

# A proof and generalizations of the Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem using the method of linearly independent polynomials

Zoltán Füredi,<sup>1</sup> Kyung-Won Hwang and Paul M. Weichsel

## Abstract

Our aim is to exhibit a short algebraic proof for the Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem. First, we summarize the method of linearly independent polynomials showing that if  $X_1, \dots, X_m \subset [n]$  are sets such that  $X_i$  does not satisfy any of the set of  $s$  intersection conditions  $R_i$  but  $X_i$  satisfies at least one condition in  $R_j$  for all  $j > i$  then  $m \leq \binom{n}{s} + \binom{n}{s-1} + \dots + \binom{n}{0}$ . The EKR theorem follows by carefully choosing the intersection properties and adding extra polynomials. We also prove generalizations for non-uniform families with various intersection conditions.

## 1 Proofs of the EKR Theorem

In 1961, Erdős, Ko, and Rado [11] proved that if  $\mathcal{F}$  is a  $k$ -uniform family of subsets of a set of  $n$  elements with  $k \leq \frac{1}{2}n$  and with every pair of members of  $\mathcal{F}$  intersect, then  $|\mathcal{F}| \leq \binom{n-1}{k-1}$ . They also showed that for  $k < \frac{1}{2}n$ , equality holds if  $\mathcal{F}$  consists of all  $k$ -sets containing a given element of the underlying set.

In addition to their remarkable proof (induction on  $k$  and, for a given  $k$ , left-shifting and induction on  $n$ ), there are many interesting new proofs. For example, in 1972, Katona [18] used a simple and elegant argument, the permutation method. Daykin [6] obtained Erdős-Ko-Rado from the Kruskal-Katona theorem. Hajnal and Rothschild [17] proved it for  $n > n_0(k)$  by an early version of the kernel (or delta-system) method, developed and used very successfully by Frankl [12] (the first full description of the method was published in Deza, Erdős, and Frankl [8]). The most remarkable technique was due to Lovász, in his groundbreaking paper [20], which used a geometric representation to prove that the Shannon capacity of the Kneser graph  $K(n, k)$  is at most  $\binom{n-1}{k-1}$  for all  $k \leq \frac{1}{2}n$ , thus yielding another proof (and generalization). Wilson [25] gave an ingenious proof, using Delsarte's linear programming bound. (Actually, he proved much more concerning  $t$ -intersecting families.) Finding different ways to prove EKR has been the subject of a set of papers recently by Ehud Friedgut from (1) Graph Homomorphisms [10] (a joint work with I. Dinur) and (2) Harmonic Analysis [16].

---

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:* 05D05, 05C35

*Key words and Phrases:* Erdős-Ko-Rado, Intersecting family.

Final version (Feb 3, 2006)

[fhw5.tex] Printed on February 21, 2006

<sup>1</sup> Research supported in part by the Hungarian National Science Foundation under grant OTKA NK 62321, and by the National Science Foundation under grant DMS 0140692.

One of the most powerful methods for counting the number of objects in a certain combinatorial structure is to correspond polynomials to the objects and to show that these polynomials are, in fact, linearly independent in some space. See, for example, Delsarte, Goethals and Seidel [7] as well as Larman, Rogers and Seidel [19] for deep early results. This method has been used to prove intersection theorems by Blokhuis [5], and then by Alon, Babai and Suzuki [2], and most recently by Ramanan [22], Snevily [24] and others. See the monograph of Babai and Frankl [3] for more details. Interestingly, none of the new algebraic proofs can be directly applied as a new proof for the original Erdős-Ko-Rado.

The aim of this paper is to exhibit a short algebraic proof for the Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem, and then to give a number of Frankl-Wilson-Ray-Chaudhuri type generalizations. Before that, in section 2, we summarize the essence of the polynomial method in a powerful lemma, in a form that best fits our purposes. In section 3 the new proof for EKR is given, in section 4 we summarize old generalizations for non-uniform hypergraphs, and finally in section 5 some new generalizations are presented.

