

Fundamental Mathematics - 347 G1
Homework 9 – Solutions

1. **13.6.** The flaw in this reasoning is that we will never list any irrational number.
2. **13.8.** False. Let $S = \{0, 1\}$. Then $\sup(S) = 1, \inf(S) = 0$, so that $\sup(S) \in S$ and $\inf(S) \in S$, but S is not a closed interval.
3. **13.11.**

(a) This is true. To prove this, let us say that

$$\lim a_n = A, \quad \lim b_n = B,$$

with $A < B$. Choose any $\epsilon < (B - A)/2$. We know from the definition of limit that there are N_1, N_2 such that for $n > N_1$, we have

$$|a_n - A| < \epsilon,$$

and for $n > N_2$, we have

$$|b_n - B| < \epsilon.$$

Choose $N = \max(N_1, N_2)$ and thus for $n > N$, we have

$$|a_n - A| < \epsilon, \quad |b_n - B| < \epsilon.$$

In particular, this means that $a_n < A + \epsilon$ and $b_n > B - \epsilon$. But since $A + \epsilon < B - \epsilon$, we have

$$a_n < A + \epsilon < B - \epsilon < b_n,$$

and we are done.

(b) This is false. Consider

$$a_n = 1 + \frac{1}{n}, \quad b_n = 1 - \frac{1}{n}.$$

We have $\lim a_n = \lim b_n$ but not that $a_n \leq b_n$. The reason the argument breaks down in the first part is that if we tried to prove this like part (a) above, the above argument would have us choose $\epsilon = 0$, which is not ok.

4. **13.12.** False, use a similar example to above. Let $S = \{0, 1\}$ and let x_n be any sequence which tends to 0 and y_n any sequence which tends to 1. But $1/2 \notin S$.
5. **13.19.** Since $f(x)$ is bounded, so is $-f(x)$ (Why?) and therefore has an infimum and a supremum, as does f itself. Let us write S for the range of f and $-S$ for the range of $-f$. Thus

$$-S = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : -x \in S\}.$$

Let us write

$$\alpha = \sup(-S).$$

By Proposition 13.15, this means that α is an upper bound for $-S$, and that there is a sequence $\langle x \rangle \subseteq -S$ such that $x_n \rightarrow \alpha$. But if we then define

$$y_n = -x_n,$$

then clearly $y_n \rightarrow -\alpha$. Moreover, if α is an upper bound for $-S$, then $-\alpha$ is a lower bound for S . So $-\alpha$ is a lower bound for S , and there is a sequence in S which converges to it. Again by Proposition 13.15, this means that $-\alpha = \inf(S)$.

6. **13.20.**

(a) $x_n = 1 - 1/n, \quad x_n = 1/n.$

(b) Notice that $\inf(S) = 0$ and $\sup(S) = 3/2$. To get a sequence which converges to $\inf(S)$, just take the defining sequence itself, namely $x_n = (2 + (-1)^n)/n$. To get a sequence which converges to $\sup(S)$, take $x_n = 3/2$ for all n . (Not the most subtle sequence, but it works.)

7. **13.24.**

(a) $f(x) = \sin(x), \quad g(x) = \sin(x) + 40.$

(b) $f(x) = \sin(x), \quad g(x) = \sin(x) + 2.$

(c) $f(x) = \sin(x), \quad g(x) = \sin(x) + 0.1.$

8. **13.29.** We define

$$x_n = \frac{1+n}{1+2n}.$$

We will show that $x_{n+1} < x_n$ for all n . To see this, let us write down the inequality we are trying to prove and do some algebra to see what we would need to make it true. So:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1+n}{1+2n} &> \frac{n+2}{2n+3}, \\ (2n+3)(n+1) &> (2n+1)(n+2), \\ 2n^2 + 5n + 3 &> 2n^2 + 5n + 2, \\ 3 &> 2. \end{aligned}$$

Well, clearly the last inequality is always true, thus so is the first one. By Monotone Convergence, we have a limit.

To see why we would expect the limit to be $1/2$, look at the formula and think of n as being really large. Then the $+1$ doesn't matter, so the fraction is roughly $n/2n = 1/2$. (Of course this is not yet a proof.)

So, let us try to prove this: we need to show that for every $\epsilon > 0$, there is a $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n > N$ implies

$$\left| \frac{n+1}{2n+1} - \frac{1}{2} \right| < \epsilon.$$

Let us do some algebra, this gives

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{2(n+1)}{2(2n+1)} - \frac{2n+1}{2(2n+1)} \right| &< \epsilon, \\ \left| \frac{1}{2(2n+1)} \right| &< \epsilon, \\ \frac{1}{2(2n+1)} &< \epsilon, \\ 2(2n+1) &> \frac{1}{\epsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

For any positive ϵ , we can choose N so that

$$2(2N+1) > \frac{1}{\epsilon},$$

and therefore if $n > N$, we have

$$\left| \frac{n+1}{2n+1} - \frac{1}{2} \right| < \epsilon.$$