

# An Improved Upper Bound of the Rate of Euclidian Superimposed Codes\*

Zoltán Füredi <sup>†</sup> and Miklós Ruzinkó <sup>‡</sup>

October 28, 1997.

## Abstract

A family of  $n$ -dimensional unit norm vectors is a *Euclidean superimposed code*, if the sums of any two distinct at most  $m$ -tuples of vectors are separated by a certain minimum Euclidean distance  $d$ . Ericson and Györfi [8] proved that the rate of such a code is between  $(\log m)/4m$  and  $(\log m)/m$  for  $m$  large enough. In this paper – improving the above longstanding best upper bound for the rate – it is shown that the rate is always at most  $(\log m)/2m$ , i.e., the size of a possible superimposed code is at most the root of the size given in [8]. We also generalize these codes to other normed vector spaces.

## 1 Superimposed codes

Binary superimposed codes were introduced by Kautz and Singleton [18]. They studied binary codewords with the property that the disjunctions (bitwise OR) of any pair of distinct at most  $m$  tuples of codewords have to be different. Later this question has been investigated in several papers on multiple access communication (see, e.g. [1], [4], [5], [14], [15], [16]). The same problem

---

\*Version as of Oct 28, 1997. This copy was printed on November 6, 1997.

Keywords: codes, superimposed geometric codes, growth rate.

AMS classification number: 94B65, 94B75, 94A40.

<sup>†</sup>Department of Mathematics, University of Illinois, Urbana, IL 61801, USA, Email: [z-furedi@math.uiuc.edu](mailto:z-furedi@math.uiuc.edu). and Mathematical Institute of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Budapest, P.O.Box 127, Hungary-1364. Email: [furedi@math-inst.hu](mailto:furedi@math-inst.hu).

This research was supported in part by the Hungarian National Science Foundation under grants, OTKA 4269, and OTKA 016389, and by a National Security Agency grant No. MDA904-95-H-1045.

<sup>‡</sup>Computer and Automation Research Institute of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Budapest, P.O.Box 63, Hungary-1518. Email: [ruszinko@lutra.sztaki.hu](mailto:ruszinko@lutra.sztaki.hu).

Research supported in part by OTKA Grants T 016414 and F 014919.

has been posed – in different terms – by Erdős, Frankl and Füredi ([6], [7]) in combinatorics, by Sós [22] in combinatorial number theory, and by Hwang and Sós ([11], [12]) in group testing. One can find an easy proof of the best known upper bound of these codes in the papers by Füredi [9] and Ruszinkó [21]. In the paper of Füredi and Ruszinkó [10] the connection of these codes to the big distance ones is shown.

In 1988 Ericson and Györfi [8] introduced a new class of superimposed codes for Euclidean channels. Roughly speaking, a family of  $n$ -dimensional unit norm vectors is an *Euclidean superimposed code*, if the sums of any two distinct at most  $m$ -tuples of vectors are separated by a certain minimum Euclidean distance  $d$ . Similarly to the previous ones, these codes are motivated by multiple access communication as follows.

Suppose that  $T$  users share a common channel. To each of them a real valued  $n$  dimensional unit norm vector is associated. The  $i^{\text{th}}$  user transmits its vector  $\mathbf{x}_i = (x_i^1, x_i^2, \dots, x_i^n)$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, T$ ) – i.e., in each slot a real is contained, while the total block length is  $n$  – if it is active, otherwise not. It is assumed that the transmission is bit and block synchronized. The destination of the messages is a single receiver, which – in optimal case – gets the sum of the vectors

$$\mathbf{y} = \sum_{\forall i \text{ active}} \mathbf{x}_i$$

associated to the active users. Moreover, suppose that at most  $m$  users are active simultaneously. The problem is how to choose the vectors associated to the single users to assure that the receiver may recognize the set of the active ones even in the case if the sequence  $\mathbf{y}$  is further contaminated by some (less than  $d/2$ ) disturbance.

The central problem is here to determine the maximum number of real unit norm vectors  $T(n, m, d)$  assuring the above identification, given the block length  $n$ , maximum number of simultaneously active users  $m$  and disturbance  $< d/2$ . Ericson and Györfi [8] showed that for the rate of such a code the following inequalities hold for  $n \gg m$  large enough,  $d \leq 1$ .

$$\frac{\log m}{4m} \leq \frac{\log T(n, m, d)}{n} \leq \frac{\log m}{m}. \quad (1)$$

Observe, that this does not depend on  $d$ , since  $d$  is constant. Anderson ([2], [3]) slightly improved the bounds given by Ericson and Györfi, but his attempts based on methods elaborated by Kabatjanskii and Levenštein [17] gave only polynomial improvements, and thus he did not improve the bounds on the *rate* of the code. In this paper we improve the upper bound of the rate – given by Ericson and Györfi – by a factor of two, thus giving an exponential improvement of this longstanding open problem.