## 2 The polynomial method for intersection theorems

Suppose that  $\mathcal{A} = \{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m\}$  is a family of finite sets where each  $A_i$  is a subset of  $[n] := \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . We say that the set  $X$  satisfies the intersection property  $(P, \alpha)$  (where  $P$  is a set and  $\alpha$  is a non-negative integer) if  $|X \cap P| = \alpha$ . Suppose that for each  $A_i \in \mathcal{A}$  a set of (at most)  $s$  intersection properties are given

$$R_i := \{(P_{i1}, \alpha_{i1}), \dots, (P_{is}, \alpha_{is})\}.$$

(An intersection condition can be repeated, even for the same  $i$ ).

**Lemma 1.** *Suppose that for each  $A_i \in \mathcal{A}$  one can find a set  $X_i \subset [n]$  such that*

1.  $X_i$  does not satisfy any of the conditions in  $R_i$ , and
2.  $X_i$  satisfies at least one condition in  $R_j$  for all  $j > i$ .

Then

$$m \leq \binom{n}{s} + \binom{n}{s-1} + \dots + \binom{n}{0}. \quad (1)$$

*Proof.* Define the ( $n$ -variable, real) polynomial  $f_i(x)$  as follows.

$$f_i(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) := \prod_{1 \leq u \leq s} \left( \left( \sum_{v \in P_{iu}} x_v \right) - \alpha_{iu} \right)$$

If we use the notation  $\widehat{X}$  for the characteristic vector of  $X \subset [n]$  (i.e.,  $\widehat{X}$  is a 0-1 vector from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with its  $t^{\text{th}}$  coordinate is 1 if and only if  $t \in X$ ), then the scalar product  $\widehat{X}\widehat{Y}$  is equal to  $|X \cap Y|$  for all  $X, Y \subset [n]$ . With this notation one can rewrite  $f_i$  as

$$f_i(\widehat{X}) = \prod_u \left( \widehat{X}\widehat{P}_{iu} - \alpha_{iu} \right) = \prod_u (|X \cap P_{iu}| - \alpha_{iu}).$$

In any case, it is obvious that our conditions imply that

$$f_j(\widehat{X}_i) \begin{cases} = 0 & \text{if } i < j \\ \neq 0 & \text{if } i = j. \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

Now define the (integer coefficient, real,  $n$ -variable) multilinear polynomial  $g_i$  by eliminating all the parentheses from  $f_i$  and repeatedly replacing a higher order factor  $x_v^2$  by  $x_v$  (for all  $1 \leq v \leq n$ ). Note that for a 0-1 vector  $x$  one has  $f_i(x) = g_i(x)$ , so (2) implies that

$$g_i(\widehat{X}_i) \neq 0 \quad \text{but} \quad g_j(\widehat{X}_i) = 0 \quad \text{for } i < j. \quad (3)$$

The multilinear,  $n$  variable, real polynomials of degree at most  $s$  form a vectorspace  $V$  (over  $R$ ), of dimension

$$\dim V = \binom{n}{s} + \binom{n}{s-1} + \cdots + \binom{n}{0}. \quad (4)$$

We claim that the polynomials  $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m$  are linearly independent in this space. Then (4) gives the desired upper bound on  $m$ . Indeed, suppose on the contrary, that there exists a linear dependency, i.e.,

$$c_1 g_1(x) + c_2 g_2(x) + \cdots + c_m g_m(x) = 0 \quad (5)$$

holds for every  $x \in R^n$ , where the  $c_i$ 's are reals, not all 0. Suppose that  $i$  is the smallest integer with  $c_i \neq 0$ , and substitute  $\widehat{X}_i$  into (5). Since  $c_j = 0$  for  $j < i$  and  $g_j(\widehat{X}_i) = 0$  for  $j > i$  the equation becomes  $c_i g_i(\widehat{X}_i) = 0$ . However, this contradicts the fact that both  $c_i$  and  $g_i(\widehat{X}_i)$  are non-zero.  $\square$

