{d\nu}{d\mu}$ is constant, in which case $\frac{d\nu}{d\mu} \equiv 1$, and thus $H(\nu|\mu) = 0$. \square

Theorem 0.3 (Entropy Duality). Fix a Polish space X . For any ν and μ in $\mathcal{P}(X)$, we have that

$$(1) \quad H(\nu|\mu) = \sup_{\phi \in B(X)} \left\{ \int_{z \in X} \phi(z) \nu(dz) - \log \int_{z \in X} e^{\phi(z)} \mu(dz) \right\}$$

and for any $\phi \in B(X)$, we have that

$$(2) \quad \log \int_{z \in X} e^{\phi(z)} \mu(dz) = \sup_{\nu \in \mathcal{P}(X)} \left\{ \int_{z \in X} \phi(z) \nu(dz) - H(\nu|\mu) \right\}.$$

Proof. First note that if $\nu \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ is such that $H(\nu|\mu) < \infty$ and $\phi \in B(X)$, then by Jensen's inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{z \in X} \phi(z) \nu(dz) - H(\nu|\mu) &= \int_{z \in X} \left\{ \phi(z) - \ln \frac{d\nu}{d\mu}(z) \right\} \nu(dz) \\ &= \int_{\substack{z \in X \\ \frac{d\nu}{d\mu}(z) > 0}} \ln \frac{e^{\phi(z)}}{\frac{d\nu}{d\mu}(z)} \nu(dz) \leq \ln \int_{z \in X} e^{\phi(z)} d\mu(z) \end{aligned}$$

(note that $\nu \left\{ z \in X : \frac{d\nu}{d\mu}(z) > 0 \right\} = 1$). Thus the left-hand sides of (1) and (2) are greater than or equal to the right-hand sides.

Let's next show that the left-hand side of (1) is less than the right-hand side. Define $\nu \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ via

$$\nu(A) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{\int_{z \in A} e^{f(z)} \mu(dz)}{\int_{z \in X} e^{f(z)} \mu(dz)}. \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(X)$$

Then

$$\int_{z \in X} \phi(x) \nu(dx) - \ln \int_{z \in X} e^{\phi(z)} \mu(dz) = H(\nu|\mu).$$

Thus the left-hand side of (2) is less than or equal to the right-hand side.

The final step is to show that the left-hand side of (1) is less than or equal to the right-hand side. To do so, first assume that $\nu \ll \mu$. We will need to approximate. Define

$$\phi_n(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{cases} \ln \frac{d\nu}{d\mu}(x) & \text{if } |\ln \frac{d\nu}{d\mu}(x)| < N \\ N & \text{if } \ln \frac{d\nu}{d\mu}(x) > N \\ -N & \text{if } \ln \frac{d\nu}{d\mu}(x) < -N. \end{cases}$$

Then we have that

$$\lim_n \int_{z \in X} \phi_n(z) \nu(dz) = H(\nu|\mu).$$

We also note that

$$0 \leq e^{\phi_n(x)} \leq 1 + \frac{d\nu}{d\mu}(x);$$

thus by dominated convergence,

$$\lim_n \ln \int_{z \in E} e^{\phi_n(z)} \mu(dz) = 0.$$

Thus

$$H(\nu|\mu) = \lim_n \left\{ \int_{z \in X} \phi_n(z) \nu(dz) - \ln \int_{z \in E} e^{\phi_n(z)} \mu(dz) \right\},$$

which proves that the left-hand side of (2) is less than or equal to the right-hand side in this case (when $\nu \ll \mu$). Finally, assume that $\nu \not\ll \mu$. Then $H(\nu|\mu) = \infty$ and there is an $A \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ such that $\nu(A) > 0$.

Define now $\phi_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} n\chi_A$ for all n . Then

$$\overline{\lim}_n \left\{ \int_{z \in X} \phi_n(z) \nu(dz) - \ln \int_{z \in E} e^{\phi_n(z)} \mu(dz) \right\} = \overline{\lim}_n n\nu(A) = \infty$$

This finishes the proof. □