Note that instead of unit norm vectors at most unit norm vectors can be considered, which approach gives the same rate, since adding one additional dimension – which does not change the rate – one can easily make of at most unit vectors unit ones.

In the next Section the mathematical formulation is given, which is followed by the proof of the new upper bound, finally, the last one contains some remarks on geometric codes in other normed spaces.

## 2 Euclidian codes

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a finite set of unit norm vectors in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  (a *spherical code*). For a subset  $\mathcal{A}$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  – following the notation of [8] –  $f(\mathcal{A})$  stands for the sum of vectors  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $f(\mathcal{A}) = \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{A}} \mathbf{x}$ . Let  $m$  and  $T$  be positive integers,  $m \leq T$ , and let  $d$  be a real number,  $0 < d \leq 1$ . The finite set,  $\mathcal{C}$ , of unit norm vectors in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  is an *Euclidean superimposed code* with parameters  $(n, m, T, d)$  if  $|\mathcal{C}| = T$  and for arbitrary two distinct subsets  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  with  $0 \leq |\mathcal{A}|, |\mathcal{B}| \leq m$  the Euclidean distance of the vectors  $f(\mathcal{A})$  and  $f(\mathcal{B})$  is at least  $d$ . More precisely, denote by  $\mathcal{C}^m$  the set of the sums of all at most  $m$ -tuples of vectors of  $\mathcal{C}$ , i.e.,

$$\mathcal{C}^m = \{f(\mathcal{A}) : \mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{C}, |\mathcal{A}| \leq m\}.$$

Set

$$d_E(\mathcal{C}^m) = \min_{\substack{\mathcal{A} \neq \mathcal{B} \\ 0 \leq |\mathcal{A}|, |\mathcal{B}| \leq m \\ \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{C}}} \|f(\mathcal{A}) - f(\mathcal{B})\|,$$

where

$$\|\mathbf{x}\| = \left( \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

is the usual Euclidean norm. Equivalently, the set  $\mathcal{C}$  of finite unit norm vectors in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  is an *Euclidean superimposed code* with parameters  $(n, m, T, d)$  if  $d_E(\mathcal{C}^m) \geq d$ . Given  $n$ ,  $m$  and  $d$ , let  $T(n, m, d)$  denote the maximum size of an *Euclidean superimposed code*, i.e.,

$$T(n, m, d) = \max\{T : \mathcal{C}(n, m, T, d) \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Similarly, let

$$\mathcal{C}^{m'} = \{f(\mathcal{A}) : \mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{C}, |\mathcal{A}| = m\},$$

and  $T'(n, m, d)$  denote the maximum size of a spherical code  $\mathcal{C}$  satisfying  $d_E(\mathcal{C}^{m'}) \geq d$ . Obviously,  $T(n, m, d) \leq T'(n, m, d)$ .

As it was shown in [8],  $T(n, m, d)$  increases exponentially in  $n$ . Therefore – due to coding theoretic traditions –

$$R(m, d) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T(n, m, d)}{n}$$

is the exponent of the growth. It is also called the *rate* of the code. Here and through the paper by  $\log$  the logarithm of base 2 is denoted,  $\mathbf{v}_i \mathbf{v}_j$  is the scalar product of vectors  $\mathbf{v}_i$  and  $\mathbf{v}_j$  (i.e.,  $\mathbf{v}^2$  is the scalar product of the vector  $\mathbf{v}$  with itself), while  $\binom{\mathcal{C}}{m}$  stands for all  $m$ -subsets of  $\mathcal{C}$ .