### 3 The polynomial proof of EKR Theorem

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be an intersecting family of  $k$ -sets of  $[n]$ ,  $n \geq 2k$ ,  $|\mathcal{F}| = m$ . In the above Lemma 1 we will define  $s = k - 1$ . The dimension of the vector space of multilinear polynomials  $V$  is not a perfect binomial coefficient, so at first Lemma 1 does not seem to be applicable to prove  $m \leq \binom{n-1}{k-1}$ . Instead of narrowing the vectorspace, which does not look viable, one can add more polynomials to the  $g_i$ 's defined by the members of  $\mathcal{F}$ , and show that the larger system is still linearly independent. This method appeared first in a paper of Blokhuis [4]. In fact, we will join to  $\mathcal{F}$  another

$$\binom{n}{k-1} + \binom{n}{k-2} + \cdots + \binom{n}{1} + \binom{n}{0} - \binom{n-1}{k-1} = 2 \times \left( \sum_{k-2 \geq u \geq 0} \binom{n-1}{u} \right) \quad (6)$$

sets, together with appropriate intersection conditions.

Select a member  $p$  of  $[n]$  arbitrarily. Define the family  $\mathcal{A}$  as the union of the following four hypergraphs,  $\mathcal{F}_0$ ,  $\mathcal{H}$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_1$  and  $\mathcal{G}$ , where

- $\mathcal{F}_0 := \{F \in \mathcal{F} : p \notin F\}$ ,

- $\mathcal{H} := \{H \subset [n] : p \notin H, 0 \leq |H| \leq k-2\}$ ,
- $\mathcal{F}_1 := \{F \in \mathcal{F} : p \in F\}$ , and
- $\mathcal{G} := \{G \subset [n] : p \in G, 1 \leq |G| \leq k-1\}$ .

Order these sets linearly in the above order. First, we put the members of  $\mathcal{F}_0$  (in arbitrary order), then the members of  $\mathcal{H}$  increasing by size, (i.e.,  $H \in \mathcal{H}$  precedes  $H' \in \mathcal{H}$  if  $|H| \leq |H'|$ ) then  $\mathcal{F}_1$  (again in arbitrary order) and, finally, the members of  $\mathcal{G}$  again in increasing order. Next, for each set  $A_i \in \mathcal{A}$  we associate another set  $X_i \subset [n]$ , and at most  $k-1$  intersection conditions  $(P_{iu}, \alpha_{iu})$ .

- For  $F \in \mathcal{F}_0$  we let  $X := [n] \setminus \{p\} \setminus F$  with intersection conditions  $(F, \alpha)$ ,  $1 \leq \alpha \leq k-1$ .
- For  $H \in \mathcal{H}$  we let  $X := H$  with intersection conditions  $(\{h\}, 0)$ , (for each  $h \in H$ ) and  $([n], n-k-1)$ .
- For  $F \in \mathcal{F}_1$  we let  $X := F \setminus \{p\}$  with intersection conditions  $(F \setminus \{p\}, \alpha)$ ,  $0 \leq \alpha \leq k-2$ .
- For  $G \in \mathcal{G}$  we let  $X := G$  with intersection conditions  $(\{g\}, 0)$  for each  $g \in G$ .

It is straightforward to check that the  $\{A_i, X_i, (P_{iu}, \alpha_{iu})\}$  system defined above indeed satisfies the constrains of Lemma 1 with  $s = k-1$ . This gives  $|\mathcal{F}| + |\mathcal{G}| + |\mathcal{H}| \leq \binom{n}{k-1} + \binom{n}{k-2} + \dots + \binom{n}{0}$ . since  $|\mathcal{G}| = |\mathcal{H}| = \sum_{k-2 \geq u \geq 0} \binom{n-1}{u}$  (6) gives the desired upper bound for  $|\mathcal{F}|$ .  $\square$

**Summary.** To make the construction more explicit and transparent we repeat the the definitions of the associated functions and their characteristic properties.

For  $F \in \mathcal{F}_0$  we have  $f_F(x) = \prod_{1 \leq u \leq k-1} ((\sum_{e \in F} x_e) - u)$  and  $g_F(\widehat{X}) = 0$  if and only if  $|X \cap F| \in \{1, \dots, k-1\}$ ,

for  $H \in \mathcal{H}$  we have  $f_H(x) = \left( \left( \sum_{1 \leq e \leq n} x_e \right) - (n-k-1) \right) \prod_{e \in H} x_e$  and  $g_H(\widehat{X}) = 0$  if and only if  $|X| = n-k-1$  or  $H \not\subseteq X$ ,

for  $F \in \mathcal{F}_1$  we have  $f_F(x) = \prod_{0 \leq u \leq k-2} \left( \left( \sum_{e \in F, e \neq p} x_e \right) - u \right)$  and  $g_F(\widehat{X}) = 0$  if and only if  $|X \cap (F \setminus \{p\})| \in \{0, 1, \dots, k-2\}$ ,

for  $G \in \mathcal{G}$  we have  $f_G(x) = \prod_{e \in G} x_e$  and  $g_G(\widehat{X}) = 0$  if and only if  $G \not\subseteq X$ .

Let us point out the part where the condition  $n \geq 2k$  was used. We needed  $g_H(\widehat{H}) \neq 0$  and this is only true if  $|H| \neq n-k-1$ . Furthermore, as in most cases when one uses linear algebra, it does not seem immediate from the above proof that for  $n > 2k$  equality can hold only for  $\cap \mathcal{F} \neq \emptyset$ .

## 4 Generalizations for non-uniform families

Here we briefly discuss some old generalizations. In this section  $\mathcal{F} = \{F_1, F_2, \dots, F_m\}$  is a family of subsets of  $[n]$ ,  $K = \{k_1, \dots, k_t\}$  and  $L = \{l_1, \dots, l_s\}$  are sets of non-negative integers. We call  $\mathcal{F}$  an  $(n, K, L)$ -family if  $|F| \in K$  for every  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $|F_i \cap F_j| \in L$  for any distinct  $F_i, F_j \in \mathcal{F}$ .

A celebrated result of Frankl and Wilson [15] claims that

$$|\mathcal{F}| \leq \binom{n}{s} + \binom{n}{s-1} + \cdots + \binom{n}{0}, \tag{7}$$

independent of  $K$ . The determination of  $\max |\mathcal{F}|$  is very much related to a basic coding problem when a binary code is given with given weights and distances. There are many improvements of (7).

For  $L = \{1, 2, \dots, s\}$  Frankl and Füredi [14] conjectured that

$$m \leq \binom{n-1}{s} + \binom{n-1}{s-1} + \cdots + \binom{n-1}{0}. \tag{8}$$

This can be achieved by the family  $\{F : 1 \in F \subset [n], |F| \leq s+1\}$ . They proved (8) for  $n > 100s^2/\log(s+1)$ , Pyber showed it for  $n \geq 6(s+1)$  and finally Ramanan [22] proved it for all  $n$ . Recently, Snevily [24] showed that (8) holds for any  $L$  with  $\min L \geq 1$ .

Alon, Babai, and Suzuki [2] proved that

$$m \leq \binom{n}{s} + \binom{n}{s-1} + \binom{n}{s-t+1} \tag{9}$$

holds for the case  $\min K > s-t$ .

Snevily [23] proved that in the case  $\min K > \max L$

$$|\mathcal{F}| \leq \binom{n-1}{s} + \binom{n-1}{s-1} + \cdots + \binom{n-1}{s-2t+1}.$$

Frankl [13] showed that if  $\mathcal{F}$  is any family with  $|F_j \setminus F_i| \in L$  for all  $1 \leq i < j \leq m$  then

$$|\mathcal{F}| \leq \sum_{0 \leq i \leq s} \binom{n}{i}. \tag{10}$$

## 5 New generalizations

Here we prove other generalizations of the Erdős-Ko-Rado Theorem allowing different sizes of the subsets and introducing a new parameter  $r$ .