### 3 The Improved Upper Bound

The main idea of the proof of the upper bound given in [8] is a sphere packing argument. Observe that if  $\mathcal{C}$  is a spherical code, then all vectors of  $\mathcal{C}^m$  are within a ball of radius  $m$ . From this fact one can get the Ericson-Györfi upper bound as follows. The fraction of the volume of this ball and the volume of a ball of radius  $d/2$  is an upper bound for the size  $|\mathcal{C}^m|$ , since if  $\mathcal{C}$  is a *Euclidean superimposed code* – by the definition –  $\mathcal{C}^m$  is of minimum distance  $d$ . Our main idea is to show that for any spherical code  $\mathcal{C}$  almost all members of  $\mathcal{C}^{m'}$  are within a ball of radius of magnitude  $m^{1/2}$ . From this the improvement on the rate of an *Euclidean superimposed code* immediately follows.

**Lemma 3.1** *For any spherical code  $\mathcal{C}$  of size  $T'$  and integer  $m \leq T'$  the inequality*

$$\sum_{\mathcal{A} \in \binom{\mathcal{C}}{m}} \|f(\mathcal{A}) - m\mathbf{c}\|^2 \leq m \binom{T'}{m}$$

*holds, where by  $\mathbf{c}$  the (average) vector  $(1/T') \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{C}} \mathbf{v}$  is denoted.*

**Proof.**

$$\sum_{\mathcal{A} \in \binom{\mathcal{C}}{m}} \|f(\mathcal{A}) - m\mathbf{c}\|^2 = \sum_{\mathcal{A} \in \binom{\mathcal{C}}{m}} \left( (f(\mathcal{A}))^2 + m^2 \mathbf{c}^2 - 2m\mathbf{c}f(\mathcal{A}) \right) \quad (2)$$

The second term of equation (2) is clearly  $\binom{T'}{m} m^2 \mathbf{c}^2$ , while the third one is

$$-2m\mathbf{c} \sum_{\mathcal{A} \in \binom{\mathcal{C}}{m}} f(\mathcal{A}) = -2m\mathbf{c} \binom{T'-1}{m-1} T\mathbf{c} = -2 \binom{T'}{m} m^2 \mathbf{c}^2,$$

since in the sum  $\sum_{\mathcal{A} \in \binom{\mathcal{C}}{m}} f(\mathcal{A})$  every vector of the spherical code is summed up with multiplicity  $\binom{T'-1}{m-1}$ , i.e., this is the number of distinct  $m$ -tuples in which a given vector is contained. The first term of equation (2) can be estimated as follows.

$$\sum_{\mathcal{A} \in \binom{\mathcal{C}}{m}} (f(\mathcal{A}))^2 = \sum_{\mathcal{A} \in \binom{\mathcal{C}}{m}} \left( \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{A}} \mathbf{v} \right)^2 = \sum_{\mathcal{A} \in \binom{\mathcal{C}}{m}} \left( m + 2 \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq m \\ \mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{v}_j \in \mathcal{A}}} \mathbf{v}_i \mathbf{v}_j \right) \quad (3)$$

$$= m \binom{T'}{m} + 2 \binom{T'-2}{m-2} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq T' \\ \mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{v}_j \in \mathcal{C}}} \mathbf{v}_i \mathbf{v}_j \quad (4)$$

$$= m \binom{T'}{m} + 2 \binom{T'-2}{m-2} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq T' \\ \mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{v}_j \in \mathcal{C}}} \mathbf{v}_i \mathbf{v}_j + T' \binom{T'-2}{m-2} - T' \binom{T'-2}{m-2} \quad (5)$$

$$= m \binom{T'}{m} + 2 \binom{T'-2}{m-2} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq T' \\ \mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{v}_j \in \mathcal{C}}} \mathbf{v}_i \mathbf{v}_j + \binom{T'-2}{m-2} \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{C}} \mathbf{v}^2 - T' \binom{T'-2}{m-2} \quad (6)$$

$$= m \binom{T'}{m} + \binom{T'-2}{m-2} \left( \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{C}} \mathbf{v} \right)^2 - T' \binom{T'-2}{m-2} \quad (7)$$

$$= m \binom{T'}{m} + T'^2 \mathbf{c}^2 \binom{T'-2}{m-2} - T' \binom{T'-2}{m-2} \quad (8)$$

In (3) it is used that all vectors are of unit norm, while (4) follows from the fact that a pair of vectors is contained in exactly  $\binom{T'-2}{m-2}$   $m$ -tuples, thus every product  $\mathbf{v}_i \mathbf{v}_j$  occurs with this multiplicity. In (6) it is used again, that the vectors are unit norm ones, thus  $\sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{C}} \mathbf{v}^2 = T'$ . An easy calculation gives (7), while (8) follows from the definition  $\sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{C}} \mathbf{v} = T' \mathbf{c}$ .