Again  $\mathcal{F} = \{F_1, F_2, \dots, F_m\}$  is a family of subsets of  $[n]$ ,  $K = \{k_1, \dots, k_t\}$  and  $L = \{l_1, \dots, l_s\}$  are sets of non-negative integers. We suppose that  $|F| \in K$  for all  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ . Define

$$r := \min_{q \in [n]} \left| \{ |F| : q \in F \in \mathcal{F} \} \right|,$$

the number of different sizes through  $q$ . Obviously,  $r \leq t$ . Choose a vertex  $p \in [n]$  so that  $\left| \{ |F| : p \in F \in \mathcal{F} \} \right| = r$ . Assume, without loss of generality, that  $p \notin F_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq a$  and  $p \in F_i$  for  $a < i \leq |\mathcal{F}|$ . Thus  $\mathcal{F}$  has been split into two parts,  $\mathcal{F}_0 = \{F : p \notin F \in \mathcal{F}\}$ , and  $\mathcal{F}_1 = \{F : p \in F \in \mathcal{F}\}$ .

**Theorem 2.** *Suppose that the  $F_i$ 's satisfy the following intersection properties:*

- (i)  $|F_j \setminus F_i| \in L$  for all  $1 \leq i < j \leq a$ ,
- (ii)  $1 \leq |F_j \setminus F_i| \leq s$  for  $1 \leq i \leq a < j \leq m$ ,
- (iii)  $|F_i \cap F_j| \in \{1, \dots, s\}$  for  $a < i < j \leq m$ .

*Suppose further that  $\min L > 0$ ,  $|F_i| > s$  for  $a < i \leq m$ , and  $n - k_i - 1 > s - r$  for  $1 \leq i \leq r$ . Then*

$$|\mathcal{F}| \leq \sum_{i=s-r+1}^s \binom{n-1}{i}.$$

In the proof of this theorem we define an  $n$ -variable multilinear polynomial  $f_F$  of degree at most  $s$  for each  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ . These polynomials are linearly independent (due to the intersection conditions) so we get an upper bound on  $|\mathcal{F}|$ . To decrease this bound we add more polynomials to this collection which form a space perpendicular to the space spanned by  $\{f_F : F \in \mathcal{F}\}$ , and then calculate the dimension of the spaces they span. The additional polynomials are defined using the families  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathcal{G}$ , the subsets  $[n]$  of size at most  $s - 1$ .

**Proof.** We proceed exactly like in Section 3. We divide the proof into two cases:  $r \leq s$  and  $s < r$ . First, we consider  $r \leq s$ . Define the families

$$\mathcal{H} = \{H \subseteq [n] : p \notin H, 0 \leq |H| \leq s - r\} \text{ and}$$

$$\mathcal{G} = \{G \subseteq [n] : p \in G, 1 \leq |G| \leq s\}.$$

Order both of them linearly in increasing order, (i.e.,  $H$  precedes  $H'$  if  $|H| \leq |H'|$ ). Put the four families  $\mathcal{F}_0$ ,  $\mathcal{H}$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_1$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  in this order (keeping their inner order). For each member  $A$  of these four families we associate an  $n$  variable polynomial  $f_A(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  and a special set  $X$  such that for the characteristic vector of  $X$  we have  $f_A(\widehat{X}) \neq 0$  but  $f_B(\widehat{X}) = 0$  for all  $B$  following  $A$  in the linear order. Reduce  $f_A$ , as it was done in Lemma 1, to a multilinear polynomial  $g_A$  by replacing every higher order term  $x_u^2$  by  $x_u$ . We show that these polynomials are linearly independent, implying the upper bound for  $|\mathcal{F}|$ .

For each  $F_i \in \mathcal{F}_0$ , consider the polynomial

$$f_i(x) = \prod_{j=1}^s (v_i \cdot x - l_j),$$

where  $v_i$  is the characteristic vector of  $F_i$ . The special set is  $[n] \setminus F_i \setminus \{p\}$ .

For each  $H \in \mathcal{H}$ , we define the polynomial

$$f_H(x) = \prod_{b=1}^r \left( \sum_{l=1}^n x_l - (n - k_b - 1) \right) \prod_{j \in H} x_j.$$

The corresponding special set is  $H$  itself. Since  $n - k_i - 1 > s - r$ ,  $f_H(\widehat{H}) \neq 0$ , and  $f_{H'}(\widehat{H}) = 0$  for any  $|H| \leq |H'|$ . Thus  $\{f_H(x) : H \in \mathcal{H}\}$  is a linearly independent family.