Continuing equation (2) by the above computation we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\mathcal{A} \in \binom{\mathcal{C}}{m}} \|f(\mathcal{A}) - m\mathbf{c}\|^2 &= m \binom{T'}{m} + T'^2 \mathbf{c}^2 \binom{T'-2}{m-2} - T' \binom{T'-2}{m-2} - m^2 \mathbf{c}^2 \binom{T'}{m} \\ &= m \binom{T'}{m} - T' \binom{T'-2}{m-2} + T'^2 \mathbf{c}^2 \binom{T'-2}{m-2} - m^2 \mathbf{c}^2 \frac{T'(T'-1)}{m(m-1)} \binom{T'-2}{m-2} \\ &\leq m \binom{T'}{m} - T' \binom{T'-2}{m-2} + T'^2 \mathbf{c}^2 \binom{T'-2}{m-2} - T'^2 \mathbf{c}^2 \binom{T'-2}{m-2} \\ &\leq m \binom{T'}{m}, \end{aligned}$$

which gives the desired result.  $\square$

Now we are ready to prove the new upper bound on the rate of *Euclidean superimposed codes*.

### Theorem 3.2

$$R(m, d) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T(n, m, d)}{n} \leq \frac{\log m}{2m} (1 + o(1)),$$

where  $d$  is a constant and  $o(1)$  is a function tending to 0 as  $m$  tends to infinity.

**Proof.** Take an arbitrary *Euclidean superimposed code*  $\mathcal{C}(n, m, T, d)$  and denote – similarly to the above lemma – by  $\mathbf{c}$  the (average) vector  $(1/T) \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{C}} \mathbf{v}$ . Let be  $\xi$  a random variable with probability distribution  $\mathbf{P}(\xi = \|f(\mathcal{A}) - m\mathbf{c}\| = 1/\binom{T}{m})$  ( $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ ,  $|\mathcal{A}| = m$ ). By Lemma 3.1 the expected distance  $\mathbf{E}(\xi) \leq m^{1/2}$ . Thus – by Markov’s inequality –  $\mathbf{P}(\xi \geq \lambda m^{1/2}) \leq 1/\lambda$ . This means that for any constant  $\lambda > 1$  at least the  $(1 - 1/\lambda)$  fraction of all sums of the  $m$ -tuples of  $\mathcal{C}$  lies within the  $n$ -dimensional ball of radius  $\lambda m^{1/2}$  centered about the point  $m\mathbf{c}$ .

But  $\mathcal{C}$  is a *Euclidean superimposed code*, which means that those vectors (of sums of  $m$ -tuples) have distance at least  $d$  from each other. Using to these vectors the sphere packing argument we get that

$$\frac{\lambda - 1}{\lambda} \binom{T}{m} \leq \left( \frac{\lambda m^{1/2} + d/2}{d/2} \right)^n = \left( 1 + \frac{2\lambda m^{1/2}}{d} \right)^n, \quad (9)$$

from which (since  $\lambda$  is a constant)

$$R(m, d) \leq \frac{1}{m} \log \left( 1 + \frac{2\lambda m^{1/2}}{d} \right) \quad (10)$$

immediately follows. For large  $m$  (10) is of the form

$$R(m, d) \leq \frac{\log m}{2m} (1 + o(1)),$$

which gives the desired result.

## 4 Superimposed codes in other normed spaces

Let  $\mathcal{N} = (X, \|\cdot\|)$  be a finite-dimensional ( $n$ -dimensional) normed vector space, and let  $B(\mathbf{c}, r)$  denote the closed ball with center  $\mathbf{c}$  and radius  $r > 0$ . We also use  $B$  for the unit-ball  $B(\mathbf{0}, 1)$  of  $\mathcal{N}$ . In general, this  $B$  may also be considered as an arbitrary  $n$ -dimensional symmetric convex body in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ , the symmetry being with respect to the origin. One might also be interested in the growth rate of *superimposed codes* in the more general normed vector space  $\mathcal{N}$ , where the norm is defined by an arbitrary  $n$ -dimensional central symmetric convex body. Similarly to the Euclidean norm case, this means the following.