For each  $F_i \in \mathcal{F}_1$  let

$$f_i(x) = \prod_{j=0}^{s-1} (v_i^* \cdot x - j),$$

where  $v_i^*$  is the characteristic vector of  $F_i \setminus \{p\}$ . The special set is  $F_i \setminus \{p\}$ .

For each  $G \in \mathcal{G}$  let

$$f_G(x) = \prod_{j \in G} x_j,$$

with special vector  $\widehat{G}$ . Note that  $f_G(\widehat{G}) \neq 0$  and  $f_{G'}(\widehat{G}) = 0$  for any  $|G| \leq |G'|$ , and thus  $\{f_G(x) : G \in \mathcal{G}\}$  is a linearly independent family of polynomials.

For the rest of the proof of this case (i.e., the case  $r \leq s$ ) we continue as in the proof of the EKR theorem.

For the  $r > s$  case, we only need the family  $\mathcal{G}$  as above, and then we show that  $\{f_i(x) : F_i \in \mathcal{F}\} \cup \{f_G(x) : G \in \mathcal{G}\}$  is linearly independent. We get the bound  $|\mathcal{F}| \leq \sum_{i=0}^s \binom{n-1}{i}$ . (Note that the summation index  $i$  starts at 0 because  $s - r + 1 \leq 0$ ).  $\square$

We give another generalization. Note that this result is almost identical to the previous one, but each is independent of the other (i.e., neither of them implies the other).

**Theorem 3.** *Suppose that with given  $n, K, L$  and  $p \in [n]$  the family  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_0 \cup \mathcal{F}_1$  satisfies the following intersection conditions*

- (i)  $|F_j \setminus F_i| \in L$  for all  $1 \leq i < j \leq a$ ,
- (ii)  $1 \leq |F_j \setminus F_i| \leq s - r + 1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq a < j \leq m$ ,
- (iii)  $|F_i \cap F_j| \in \{1, \dots, s - r + 1\}$  for  $a < i < j \leq m$ .

*Suppose further that  $\min L > 0$ ,  $|F_i| > s - r + 1$  for  $a < i \leq m$ , and  $n - k_i - 1 > s - r$  for  $1 \leq i \leq r$ . Then*

$$|\mathcal{F}| \leq \sum_{i=s-r+1}^s \binom{n-1}{i}.$$

**Proof.** The proof closely follows the proof of Theorem 2 above. We divide the proof into the same two cases:  $r \leq s$  and  $s < r$ . For the first case, we construct the same two families ( $\mathcal{G}$  and  $\mathcal{H}$ ) and the same associated polynomials, and for the second case we only use the family  $\mathcal{G}$ . The only difference is that the polynomial  $f_i$  for the set  $F_i \in \mathcal{F}_1$  is defined as follows.

$$f_i(x) = \prod_{j=0}^{s-r} (v_i^* \cdot x - j). \quad \square$$

Finally, the above method also gives the following bound for the general Erdős-Ko-Rado problem. For exact upper bound see Ahlswede and Khachatrian [1].

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $\mathcal{F} = \{F_1, \dots, F_m\}$  denote a family of  $k$ -subsets of  $[n]$ . Suppose that  $|F_i \cap F_j| \in \{d, d + 1, \dots, k - 1\}$  for all  $i < j$ . If  $n \geq 2k - d + 1$ , then  $|\mathcal{F}| \leq \binom{n-1}{k-d}$ .*

**Acknowledgement.** The authors are very grateful to the referee for many helpful advice.

## References

- [1] R. AHLWEDE AND L. H. KHACHATRIAN, The complete intersection theorem for systems of finite sets. *European J. Combin.* **18** (1997), 125–136.

- [2] N. ALON, L. BABAI, AND H. SUZUKI, Multilinear polynomials and Frankl-Ray-Chaudhuri-Wilson type intersection theorems. *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A*, **58** (1991), 165–180.
- [3] L. BABAI AND P. FRANKL, *Linear Algebra Methods in Combinatorics*. (manuscript) Chicago University 1992.
- [4] A. BLOKHUIS, A new upper bound for the cardinality of 2-distance sets in Euclidean space. *Convexity and graph theory* (Jerusalem, 1981), 65–66, *North-Holland Math. Stud.* **87**, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984.
- [5] A. BLOKHUIS, Solution of an extremal problem for sets using resultants of polynomials. *Combinatorica* **10** (1990), 393–396.
- [6] D. DAYKIN, Erdős-Ko-Rado from Kruskal-Katona. *J. Combinatorial Theory Ser. A* **17** (1974), 254–255.
- [7] P. DELSARTE, J. M. GOETHALS, J. J. SEIDEL, Spherical codes and designs. *Geometriae Dedicata* **6** (1977), 363–388.
- [8] M. DEZA, P. ERDŐS, AND P. FRANKL, Intersection properties of systems of finite sets. *Combinatorics* (Proc. Fifth Hungarian Colloq., Keszthely, 1976), Vol. I, pp. 251–256, *Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai*, **18** North-Holland, Amsterdam-New York, 1978.
- [9] M. DEZA AND P. FRANKL, Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem—22 years later. *SIAM J. Algebraic Discrete Methods* **4** (1983), 419–431.
- [10] IRIT DINUR AND EHUD FRIEDGUT, Proof of an intersection theorem via graph homomorphisms, to appear
- [11] P. ERDŐS, CHAO KO, AND R. RADO, Intersection theorems for systems of finite sets. *Quart. J. Math. Oxford* **12** (1961), 313–320.
- [12] P. FRANKL, On families of finite sets no two of which intersect in a singleton. *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **17** (1977), 125–134.
- [13] P. FRANKL, Bounding the size of a family knowing the cardinality of differences. —it *Studia Sci. Math. Hungar.* **20** (1985), 33–36.
- [14] P. FRANKL AND Z. FÜREDI, Families of finite sets with missing intersections. *Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai* **37** (1981), 305–320.
- [15] P. FRANKL AND R. M. WILSON, Intersection theorems with geometric consequences. *Combinatorica* **1** (1981), 357–368.
- [16] EHUD FRIEDGUT, On the measure of intersecting families, uniqueness and robustness, to appear
- [17] A. HAJNAL AND B. ROTHSCILD, A generalization of the Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem on finite set systems. *J. Combinatorial Theory Ser. A* **15** (1973), 359–362.
- [18] G. O. H. KATONA, A simple proof of the Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem. *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. B* **13** (1972), 183–184.
- [19] D. G. LARMAN, C. A. ROGERS, AND J. J. SEIDEL, On two-distance sets in Euclidean space. *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **9** (1977), 261–267.
- [20] L. LOVÁSZ, On the Shannon capacity of a graph. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* **25** (1979), 1–7.
- [21] L. PYBER, An extension of a Frankl-Füredi theorem. *Discrete Math.* **52** (1984), 253–268.
- [22] G. RAMANAN, Proof of a conjecture of Frankl and Füredi. *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A* **79** (1997), 53–67.

- [23] H. SNEVILY, A generalization of the Ray-Chaudhuri-Wilson theorem. *J. Combin. Designs* **3** (1995), 349–352.
- [24] H. SNEVILY, A sharp bound for the number of sets that pairwise intersect at  $k$  positive values. *Combinatorica* **23** (2003), 527–532.
- [25] R. WILSON, The exact bound in the Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem. *Combinatorica* **4** (1984), 247–257.

Zoltán Füredi

*Department of Mathematics, University of Illinois,  
1409 W. Green St., Urbana, IL 61801, USA and  
Rényi Institute of Mathematics of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences,  
1364 Budapest, P.O.Box 127, Hungary  
z-furedi@math.uiuc.edu, furedi@renyi.hu*

Kyung-Won Hwang

*Department of Mathematics, University of Illinois,  
1409 W. Green St., Urbana, IL 61801, USA  
khwang@math.uiuc.edu*

Paul M. Weichsel

*Department of Mathematics, University of Illinois,  
1409 W. Green St., Urbana, IL 61801, USA  
weichsel@math.uiuc.edu*