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a finite set of (at most) unit norm vectors in  $\mathcal{N}$ , it is called a *superimposed code in*  $\mathcal{N}$  with parameters  $(n, m, T, d)$  if  $|\mathcal{C}| = T$  and for arbitrary two distinct subsets  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  with  $0 \leq |\mathcal{A}|, |\mathcal{B}| \leq m$  the  $\mathcal{N}$ -distance of the vectors  $f(\mathcal{A})$  and  $f(\mathcal{B})$  is at least  $d$ . That is

$$d_{\mathcal{N}}(\mathcal{C}^m) := \min_{\substack{\mathcal{A} \neq \mathcal{B} \\ 0 \leq |\mathcal{A}|, |\mathcal{B}| \leq m \\ \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{C}}} \|f(\mathcal{A}) - f(\mathcal{B})\|_{\mathcal{N}} \geq d.$$

As before, for given  $n$ ,  $m$  and  $d$ , let  $T_{\mathcal{N}}(n, m, d)$  denote the maximum size of such a code.

We are able to extend the bounds of inequality (1) for all finite-dimensional normed space,  $\mathcal{N}$ , in a somewhat weaker form.

**Theorem 4.1**

$$\frac{\log T_{\mathcal{N}}(n, m, d)}{n} = \Theta\left(\frac{\log m}{m}\right).$$

More precisely, there is an absolute constant  $C$  (independent of  $m$ ,  $n$ ,  $d \leq 1$  and of the space  $\mathcal{N}$ ) such that

$$\frac{\log T_{\mathcal{N}}(n, m, d)}{n} \geq C \frac{\log m}{m} \tag{11}$$

as  $m \gg n$ . Moreover for all  $m$ ,  $n$  and  $\mathcal{N}$  one has

$$\frac{\log T_{\mathcal{N}}(n, m, d)}{n} \leq \frac{\log m}{m} + O\left(\frac{1}{m}\right) + O\left(\frac{\log n}{n}\right). \tag{12}$$

Here  $O$  and  $\Theta$  are used in conventional sense, i.e., for sequences  $f(m)$  and  $g(m)$   $f(m) = O(g(m))$  if  $f(m) \leq cg(m)$  holds for some constant  $c > 0$  and every  $m$ , and  $f(m) = \Theta(g(m))$  if both  $f(m) = O(g(m))$  and  $g(m) = O(f(m))$  hold.

**Proof.** (Sketch) To prove the lower bound (11) use the following theorem of Milman [19]. For every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a positive constant  $\psi(\varepsilon) > 0$ , such that one can find a projection of a section of  $B$  (say,  $\Pi_{F_2}(F_1 \cap B)$  with  $F_2 \subset F_1 \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ ) which is  $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -equivalent to an ellipsoid and has dimension at least  $\psi(\varepsilon)n$ . Here the  $\psi$  is independent from the convex body  $B$ , but, of course, the choice of the subspaces,  $F_1$  and  $F_2$ , varies with  $B$ . (For more background on this topic and proofs see the excellent book of Pisier [20]). An ellipsoid is affine invariant to the Euclidian ball, so taking a *Euclidean superimposed code*,  $\mathcal{C}$ , of maximum size in the subspace  $F_2$  – by the affine invariant transformation mapping the unit ball to the ellipsoid – we will get a superimposed code with the same parameters with respect to the distance defined by the ellipsoid. Project  $\mathcal{C}$  back to  $F_1 \cap B$ , and – by Milman’s theorem – obtain a superimposed code in  $\mathcal{N}$  with parameters  $(n, m, |\mathcal{C}|, d/(1 + \varepsilon))$ .

The upper bound in (12) easily follows from the volume bound of Ericson and Györfi

$$\binom{T}{m} \leq \left(\frac{m + d/2}{d/2}\right)^n, \tag{13}$$

which is true for every space  $\mathcal{N}$  and every  $n$ ,  $m$  and  $d$ .  $\square$

At the present we are not able to sharpen the upper bound of (1) for normed spaces other than the Euclidian. The argument in the previous Section substantially utilized the properties of the scalar product, which other spaces lack off. However, one can slightly improve (13) for *small* dimension, i.e., for  $n < m$ , though this does not say anything about the growth rate when  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Fritz John's [13] classical result says that for every symmetric convex body,  $B$ , centered about the origin there is an ellipsoid  $D$  such that  $D \subset B \subset \sqrt{n}D$ . This implies that every normed space is (affine)  $\sqrt{n}$ -equivalent to  $\mathbf{R}^n$ , so our modified volume bound (9) gives

$$\frac{\lambda - 1}{\lambda} \binom{T}{m} \leq \left( \frac{\lambda m^{1/2} + d/(2\sqrt{n})}{d/(2\sqrt{n})} \right)^n = \left( 1 + \frac{2\lambda m^{1/2} n^{1/2}}{d} \right)^n.$$

It would be interesting to find better bounds, especially for the maximum norm,  $\ell_\infty^n$ , where  $B$  is the (hyper)cube.

## References

- [1] Nguyen Quang A and T. Zeisel, "Bounds on constant weight binary superimposed codes," *Probl. of Control and Information Theory*, vol. 17, pp. 223-230, 1988.
- [2] P.-O. Anderson, *Superimposed codes for the Euclidean Channel*. Linköping Studies in Science and Technology, PhD dissertation no. 342.
- [3] P.-O. Anderson, "A construction of superimposed codes for the Euclidean channel," *Proc. 1994 IEEE Int. Symp. Inform. Theory*, p. 283, Trondheim, Norway, June 27 - July 1, 1994.
- [4] A. G. Dyachkov and V.V. Rykov, "Bounds on the length of disjunctive codes," *Problemy Peredaci Informacii* vol. 18, no. 3, pp. 7-13, 1982.
- [5] A. G. Dyachkov and V.V. Rykov, "A survey of superimposed codes theory," *Probl. of Control and Information Theory*, vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 1-13, 1983.
- [6] P. Erdős, P. Frankl and Z. Füredi, "Families of finite sets in which no set is covered by the union of two others," *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A* vol. 33, no. 2, pp. 158-166, 1982.
- [7] P. Erdős, P. Frankl and Z. Füredi, "Families of finite sets in which no set is covered by the union of  $r$  others," *Israel J. of Mathematics*, vol. 51, nos. 1-2, pp. 79-89, 1985.
- [8] T. Ericson and L. Györfi, "Superimposed Codes in  $R^n$ ," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. IT-34, no. 4, pp. 877-880, July 1988.
- [9] Z. Füredi, A note on  $r$ -cover-free families, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A* vol. 73, pp. 172-173, 1996.

- [10] Z. Füredi and M. Ruszinkó, “Superimposed codes are almost big distance ones,” *Proc. 1997 IEEE Int. Symp. Inform. Theory*, p. 118, Ulm, Germany, June 29 - July 4, 1997.
- [11] F. K. Hwang, “A method for detecting all defective members in a population by group testing,” *J. of the American Statistical Association*, vol. 67, no. 339, pp. 605-608, 1972.
- [12] F. K. Hwang and V.T. Sós, “Non adaptive hypergeometric group testing,” *Studia Sci. Math. Hungar.*, vol. 22, pp. 257-263, 1987.
- [13] F. John, “Extremum problems with inequalities as subsidiary conditions,” in *Studies and essays presented to R. Courant on his 60th birthday, January 8, 1948*, Interscience, New York, 1948, pp. 187–204.
- [14] S. M. Johnson, “On the upper bounds for unrestricted binary error-correcting codes,” *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. IT-17, no. 4, pp. 466-478, 1971.
- [15] S. M. Johnson, “Improved asymptotic bounds for error-correcting codes,” *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. IT-9, no. 4, pp. 198-205, 1963.
- [16] S. M. Johnson, “A new upper bound for error-correcting codes,” *IRE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. IT-8, pp. 203-207, 1962.
- [17] G. A. Kabatjanskii and V. I. Levenštejn, “Bounds for packings on a sphere and in a space,” (Russian) *Problemy Peredaci Informacii*, vol. 14, pp. 3–25, 1978. English translation in *Problems in Information Transmission*, vol. 14, pp. 1–17, 1978.
- [18] W.H. Kautz and R.C. Singleton, “Nonrandom binary superimposed codes,” *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. IT-10, pp. 363-377, October 1964.
- [19] V. D. Milman, “Almost Euclidian quotient spaces of subspaces of finite dimensional normed spaces,” *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* vol. 94, pp. 445-449, 1985.
- [20] G. Pisier, *The volume of convex bodies and Banach space geometry*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics 94, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1989.
- [21] M. Ruszinkó, “On the upper bound of the size of the  $r$ -cover-free families,” *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A* vol. 66, no. 2, pp. 302-310, May 1994.
- [22] V.T. Sós, “An additive problem in different structures,” *Proc. of the Second Int. Conf. in Graph Theory, Combinatorics, Algorithms, and Applications*, San Fr. Univ., California, pp. 486-510, July 1989. SIAM, Philadelphia, 1991.